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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is a comprehensive presentation of the geometrical tools which are necessary to prove the correctness of several up to date algorithms with intrinsic complexity bounds for the problem of real root finding in (mainly) smooth real algebraic varieties given by reduced regular sequences of polynomial equations (see [4, 5, 6, 7], [30] and [31]). The results exposed in this paper form also the geometrical main ingredients for the computational treatment of singular hypersurfaces (see [8]).

In particular, we show the non-emptiness of suitable fully generic dual polar varieties of (possibly singular) real varieties, show that fully generic polar varieties may become singular at smooth points of the original variety, give a sufficient criterion when this is not the case, give an intrinsic degree estimate for polar varieties and introduce the new concept of a sufficiently generic polar variety. Our statements are illustrated by examples and a computer experiment.
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## 1 Preliminaries and results

Let $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ be the fields of rational, real and complex numbers, respectively, let $X:=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ be a vector of indeterminates over $\mathbb{C}$ and let be given a regular sequence $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ such that the ideal $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$ generated by them is the ideal of definition of a closed, $\mathbb{Q}$-definable subvariety $S$ of the $n$-dimensional complex affine space $\mathbb{A}^{n}:=\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Thus $S$ is a non-empty equidimensional affine variety of dimension $n-p$, i.e., each irreducible component of $S$ is of dimension $n-p$. Said otherwise, $S$ is of pure codimension $p$ (in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ ). We denote by $S_{\text {reg }}$ the locus of $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular points of $S$, i.e., the points of $S$, where the Jacobian $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right):=\left[\frac{\partial F_{k}}{\partial X_{l}}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq p \\ 1 \leq \leq \leq n}}$ has maximal rank $p$, and by $S_{\text {sing }}:=S \backslash S_{\text {reg }}$ the singular locus of $S$.
Let $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}:=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ be the $n$-dimensional real affine space. We denote by $S_{\mathbb{R}}:=S \cap \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ the real trace of the complex variety $S$. Moreover, we denote by $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ the $n-$ dimensional complex projective space and by $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ its real counterpart. We shall use also the following notations:

$$
S:=\left\{F_{1}=0, \ldots, F_{p}=0\right\} \text { and } S_{\mathbb{R}}:=\left\{F_{1}=0, \ldots, F_{p}=0\right\}_{\mathbb{R}} .
$$

We denote the coordinate ring of the affine variety $S$ by $\mathbb{C}[S]$. Thus $\mathbb{C}[S]$ is a finitely generated, reduced, equidimensional $\mathbb{C}$-algebra which is a domain when $S$ is irreducible.
By $\mathbb{C}(S)$ we denote the total quotient ring of $\mathbb{C}[S]$ (or simply of $S$ ) which consists of all rational functions of $S$ whose domain has non-empty intersection with every irreducible component of $S$. When $S$ is irreducible, then $\mathbb{C}(S)$ becomes the usual field of rational functions of $S$.
The Chinese Remainder Theorem implies that the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathbb{C}(S)$ is isomomorphic to the direct product of the function fields of the irreducible components of $S$.

Let $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ and let $\alpha:=\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 0<l \leq n}}$ be a complex $((n-p-i+1) \times(n+$ 1)) -matrix and suppose that $\alpha_{*}:=\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq n \\ 1 \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}}$ has maximal rank $n-p-i+1$. In case $\left(a_{1,0}, \ldots, a_{n-p-i+1,0}\right)=0$ we denote by $\underline{K}(\alpha):=\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\alpha)$ and in case $\left(a_{1,0}, \ldots, a_{n-p-i+1,0}\right) \neq 0$ by $\bar{K}(\alpha):=\bar{K}^{n-p-i}(\alpha)$ the $(n-p-i)$-dimensional linear subvarieties of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ which for $1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1$ are spanned by the the points ( $\left.a_{k, 0}: a_{k, 1}: \cdots: a_{k, n}\right)$.
The classic and the dual $i$-th polar varieties of $S$ associated with the linear varieties $\underline{K}(\alpha)$ and $\bar{K}(\alpha)$ are defined as the closures of the loci of the $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$ regular points of $S$ where all $(n-i+1)$-minors of the respective polynomial $((n-i+1) \times n)$ matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 
& J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right) & \\
a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{n-p-i+1,1} & \ldots & a_{n-p-i+1, n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\left[\right]
$$

