Course Stochastic Processes Winter 2009/10 Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin



Exercises: sheet 1

- 1. Prove: Let X be Poisson(s) and Y be Poisson(t) distributed. If X and Y are independent, then X+Y is Poisson(t+s) distributed (t,s>0). This means that the property of a convolution semigroup of measures $(P(t))_{t>0}$ holds: P(s)*P(t)=P(t+s), s,t>0. Which measure P(0) is the neutral element of such a convolution semigroup?
- 2. Let $(N_t, t \ge 0)$ be a Poisson process of intensity $\lambda > 0$ and let $(Y_k)_{k \ge 1}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables, independent of N. Then $X_t := \sum_{k=1}^{N_t} Y_k$ is called *compound Poisson process* $(X_t := 0 \text{ if } N_t = 0)$.
 - (a) Show that (X_t) has independent and stationary increments. Infer that the laws $P(t) = \mathbb{P}^{X_t}$ define a convolution semigroup (as in (1)).
 - (b) Determine expectation and variance of X_t in the case $Y_k \in L^2$.
- 3. Flies and wasps land on your dinner plate in the manner of independent Poisson processes with respective intensities μ and λ . Show that the arrival of flying beasts form a Poisson process of intensity $\lambda + \mu$ (Superposition). The probability that an arriving fly is a blow-fly is p. Does the arrival of blow-flies also form a Poisson process? (Thinning)
- 4. The number of busses that arrive until time t at a bus stop follows a Poisson process with intensity $\lambda > 0$ (in our model). Adam and Berta arrive together at time $t_0 > 0$ at the bus stop and discuss how long they have to wait in the mean for the next bus.

Adam: Since the waiting times are $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ -distributed and the exponential distribution is memoryless, the mean is λ^{-1} .

Berta: The time between the arrival of two busses is $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ -distributed and has mean λ^{-1} . Since on average the same time elapses before our arrival and after our arrival, we obtain the mean waiting time $\frac{1}{2}\lambda^{-1}$ (at least assuming that at least one bus had arrived before time t_0).

What is the correct answer to this waiting time paradoxon?

Course Stochastic Processes Winter 2009/10 Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin



Exercises: sheet 2

- 1. Let $(P(t))_{t\geqslant 0}$ be the transition matrices of a continuous-time, time-homogeneous Markov chain with finite state space. Assume that the transition probabilities $p_{ij}(t)$ are differentiable for $t\geqslant 0$. Prove:
 - (a) The derivative satisfies $p'_{ij}(0) \ge 0$ for $i \ne j$, $p'_{ii}(0) \le 0$ and $\sum_j p'_{ij}(0) = 0$.
 - (b) With the matrix (generator) $A = (p'_{ij}(0))_{i,j}$ we obtain the forward and backward equation:

$$P'(t) = P(t)A, \quad P'(t) = AP(t), \quad t \geqslant 0.$$

- (c) The generator A defines uniquely P(t): $P(t) = e^{At} := \sum_{k \ge 0} A^k t^k / k!$.
- (d*) Find conditions to extend these results to general countable state space.
- 2. Let $(X_n, n \ge 0)$ be a discrete-time, time-homogeneous Markov chain and let $(N_t, t \ge 0)$ be a Poisson process of intensity $\lambda > 0$, independent of X. Show that $Y_t := X_{N_t}, t \ge 0$, is a continuous-time, time-homogeneous Markov chain. Determine its transition probabilities and its generator.

Remark: Under regularity conditions this gives all continuous-time, time-homogeneous Markov chains.

- 3. Let $C([0,\infty))$ be equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compacts using the metric $d(f,g) := \sum_{k \ge 1} 2^{-k} (\sup_{t \in [0,k]} |f(t) g(t)| \wedge 1)$. Prove:
 - (a) $(C([0,\infty)),d)$ is Polish.
 - (b) The Borel σ -algebra is the smallest σ -algebra such that all coordinate projections $\pi_t: C([0,\infty)) \to \mathbb{R}, \ t \geq 0$, are measurable.
 - (c) For any continuous stochastic process $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ the mapping $\bar{X}: \Omega \to C([0,\infty))$ with $\bar{X}(\omega)_t := X_t(\omega)$ is Borel-measurable.
 - (d) The law of \bar{X} is uniquely determined by the finite-dimensional distributions of X.
- 4. Prove the regularity lemma: Let P be a probability measure on the Borel σ -algebra \mathfrak{B} of any metric (or topological) space. Then

$$\mathcal{D} := \left\{ B \in \mathfrak{B} \,\middle|\, P(B) = \sup_{K \subseteq B \text{ compact}} P(K) = \inf_{O \supseteq B \text{ open}} P(O) \right\}$$

is closed under set differences and countable unions (\mathcal{D} is a σ -ring). If P is tight, then \mathcal{D} is a σ -algebra.