vanish. We denote these polar varieties by

$$
\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\alpha)}(S):=\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\alpha)}(S) \text { and } \widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\alpha)}(S):=\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{n-p-i}(\alpha)}(S),
$$

respectively. They are of expected pure codimension $i$ in $S$. Observe also that the polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\alpha)}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{n-p-i}(\alpha)}(S)$ are determined by the $((n-$ $p-i+1) \times n$ )-matrix $a:=\alpha_{*}$ and their classic or dual character.

If $\alpha$ is a real $((n-p-i+1) \times(n+1))$-matrix, we denote by

$$
\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\alpha)}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right):=\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\alpha)}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right):=\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\alpha)}(S) \cap \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}
$$

and

$$
\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\alpha)}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right):=\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{n-p-i}(\alpha)}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right):=\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\alpha)}(S) \cap \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}
$$

the real traces of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\alpha)}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\alpha)}(S)$.
In [6] and [7] we have introduced the notion of dual polar varieties of $S$ (and $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ ) and motivated by geometric arguments the calculatory definition of these objects.
For the rest of this section let us assume that for fixed $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ there is given a generic complex $((n-p-i+1) \times n)$-matrix $a=\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}}$.
For $1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1$ and $0 \leq l \leq n$ we introduce the following notations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\underline{a}_{k, l}:=0 \text { and } \bar{a}_{k, l}:=1 \text { if } l=0, \underline{a}_{k, l}:=\bar{a}_{k, l}:=a_{k, l} \text { if } 1 \leq l \leq n, \\
\underline{a}:=\left[\underline{a}_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\
0 \leq l \leq n}} \text { and } \bar{a}:=\left[\bar{a}_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{\leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\
0 \leq l \leq n}}
\end{gathered}
$$

(thus we have $\underline{a}_{*}=\bar{a}_{*}=\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}}$ ).
The corresponding polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\underline{a})}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\bar{a})}(S)$ will be called generic. In this case we have shown in [6] and [7] that the polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K(a)}}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\underline{a})}(S)$ are either empty or of pure codimension $i$ in $S$. Further, we have shown that the local rings of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K(a)}}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\bar{a})}(S)$ at any $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular point are Cohen-Macaulay ([6], Theorem 9).
These statements remain even true, if we consider $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\underline{a})}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\bar{a})}(S)$ as schemes. In the case of the classic polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\underline{a})}(S)$ these results are well known to specialists as a consequence of Kleiman's variant of the Bertini Theorems ([20]) and the Cohen-Macaulayness of generic Schubert varieties (see [25]), proof of Proposition 1.2 or [38], Chapitre IV, proof of Proposition 2. In the case of the dual polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(a)}(S)$ this kind of general argumentation cannot be applied and more specific tools are necessary like those developed in [6] and [7].

The modern concept of (classic) polar varieties was introduced in the 1930's by F. Severi ([34], [35]) and J. A. Todd ([41],[42]), while the intimately related notion of a reciprocal curve goes back to the work of J.-V. Poncelet in the period of 1813-1829.
As pointed out by Severi and Todd, generic polar varieties have to be understood as being organized in certain equivalence classes which embody relevant geometric properties of the underlying algebraic variety $S$. This view led to the consideration of rational equivalence classes of the generic polar varieties.
Around 1975 a renewal of the theory of polar varieties took place with essential contributions due R. Piene ([25]), B. Teissier, D. T. Lê ([21], [38]), J. P. Henry and M. Merle ([19]), A. Dubson ([13], Chapitre IV), J. P. Brasselet and others (the list is not exhaustive, see [39],[25] and [10] for a historical account and references). The idea was to use rational equivalence classes of generic polar varieties as a tool which allows to establish numerical formulas in order to classify singular varieties by their intrinsic geometric character ([25]).
At the same time, classes of polar varieties were used in order to formulate a manageable local equisingularity criterion which implies the Whitney conditions in analytic varieties in view of a intended concept of canonical stratifications (see [38]).
On the other hand, classic polar varieties became about ten years ago a fundamental tool for the design of efficient computer procedures with intrinsic complexity which find real algebraic sample points for the connected components of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$, if $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$ is a reduced regular sequence in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ and $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is smooth and compact. The sequential time complexity of these procedures, in its essence, turns out to be polynomially bounded by the maximal degree of the generic polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-p$. As we shall see in Section $\ldots$ as a consequence of Theorem ..., this maximal degree is an invariant of the input system $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$ and variety $S$, and even of the real variety $S_{\mathbb{R}}$, if all irreducible components of $S$ contain a $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular real point. In this sense we refer to the complexity of these algorithms as being intrinsic (see [4, 5], [29] and [32]).
The compactness assumption on $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ was essential in order to guarantee the nonemptiness of the classic polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-p$. If $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is singular or unbounded, the generic classic polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ may become empty (this becomes also a drawback for the geometric analysis of singular varieties described above).
In order to overcome this difficulty at least in the case of a non-singular real variety $S_{\mathbb{R}}$, the notion of dual polar varieties was introduced in [6] and [7]. In fact, both classic and dual polar varieties represent particular instances of a single concept, called generalized polar variety in these papers. The usefulness of dual polar varieties is highlighted by the following statement:
If $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is smooth, then the dual polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{n-p-i}(\bar{a})}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ contains a sample point for each connected component of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ (see [6, 7], Proposition 2 and [24], Proposition 2.2).
In case that $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is singular, we have the following, considerably weaker result which
will be shown in Section 2 as Theorem 2.
Let $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ and let $C$ be a connected component of the real variety $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ containing an $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular point. Then, with respect to the Euclidean topology, there exists a non-empty, open subset $O_{C}^{(i)}$ of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ such that any $((n-p-i+1) \times n)$-matrix a of $O_{C}^{(i)}$ has maximal rank $n-p-i+1$ and such that the real dual polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\bar{a})}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is generic and contains an $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular point of $C$.

In view of the so-called "lip of Thom" ([40]), a well studied example of a singular curve, this result cannot be improved. Although it is not too expensive to construct algorithmically non-empty open conditions which imply the conclusion of Theorem 2 , the search for rational sample points satisfying these conditions seems to be as difficult as the task of finding smooth points on singular real varieties.
Dual polar varieties represent a complex counterpart of the Lagrange multipliers. Therefore their geometric meaning concerns more real than complex algebraic varieties. Maybe this is the reason why, motivated by the search for real solutions of polynomial equation systems, they were only recently introduced in (complex) algebraic geometry. In the special case of $p:=1$ and $i:=n-p$ the notion of a dual polar variety appears implicitly in [28] (see also [26], [3] and [31]).
The consideration of general $(n-p)$-th classic (or dual) polar varieties was introduced in complexity theory by [16] and got the name "critical point method". The emerging of elimination procedures of intrinsic complexity made it necessary to take into account also the higher dimensional $i$-th polar varieties of $S$ (for $1 \leq i<n-p)$.

Under the name of "reciprocal polar varieties" generic dual varieties of real singular plane curves are exhaustively studied in [24] and a manageable sufficient condition for their non-emptiness is exhibited.

An alternative procedure of intrinsic complexity to find sample points in not necessarily compact smooth semialgebraic varieties was exhibited in [29]. This procedure is based on the recursive use of classic polar varieties.

We are now going to describe the further content of this paper. For the sake of simplicity of exposition let us suppose for the moment that the given variety $S$ is smooth. Refining the tools developed in [6] and [7] we shall show in the first part of Section 3 that the (fully) generic classic and dual polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K(a)}}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\bar{a})}(S)$ are normal (see Theorem 5 and Corollary 7). Hence the generic polar varieties of $S$ are both, Cohen-Macaulay and normal.
Unfortunately, this is the best result we can hope. In the second part of Section 4 we shall exhibit a general method which allows to obtain smooth varieties $S$ whose higher dimensional generic polar varieties are singular. This disproves the assertion of [7], Theorem 10 (i) that claims that all generic polar varieties of $S$ are empty or smooth. On the other hand we shall describe a sufficient combinatorial condition in terms of the parameters $n, p$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-p$, which guarantees that the lower dimensional generic polar varieties of $S$ are empty or non-singular.