Course Stochastic Processes Winter 2009/10 Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin



Exercises: sheet 3

- 1. A discrete-time Markov process with state space (S, \mathcal{S}) is specified by an initial distribution μ^0 on (S, \mathcal{S}) and a transition kernel $P: S \times \mathcal{S} \to [0, 1]$ (i.e. $B \mapsto P(x, B)$ is a probability measure for all $x \in S$ and $x \mapsto P(x, B)$ is measurable for all $B \in \mathcal{S}$). Show:
 - (a) If we put iteratively $P^n(x,B) := \int_S P^{n-1}(y,B) \, P(x,dy)$ for $n \ge 2$ and $P^1 := P$, then each P^n is again a transition kernel.
 - (b) Put for all $n \ge 1$, $A \in \mathscr{S}^{\otimes n}$

$$Q_n(A) := \int_{S^n} \mathbf{1}_A(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \mu^0(dx_0) P(x_0, dx_1) \cdots P(x_{n-2}, dx_{n-1}).$$

Then $(Q_n)_{n\geqslant 1}$ defines a projective family on $S^{\mathbb{N}}$.

- (c) Let (S, \mathscr{S}) be Polish. Then for each initial distribution μ_0 and each transition kernel P there exists a stochastic process $(X_n, n \ge 0)$ satisfying $\mathbb{P}^{X_0} = \mu_0$ and $\mathbb{P}^{(X_0, \dots, X_{n-1})} = Q_n, n \ge 1$ (the Markov process).
- 2. A Gaussian process $(X_t, t \in T)$ is a process whose finite-dimensional distributions are (generalized) Gaussian, i.e. $(X_{t_1}, \ldots, X_{t_n}) \sim N(\mu_{t_1, \ldots, t_n}, \Sigma_{t_1, \ldots, t_n})$ with $\Sigma_{t_1, \ldots, t_n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ positive semi-definite.
 - (a) Why are the finite-dimensional distributions of X uniquely determined by the expectation function $t \mapsto \mathbb{E}[X_t]$ and the covariance function $(s,t) \mapsto \operatorname{Cov}(X_s, X_t)$?
 - (b) Prove that for an arbitrary function $\mu: T \to \mathbb{R}$ and any symmetric, positive (semi-)definite function $C: T^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, i.e. C(t,s) = C(s,t) and

$$\forall n \geqslant 1; t_1, \dots, t_n \in T; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R} : \sum_{i,j=1}^n C(t_i, t_j) \lambda_i \lambda_j \geqslant 0,$$

there is a Gaussian process with expectation function μ and covariance function C.

- 3. Let (X,Y) be a two-dimensional random vector with Lebesgue density $f^{X,Y}$.
 - (a) For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $f^X(x) > 0$ $(f^X(x) = \int f^{X,Y}(x,\eta) d\eta)$ consider the conditional density $f^{Y|X=x}(y) := f^{X,Y}(x,y)/f^X(x)$. Which condition on $f^{X,Y}$ ensures for any Borel set B

$$\lim_{h\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(Y \in B \mid X \in [x, x+h]) = \int_B f^{Y|X=x}(y) \, dy \quad ?$$

(b) Show that for $Y \in L^2$ (without any condition on $f^{X,Y}$) the function

$$\varphi_Y(x) := \begin{cases} \int y f^{Y|X=x}(y) \, dy, & f^X(x) > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

minimizes the L^2 -distance $\mathbb{E}[(Y - \varphi(X))^2]$ over all measurable functions φ . We write $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X = x] := \varphi_Y(x)$ and $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X] := \varphi_Y(X)$.

(c) Prove that φ_Y is \mathbb{P}^X -a.s. uniquely (among all $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ measurable) characterized by solving

$$\forall A \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)\mathbf{1}_A(X)] = \mathbb{E}[Y\mathbf{1}_A(X)].$$

- 4. In the situation of exercise 3 prove the following properties:
 - (a) $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X]] = \mathbb{E}[Y];$
 - (b) if X and Y are independent, then $\mathbb{E}[Y | X] = \mathbb{E}[Y]$ holds a.s.;
 - (c) if $Y \ge 0$ a.s., then $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X] \ge 0$ a.s.;
 - (d) for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \neq 0$ we have $\mathbb{E}[\alpha Y + \beta \mid X] = \alpha \mathbb{E}[Y \mid X] + \beta$ a.s.;
 - (e) if $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that $(x,y) \mapsto (x,y\varphi(x))$ is a diffeomorphism and $Y\varphi(X) \in L^2$, then $\mathbb{E}[Y\varphi(X) \mid X] = \mathbb{E}[Y \mid X]\varphi(X)$ a.s.