Let us mention here that in case $p:=1$, i.e., if $S$ is a hypersurface, the classic polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\underline{a})}(S)$ is smooth. This is an immediate consequence of the
transversality version of Kleiman's theorem (see also [4] for an elementary proof). However, in case $p:=1$, the higher dimensional generic dual polar varieties of the smooth hypersurface $S$ may contain singularities.
Finally we explain in Section 3 in a more systematic way how singularities in higher dimensional generic polar varieties of $S$ may arise.
Using for generic $a \in \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ a natural desingularization of the (open) polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S) \cap S_{\text {reg }}$ in the spirit of Room-Kempf [27], we shall include the singularities of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S) \cap S_{\text {reg }}$ in a kind of algebraic geometric "discriminant locus" of this desingularization.
On the other hand the generic complex $((n-p-i+1) \times n)$-matrix $a$ induces an analytic map from $S_{\text {reg }}$ to $\mathbb{A}^{n-p-i+1}$. We shall show that $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S) \cap S_{\text {reg }}$ may be decomposed into smooth Thom-Boardman strata of this map.

In the geometric analysis of singular varieties as well as in real polynomial equation solving, generic polar varieties play a fundamental role as providers of geometric invariants which characterize the underlying (complex or real) algebraic variety, in our case $S$ or $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. We have already seen that for $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ the generic polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{n-p-i}(\bar{a})}(S)$ are empty or of codimension $i$ in $S$ and therefore their dimension becomes an invariant of the algebraic variety $S$. On the other hand, Theorem 2 and the example of Thom's lip imply that for $a \in \mathbb{Q}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ generic the dimension of the real polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\bar{a})}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is not an invariant of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$, since $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\bar{a})}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ may be empty or not, according to the choice of $a$.
In Section 4 we shall explain how the degrees of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{n-p-i}(\bar{a})}(S)$ may be interpreted as invariants of the algebraic variety $S$. An alternative way to associate a complexity sensitive invariant to real varieties is designed in [33].
It may occur that the degree of fully generic polar varieties represents a too coarse measure for the complexity of elimination procedures which solve real polynomial equations. Therefore it is sometimes convenient to replace for $1 \leq i \leq$ $n-p$ the generic polar varieties of $S$ and $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ by more special ones of the form $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S), \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{n-p-i}(\bar{a})}(S), \widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{n-p-i}(\bar{a})}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$, where $a$ ranges over a Zariski dense subset of a suitable linear subspace of $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ or $\mathbb{Q}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$. We call these special polar varieties sufficiently generic. They share important properties with fully generic polar varieties (e.g. dimension and reducedness), but they may have smaller degree. Often they are locally given as transversal intersections of canonical equations and therefore smooth. A particular class of sufficiently generic polar varieties with this property was studied in [5].
Another class of sufficiently generic polar varieties appears implicitly in [8], where the problem of finding smooth algebraic sample points for the (non-degenerated) connected components of singular real hypersurfaces is studied.

The rest of Section 4 is devoted to the discussion of the notion of a sufficiently generic polar variety.

## 2 Real dual polar varieties

This section is concerned with the proof of Theorem 2, which was announced in Section 1. We start with the following technical statement.

## Lemma 1

Let $C$ be a connected component of the real variety $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ containing an $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$ regular point. Then, with respect to the Euclidean topology, there exists a nonempty, open subset $U_{C}$ of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} \backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}$ that satisfies the following condition: Let u be an arbitrary point of $U_{C}$ and let $x$ be any point of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ that minimizes the Euclidean distance to $u$ with respect to $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $x$ is an $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular point belonging to $C$.