Submit before the lecture on Tuesday, 10 November 2009

Course Stochastic Processes Winter 2009/10 Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin



Exercises: sheet 4

- 1. Let $\Omega = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ be a measurable, countable partition for given $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and put $\mathcal{B} := \sigma(B_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$. Show:
 - (a) Every \mathcal{B} -measurable random variable X can be written as $X = \sum_{n} \alpha_{n} \mathbf{1}_{B_{n}}$ with suitable $\alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$. For $Y \in L^{1}$ we have $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid \mathcal{B}] = \sum_{n: \mathbb{P}(B_{n}) > 0} \left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B_{n})} \int_{B_{n}} Y d\mathbb{P}\right) \mathbf{1}_{B_{n}}$.
 - (b) Specify $\Omega = [0,1)$ with Borel σ -algebra and $\mathbb{P} = U([0,1))$, the uniform distribution. For $Y(\omega) := \omega$, $\omega \in [0,1)$, determine $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid \sigma([(k-1)/n,k/n), k=1,\ldots,n)]$. For n=1,3,5,10 plot the conditional expectations and Y itself as functions on Ω .
- 2. Let (X,Y) be a two-dimensional $N(\mu,\Sigma)$ -random vector.
 - (a) For which $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ are X and $Y \alpha X$ uncorrelated?
 - (b) Conclude that X and $Y (\alpha X + \beta)$ are independent for these values α and for arbitrary $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{E}[Y|X] = \alpha X + \beta$ with suitable $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.
- 3. For $Y \in L^2$ define the conditional variance of Y given X by

$$\operatorname{Var}(Y|X) := \mathbb{E}[(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y \mid X])^2 \mid X].$$

- (a) Why is Var(Y|X) well defined?
- (b) Show $Var(Y) = Var(\mathbb{E}[Y | X]) + \mathbb{E}[Var(Y | X)].$
- (c) Use (b) to prove for independent random variables $(Z_k)_{k\geqslant 1}$ and N in L^2 with (Z_k) identically distributed and N \mathbb{N} -valued:

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} Z_{k}\right) = \mathbb{E}[Z_{1}]^{2} \operatorname{Var}(N) + \mathbb{E}[N] \operatorname{Var}(Z_{1}).$$

- 4. For a convex function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ (i.e. $\varphi(\alpha x + (1 \alpha)y) \leqslant \alpha \varphi(x) + (1 \alpha)\varphi(y)$) for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$) show:
 - (a) $D(x,y):=\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x}, \ x\neq y$, is non-decreasing in x and y, which implies that φ is differentiable from the right and from the left and that φ is continuous.
 - (b) Using the right-derivative φ'_+ , we have:

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}: \qquad \qquad \varphi(y) \geqslant \varphi(x) + \varphi'_{+}(x)(y - x),$$

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}: \qquad \qquad \varphi(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Q}} (\varphi(x) + \varphi'_{+}(x)(y - x)).$$

(c) Assume $Y, \varphi(Y) \in L^1$. Then $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(Y) | \mathcal{G}] \geqslant \varphi(x) + \varphi'_+(x)(\mathbb{E}[Y | \mathcal{G}] - x)$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Infer Jensen's inequality: $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(Y) | \mathcal{G}] \geqslant \varphi(\mathbb{E}[Y | \mathcal{G}])$.

Submit before the lecture on Tuesday, 17 November 2009

Course Stochastic Processes Winter 2009/10 Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin



Exercises: sheet 5

- 1. Doubling strategy: In each round a fair coin is tossed, for heads the player receives his double stake, for tails he loses his stake. His initial capital is $K_0 = 0$. At game $n \ge 1$ his strategy is as follows: if heads has appeared before, his stake is zero (he stops playing); otherwise his stake is 2^{n-1} Euro.
 - (a) Argue why his capital K_n after game n can be modeled with independent (X_i) such that $\mathbb{P}(X_i = 1) = \mathbb{P}(X_i = -1) = 1/2$ via

$$K_n = \begin{cases} -(2^n - 1), & X_1 = \dots = X_n = -1, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (b) Represent K_n as martingale transform.
- (c) Prove $\lim_{n\to\infty} K_n = 1$ a.s. although $\mathbb{E}[K_n] = 0$ for all $n \ge 0$ holds.
- 2. Let T be an \mathbb{N}_0 -valued random variable and $S_n := \mathbf{1}_{\{n \geqslant T\}}, n \geqslant 0$. Show:
 - (a) The natural filtration satisfies $\mathcal{F}_n^S = \sigma(\{T=k\}, k=0,\ldots,n)$.
 - (b) (S_n) is a submartingale with respect to (\mathcal{F}_n^S) and

$$\mathbb{E}[S_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_n^S] = \mathbf{1}_{\{S_n=1\}} + \mathbb{P}(T = n+1 \mid T \geqslant n+1) \mathbf{1}_{\{S_n=0\}} \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

- (c) Determine the Doob decomposition of (S_n) . Sketch for geometrically distributed T ($\mathbb{P}(T=k)=(1-p)p^k$) the sample paths of (S_n) , its compensator and their difference.
- 3. Prove the *Höffding inequality*: Let (M_n) be a martingale with $M_0 = 0$ and $|M_n(\omega) M_{n-1}(\omega)| \leq K_n$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $n \geq 1$. Then:

$$\mathbb{P}(|M_n| \geqslant x) \leqslant 2 \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n K_i^2}\right), \quad x > 0.$$

Proceed stepwise:

- (a) From $\mathbb{E}[Z] = 0$ and $|Z| \leq 1$ we deduce $e^{\eta Z} \leq \cosh(\eta) + Z \sinh(\eta)$ and $\mathbb{E}[e^{\eta Z}] \leq \cosh(\eta) \leq e^{\eta^2/2}$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (b) This implies $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\eta M_n) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \leq \exp(\eta M_{n-1} + \eta^2 K_n^2/2)$.
- (c) By induction we obtain $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\eta M_n)] \leq \exp(\eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n K_i^2/2)$.
- (d) Use the (generalized) Markov inequality and optimize over η to conclude.

4. Your winnings per unit stake on game game n are ε_n , where (ε_n) are independent random variables with $\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon_n = 1) = p$, $\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon_n = -1) = 1 - p$ for p > 1/2. Your stake X_n on game n must lie between zero and C_{n-1} , your capital at time n-1. For some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_0 > 0$ your objective is to maximize the expected interest rate $\mathbb{E}[\log(C_N/C_0)]$.

Show that for any predictable strategy X the process $\log(C_n) - n\alpha$ is a supermartingale with respect to $\mathscr{F}_n := \sigma(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ where

$$\alpha := p \log p + (1 - p) \log(1 - p) + \log 2 \ (entropy).$$

Hence, $\mathbb{E}[\log(C_N/C_0)] \leq N\alpha$ always holds. Find an optimal strategy such that $\log(C_n) - n\alpha$ is even a martingale.

Remark: This is the martingale approach to optimal control.

Submit before the lecture on Tuesday, 24 November 2009

Course Stochastic Processes

Winter 2009/10

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin



Exercises: sheet 6

- 1. Let $(\mathcal{F}_n^X)_{n\geqslant 0}$ be the natural filtration of a process $(X_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ and consider a finite stopping time τ with respect to (\mathcal{F}_n^X) .
 - (a) Prove $\mathcal{F}_{\tau} = \sigma(\tau, X_{\tau \wedge n}, n \geq 0)$. Hint: for ' \subseteq ' write $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau}$ as $A = \bigcup_{n} A \cap \{\tau = n\}$.
 - (b*) Do we even have $\mathcal{F}_{\tau} = \sigma(X_{\tau \wedge n}, n \geq 0)$?
- 2. Let $(S_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ be a simple random walk with $\mathbb{P}(S_n-S_{n-1}=1)=p, \mathbb{P}(S_n-S_{n-1}=-1)=q=1-p, p\in (0,1).$ Prove:
 - (a) Put $M(\lambda) = pe^{\lambda} + qe^{-\lambda}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the process

$$Y_n^{\lambda} := \exp\left(\lambda S_n - n\log(M(\lambda))\right), \quad n \geqslant 0,$$

is a martingale (w.r.t. its natural filtration).