## Proof

For any two points $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ and any subset $Y$ of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ we denote by $d\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ the Euclidean distance between $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ and by $d\left(z_{1}, Y\right)$ the Euclidean distance from $z_{1}$ to $Y$, i.e., $d\left(z_{1}, Y\right):=\inf \left\{d\left(z_{1}, y\right) \mid y \in Y\right\}$. Let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{s}$ be the connected components of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ and suppose without loss of generality that $C=C_{1}$ holds.

By assumption there exists an $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular point $z$ of $C$. Observe that the distance $d\left(z, S_{\text {sing }} \cap \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ of $z$ to $S_{\text {sing }} \cap \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ is positive.

Choose now an open ball $B$ of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ around the origin which intersects $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{s}$ and contains the point $z$ in its interior. Since the non-empty sets $C_{1} \cap \bar{B}, \ldots, C_{s} \cap \bar{B}$ are disjoint and compact, they have well-defined, positive distances. Therefore, there exists a positive real number $d$ stricly smaller than all these distances and $d\left(z, S_{\text {sing }} \cap \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ such that the open sphere of radius $d$ and center $z$ is contained in $B$. Let $U^{*}:=\left\{u^{*} \in A_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} \left\lvert\, d\left(u^{*}, z\right)<\frac{d}{2}\right.\right\}$ be the open ball of radius $\frac{d}{2}$ and center $z$ and let $U_{C}:=U^{*} \backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}$.
Since $S$ has positive codimension in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$, we conclude that $U_{C}$ is a non-empty open set with respect to the Euclidean topology which is contained in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} \backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}$.
Let $u$ be an arbitrary point of $U_{C}$. Since $u$ does not belong to the closed set $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ we have $0<d\left(u, S_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \leq d(u, z)<\frac{d}{2}$. Let $x$ be any point of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ that minimizes the distance to $u$, thus satisfying the condition $d(u, x)=d\left(u, S_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$. From $d(u, x)<\frac{d}{2}$, $d(z, u)<\frac{d}{2}$ and the triangle inequality one concludes now that

$$
d(z, x) \leq d(z, u)+d(u, x)<d
$$

holds. Therefore $x$ cannot be contained in $C_{2}, \ldots, C_{s}$ and neither in $S_{\text {sing }} \cap \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$. Thus, necessarily $x$ is an $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular point of $C$.

Now we are going to formulate and prove the main result of this section.

## Theorem 2

Let $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ and let $C$ be a connected component of the real variety $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ containing an $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular point. Then, with respect to the Euclidean topology, there exists a non-empty, open subset $O_{C}^{(i)}$ of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ such that any $((n-p-i+1) \times n)$-matrix $a$ of $O_{C}^{(i)}$ has maximal rank $n-p-i+1$
and such that the real dual polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(a)}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is generic and contains an $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular point of $C$.

The precise meaning of "genericity" used here will become clear in the next section.

## Proof

Taking into account Lemma 1, we follow the arguments contained in the proof of [6] and [7], Proposition 2.
Let $C$ be a connected component of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ containing an $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular point and let $U_{C}$ be the non-empty open subset of $\mathbb{A}^{n} \backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}$ introduced in Lemma 1 . Without loss of generality we may assume $0 \notin U_{C} \backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}$ and that for any point $a \in U_{C} \backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}$ the dual polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{0}(a)}(S)$ is empty or generic. Thus, putting $O_{C}^{(n-p)}:=U_{C} \backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}$, we show first that the statement of Theorem 2 is true for $C$ and $i:=n-p$.
Let $\left(a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{1, n}\right)$ be an arbitrary element of $O_{C}^{(n-p)}, a_{1,0}:=1, a:=\left(a_{1,0}, \ldots, a_{1, n}\right)$ and let $T_{a}^{(n-p)}$ be the polynomial $((p+1) \times n)$-matrix

$$
T_{a}^{(n-p)}:=T_{a}^{(n-p)}(X):=\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 
& J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right) & \\
a_{1,1}-X_{1} & \ldots & a_{1, n}-X_{n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