(b) For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with a < 0 < b and the stopping time(!) $\tau := \inf\{n \ge 0 \mid S_n \in \{a, b\}\}$ we have

$$e^{a\lambda} \mathbb{E}[M(\lambda)^{-\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_{\tau}=a\}}] + e^{b\lambda} \mathbb{E}[M(\lambda)^{-\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_{\tau}=b\}}] = 1 \text{ if } M(\lambda) \geqslant 1.$$

(c) This implies for all $s \in (0,1]$ (put $s = M(\lambda)^{-1}$)

$$\mathbb{E}[s^{\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_{\tau}=a\}}] = \frac{\lambda_{+}(s)^{b} - \lambda_{-}(s)^{b}}{\lambda_{+}(s)^{b} \lambda_{-}(s)^{a} - \lambda_{+}(s)^{a} \lambda_{-}(s)^{b}},$$

$$\mathbb{E}[s^{\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_{\tau}=b\}}] = \frac{\lambda_{-}(s)^{a} - \lambda_{+}(s)^{a}}{\lambda_{+}(s)^{b} \lambda_{-}(s)^{a} - \lambda_{+}(s)^{a} \lambda_{-}(s)^{b}},$$

with
$$\lambda_{\pm}(s) = (1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4pqs^2})/(2ps)$$
.

(d) Now let $a \downarrow -\infty$ and infer that the generating function of the first passage time $\tau_b := \inf\{n \ge 0 \mid S_n = b\}$ is given by

$$\varphi_{\tau_b}(s) := \mathbb{E}[s^{\tau_b} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_b < \infty\}}] = \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4pqs^2}}{2qs}\right)^b, \quad s \in (0, 1].$$

In particular, we have $\mathbb{P}(\tau_b < \infty) = \varphi_{\tau_b}(1) = \min(1, p/q)^b$.

Course Stochastic Processes Winter 2009/10 Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin



Exercises: sheet 7

- 1. Let $(X_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ be an (\mathscr{F}_n) -adapted family of random variables in L^1 . Show that $(X_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ is a martingale if and only if for all bounded (\mathscr{F}_n) -stopping times τ the identity $\mathbb{E}[X_\tau] = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ holds.
- 2. Prove that a family $(X_i)_{i\in I}$ of random variables is uniformly integrable if and only if $\sup_{i\in I} ||X_i||_{L^1} < \infty$ holds as well as

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \; \exists \, \delta > 0 : \; \mathbb{P}(A) < \delta \Rightarrow \sup_{i \in I} \mathbb{E}[|X_i| \mathbf{1}_A] < \varepsilon.$$

- 3. Give a martingale proof of Kolmogorov's 0-1 law:
 - (a) Let (\mathscr{F}_n) be a filtration and $\mathscr{F}_{\infty} = \sigma(\mathscr{F}_n, n \geqslant 0)$. Then for $A \in \mathscr{F}_{\infty}$ we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_A \mid \mathscr{F}_n] = \mathbf{1}_A$ a.s.
 - (b) For a sequence $(X_k)_{k\geqslant 1}$ of independent random variables consider the natural filtration (\mathscr{F}_n) and the terminal σ -algebra $\mathscr{T}:=\bigcap_{n\geqslant 1}\sigma(X_k,\,k\geqslant n)$. Then for $A\in\mathscr{T}$ we deduce $\mathbb{P}(A)=\mathbb{E}[1_A\,|\,\mathscr{F}_n]\to\mathbf{1}_A$ a.s. such that $P(A)\in\{0,1\}$ holds.
- 4. A monkey types at random the 26 capital letters of the Latin alphabet. Let τ be the first time by which the monkey has completed the sequence ABRACADABRA. Prove that τ is almost surely finite and satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau] = 26^{11} + 26^4 + 26.$$

How much time does it take on average if one letter is typed every second? *Hint:* You may look at a fair game with gamblers G_n arriving before times $n = 1, 2, ..., G_n$ bets 1 Euro on 'A' for letter n; if he wins, he puts 26 Euro on 'B' for letter n + 1, otherwise he stops. If he wins again, he puts 26^2 Euro on 'R', otherwise he stops etc.

Course Stochastic Processes Winter 2009/10 Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin



Exercises: sheet 8

- 1. Suppose \mathbb{P}_0 , \mathbb{P}_1 , \mathbb{P}_2 are probability measures on (Ω, \mathscr{F}) . Show:
 - (a) If $\mathbb{P}_2 \ll \mathbb{P}_1 \ll \mathbb{P}_0$ holds, then $\frac{d\mathbb{P}_2}{d\mathbb{P}_0} = \frac{d\mathbb{P}_2}{d\mathbb{P}_1} \frac{d\mathbb{P}_1}{d\mathbb{P}_0}$ holds \mathbb{P}_0 -a.s.
 - (b) \mathbb{P}_0 and \mathbb{P}_1 are equivalent (i.e. $\mathbb{P}_1 \ll \mathbb{P}_0$ and $\mathbb{P}_0 \ll \mathbb{P}_1$) if and only if $\mathbb{P}_1 \ll \mathbb{P}_0$ and $\frac{d\mathbb{P}_1}{d\mathbb{P}_0} > 0$ holds \mathbb{P}_0 -a.s. In that case we have $\frac{d\mathbb{P}_0}{d\mathbb{P}_1} = \left(\frac{d\mathbb{P}_1}{d\mathbb{P}_0}\right)^{-1} \mathbb{P}_0$ -a.s. and \mathbb{P}_1 -a.s.
- 2. Prove in detail for $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}$, $Z = \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}$ and $Y \in L^1(\mathbb{Q})$ the identity $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[Y] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[YZ]$. Give an example where $Y \in L^1(\mathbb{Q})$, but not $Y \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$ holds.
- 3. Let $(Z_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ be a non-negative martingale on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathscr{F}_n))$ with $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[Z_0] = 1$. Prove:
 - (a) $\mathbb{Q}_n(A) := \mathbb{E}[Z_n \mathbf{1}_A], A \in \mathscr{F}_n$, defines a probability measure with $\mathbb{Q}_n \ll \mathbb{P}|_{\mathscr{F}_n}$ for all $n \geqslant 0$. For m > n we have the consistency $\mathbb{Q}_n = \mathbb{Q}_m|_{\mathscr{F}_n}$.
 - (b) Conversely, if (\mathbb{Q}_n) is a sequence of probability measures satisfying this consistency property and $\mathbb{Q}_n \ll \mathbb{P}|_{\mathscr{F}_n}$ for all $n \geqslant 0$, then $Z_n := \frac{d\mathbb{Q}_n}{d\mathbb{P}|_{\mathscr{F}_n}}$, $n \geqslant 0$, forms a \mathbb{P} -martingale.
 - (c) The following change-of-measure rule is valid for $n\leqslant m$ and $Y\in L^1(\Omega,\mathscr{F}_m,\mathbb{Q}_m)$:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_m}[Y \,|\, \mathscr{F}_n] = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[Y Z_m \,|\, \mathscr{F}_n]}{Z_n} \qquad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. and } \mathbb{Q}_m\text{-a.s.}$$

Here, the right hand side is set to zero on $\{Z_n = 0\}$.

- 4. Let $Z_n(x) = (3/2)^n \sum_{k \in \{0,2\}^n} \mathbf{1}_{I(k,n)}(x), x \in [0,1],$ with intervals $I(k,n) := [\sum_{i=1}^n k_i 3^{-i}, \sum_{i=1}^n k_i 3^{-i} + 3^{-n}].$ Show:
 - (a) $(Z_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ with $Z_0=1$ forms a martingale on $([0,1],\mathfrak{B}_{[0,1]},\lambda,(\mathscr{F}_n))$ with Lebesgue measure λ on [0,1] and $\mathscr{F}_n:=\sigma(I(k,n),\,k\in\{0,1,2\}^n)$.
 - (b) (Z_n) converges λ -a.s., but not in $L^1([0,1],\mathfrak{B}_{[0,1]},\lambda)$ (Sketch!).
 - (c) Interpret Z_n as the density of a probability measure \mathbb{P}_n with respect to λ . Then (\mathbb{P}_n) converges weakly to some probability measure \mathbb{P}_{∞} (\mathbb{P}_{∞} is called *Cantor measure*). There is a Borel set $C \subseteq [0,1]$ with $\mathbb{P}_{\infty}(C) = 1$, $\lambda(C) = 0$.

Hint: Show that the distribution functions converge to a limit distribution function, which is λ -a.e. constant.

Course Stochastic Processes Winter 2009/10 Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin



Exercises: sheet 9

- 1. Let $(X_t, t \in T)$ be a Gaussian process for $T = \mathbb{R}^+$ or $T = \mathbb{N}_0$. Show that X is stationary if and only if X is weakly stationary, i.e. its mean function is constant and its covariance function c satisfies c(t,s) = c(t-s,0) for all $t \ge s$. Find an example of a non-Gaussian process, which is weakly stationary, but not stationary in the strict sense.
- 2. Let $X_0 \sim N(\mu, \sigma_0^2)$ and $\varepsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, $t \ge 1$, be independent random variables. Then for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ the *autoregressive process* X is defined recursively by

$$X_t = aX_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad t \geqslant 1.$$

- (a) Why is $(X_t, t \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ a Gaussian process?
- (b) Determine the mean and the covariance function of X.
- (c) For which parameter values $a, \mu, \sigma_0^2, \sigma^2$ is X stationary?
- (d*) Simulate some trajectories of $(X_t, 0 \le t \le 100)$ for $\mu = 0$, $\sigma_0^2 = \sigma^2 = 1$ and $a \in \{0; -0.5; 1; -2\}$.
- 3. Prove the following result for the invariant σ -algebra \mathscr{I}_T with respect to some measure-preserving transformation T on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$:
 - (a) A (real-valued) random variable Y is \mathscr{I}_T -measurable if and only if it is \mathbb{P} -a.s. invariant, i.e. $\mathbb{P}(Y \circ T = Y) = 1$. In particular, T is ergodic if and only if each \mathbb{P} -a.s. invariant and bounded random variable is \mathbb{P} -a.s. constant.
 - (b) For each invariant event $A \in \mathscr{I}_T$ there exists a strictly invariant event B (i.e. with $T^{-1}(B) = B$ exactly) such that $\mathbb{P}(A\Delta B) = 0$.
- 4. Let $(X_n, n \ge 0)$ be a real-valued ergodic process, canonically constructed on $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}_0}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\otimes \mathbb{N}_0}, \mathbb{P})$ (i.e. the corresponding left-shift T is measure-preserving and ergodic). Prove:
 - (a) For any $m \ge 1$ the \mathbb{R}^2 -valued process $((X_n, X_{n+m}), n \ge 0)$ is also ergodic.
 - (b) Suppose $X_n \in L^2$ for all $n \ge 0$. Then the following estimators for the mean $\mathbb{E}[X_0]$ and the covariance $\text{Cov}(X_0, X_m)$ are strongly consistent (i.e. converge a.s. to the true value for $n \to \infty$):

$$\hat{\mu}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k, \quad \hat{C}_n(m) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} X_k X_{k+m} - \hat{\mu}_n^2.$$

Course Stochastic Processes Winter 2009/10 Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin



Exercises: sheet 10

- 1. Prove von Neumann's ergodic theorem: For measure-preserving T and $X \in L^p$, $p \ge 1$, we have that $A_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X \circ T^i$ converges to $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{I}_T]$ in L^p . Hint: Show that $|A_n|^p$ is uniformly integrable.
- 2. Show that a measure-preserving map T on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is ergodic if and only if for all $A, B \in \mathscr{F}$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}(A \cap T^{-k}B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \, \mathbb{P}(B).$$

Hint: For one direction apply an ergodic theorem to $\mathbf{1}_B$.

- 3. Gelfand's Problem: Does the decimal representation of 2^n ever start with the initial digit 7? Study this as follows:
 - (a) Determine the relative frequencies of the initial digits of $(2^n)_{1 \leq n \leq 30}$.
 - (b) Let $A \sim U([0,1])$. Prove that the initial digit k in $(10^A 2^n)_{1 \leq n \leq m}$ converges as $m \to \infty$ a.s. to $\log_{10}(k+1) \log_{10}(k)$ (consider $X_n = A + n \log_{10}(2)$ mod 1!).
 - (c) Prove that the convergence in (b) even holds everywhere. In particular, the relative frequency of the initial digit 7 in the powers of 2 converges to $\log_{10}(8/7) \approx 0,058$.

 Hint: Show for trigonometric polynomials $p(a) = \sum_{|m| \leqslant M} c_m e^{2\pi i m a}$ that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p(a+k\eta) \to \int_0^1 p(x) dx$ holds for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $a \in [0,1]$ (calculate explicitly for monomials!) and approximate.
- 4. Consider the Ehrenfest model, i.e. a Markov chain on $S = \{0, 1, ..., N\}$ with transition probabilities $p_{i,i+1} = (N-i)/N$, $p_{i,i-1} = i/N$.
 - (a) Show that $\mu(\{i\}) = {N \choose i} 2^{-N}$, $i \in S$, is an invariant initial distribution.
 - (b) Is the Markov chain starting in μ ergodic?
 - (*c) Simulate the Ehrenfest model with initial value $i_0 \in \{N/2; N\}$, N = 100 for $T \in \{100; 100, 000\}$ time steps. Plot the relative frequencies of visits to each state in S and compare with μ . Explain what you see!

Course Stochastic Processes Winter 2009/10 Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin



Exercises: sheet 11

1. Decide whether for $n \to \infty$ the probability \mathbb{P}_n with the following Lebesgue densities f_n on \mathbb{R} converge in total variation distance, weakly or not at all:

$$f_n(x) = ne^{-nx}\mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(x), \quad f_n(x) = \frac{n+1}{n}x^{1/n}\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x), \quad f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}_{[0,n]}(x).$$

- 2. Consider real-valued random variables $(X_n)_{n\geqslant 1}, (Y_n)_{n\geqslant 1}, X$. Prove:
 - (a) If $\mathbb{P}(X = a) = 1$ holds for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X \iff X_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} X$.
 - (b) If $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} a$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$, then $(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{d} (X, a)$, in particular $X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} a X$.
- 3. We say that a family of real-valued random variables $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ is stochastically bounded, notation $X_i = O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, if

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \sup_{i \in I} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| > R) = 0.$$

- (a) Show $X_i = O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ if and only if the laws $(\mathbb{P}^{X_i})_{i \in I}$ are uniformly tight.
- (b) Prove that any L^p -bounded family of random variables is stochastically bounded, hence has uniformly tight laws.
- (c) If $X_n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} 0$ holds, then we write $X_n = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. Check the symbolic rules $O_{\mathbb{P}}(1) + o_{\mathbb{P}}(1) = O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ and $O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)o_{\mathbb{P}}(1) = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$.
- 4. Prove: Every relatively (weakly) compact family $(\mathbb{P}_i)_{i\in I}$ of probability measures on a Polish space (S,\mathfrak{B}_S) is uniformly tight. Proceed as follows:
 - (a) For $k \geqslant 1$ consider open balls $(A_{k,m})_{m\geqslant 1}$ of radius 1/k that cover S. If $\limsup_{M\to\infty}\inf_i \mathbb{P}_i(\bigcup_{m=1}^M A_{k,m})<1$ were true, then by assumption and by the Portmanteau Theorem we would have $\limsup_{M\to\infty} \mathbb{Q}(\bigcup_{m=1}^M A_{k,m})<1$ for some limiting probability measure \mathbb{Q} , which is contradictory.
 - (b) Conclude that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $k \ge 1$ there are indices $M_{k,\varepsilon} \ge 1$ such that $\inf_i \mathbb{P}_i(K) > 1 \varepsilon$ holds with $K := \bigcap_{k \ge 1} \bigcup_{m=1}^{M_{k,\varepsilon}} A_{k,m}$. Moreover, K is relatively compact in S, which suffices.

Course Stochastic Processes Winter 2009/10 Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin



Exercises: sheet 12

- 1. The Brownian bridge $(X_t, t \in [0, 1])$ is a centered and continuous Gaussian process with $Cov(X_s, X_t) = s(1 t)$ for $0 \le s \le t \le 1$. Show that it has the same law on C([0, 1]) as $(B_t tB_1, t \in [0, 1])$, B a Brownian motion. Optional: Simulate 100 trajectories of a Brownian bridge. Use conditional densities to show that X is the process obtained from $(B_t, t \in [0, 1])$ conditioned on $\{B_1 = 0\}$.
- 2. Prove: If the random vector $X_n \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ is independent of the random vector $Y_n \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ for all $n \geqslant 1$ and $X_n \xrightarrow{d} N(\mu_1, \Sigma_1)$, $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} N(\mu_2, \Sigma_2)$ hold, then $(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{d} N(\mu_1, \Sigma) \otimes N(\mu_2, \Sigma_2) = N((\mu_1, \mu_2), \operatorname{diag}(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2))$ follows. Hint: Check that $(X_n, Y_n)_{n\geqslant 1}$ has tight laws and identify the limiting laws on cartesian products. Optional: Show a more general result for independent laws on Polish spaces.
- 3. Let (S, \mathcal{S}) be a measurable space, T an uncountable set.
 - (a) Show that for each $B \in \mathscr{S}^{\otimes T}$ there is a countable set $I \subseteq T$ such that

$$\forall x \in S^T, y \in B : (x(t) = y(t) \text{ for all } t \in I) \Rightarrow x \in B.$$

Hint: Check first that sets B with this property form a σ -algebra.

- (b) Conclude for a metric space S with at least two elements that the set $C := \{f : [0,1] \to S \mid f \text{ continuous}\}\$ does not belong to $\mathscr{S}^{\otimes [0,1]}$.
- 4. Consider the simple symmetric random walk $(S_n, n \ge 0)$ and the stopping time $\tau_a := \inf\{n \ge 0 \mid S_n = a\}$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - (a) Prove the reflection principle (sketch!): $\mathbb{P}(S_n > a) = \mathbb{P}(S_n > a, \tau_a < n) = \mathbb{P}(S_n < a, \tau_a < n)$.
 - (b) Conclude for $M_n = \max\{S_0, \ldots, S_n\}$:

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n \geqslant a) = \mathbb{P}(\tau_a \leqslant n) = \mathbb{P}(S_n = a) + 2 \mathbb{P}(S_n > a).$$