For $p+1 \leq k \leq n$ denote by $N_{k}:=N_{k}(x)$ the $(p+1)-$ minor of $T_{a}^{(n-p)}$ given by the columns $1, \ldots, p, k$. There exists a point $x$ of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ that minimizes the distance to $\left(a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{1, n}\right)$ with respect to $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. From Lemma 1 we deduce that $x$ is an $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular point belonging to $C$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{\partial F_{j}}{\partial X_{k}}\right]_{1 \leq j, k \leq p}$ does not vanish at $x$.
There exists therefore a chart $Y$ of the real differentiable manifold $S_{\text {reg }} \cap \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$, passing through $x$, with local parameters $X_{p+\left.1\right|_{Y}}, \ldots, X_{\left.n\right|_{Y}}$. Consider now the restriction $\varphi$ of the polynomial function $\left(a_{1,1}-X_{1}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(a_{1, n}-X_{n}\right)^{2}$ to the chart $Y$ and observe that $x \in Y$ minimizes the function $\varphi$ with respect to $Y$. From the Lagrange-Multiplier-Theorem [37] we deduce easily that the polynomials $N_{p+1}, \ldots, N_{n}$ vanish at $x$. Taking into account that $\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{\partial F_{j}}{\partial X_{k}}\right]_{1 \leq j, k \leq p}$ does not vanish at $x$ we infer from the Exchange-Lemma of [4] that any $(p+1)$-minor of $T_{a}^{(n-p)}$ must vanish at $x$. Therefore, $x$ is an $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular point belonging to $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{0}(a)}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \cap C$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{0}(a)}(S)$ is generic.
Now let $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ be arbitrary and let $\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}}^{\substack{1 \leq n}}$ be a real $((n-p-$ $i+1) \times n)$-matrix of maximal rank $n-p-i+1, a_{1,0}:=\cdots:=a_{n-p-i+1,0}:=1$ and $a:=\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{\leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 0 \leq l \leq n}}$.
Further, let $T_{a}^{(i)}$ be the polynomial $((n-i+1) \times n)$-matrix

$$
T_{a}^{(i)}:=T_{a}^{(i)}(X):=\left[\right]
$$

and recall that the $i$-th (complex) dual polar variety of $S$ associated with the linear variety $\bar{K}(a):=\bar{K}^{n-p-i}(a)$, namely $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(a)}(S)$, is the closure of the locus of the $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular points of $S$, where all $(n-p-i)$-minors of $T_{a}^{(i)}$ vanish. We choose now a non-empty open subset $O_{C}^{(i)}$ of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) Any $((n-p-i+1) \times n)$-matrix $\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}}$ belonging to $O_{C}^{(i)}$ has maximal rank $n-p-i+1$, and for $a_{1,0}:=\cdots:=a_{n-p-i+1,0}:=1$ and $a:=$ $\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 0 \leq l \leq n}}$ the dual polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{n-p-i}(a)}(S)$ is empty or generic.
(ii) The point $\left(a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{1, n}\right)$ belongs to $O_{C}^{(n-p)}$.

Let be given an $((n-p-i+1) \times(n+1))$-matrix $a$ satisfying both conditions above. From the structure of the polynomial matrix $T_{a}^{(i)}$ one infers easily that $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{0}(a)}(S)$ is contained in $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{n-p-i}(a)}(S)$. As we have seen above, $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{0}(a)}(S) \cap C$ contains an $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular point and therefore also does $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{n-p-i}(a)}(S) \cap C$. Moreover, by the choice of $O_{C}^{(n-p)}$, the dual polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{n-p-i}(a)}(S)$ is generic.

## Corollary 3

Suppose that the real variety $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ contains an $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular point and let $1 \leq i \leq n-p$. Then, with respect to the Euclidean distance, there exists a non-empty, open subset $O^{(i)}$ of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ such that any $((n-p-i+1) \times n)-$ matrix $\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 1 \leq \leq \leq n}}$ of $O^{(i)}$ has maximal rank $n-p-i+1$ and such that for $a_{1,0}:=\cdots:=a_{n-p-i+1,0}:=1$ and $a:=\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 0 \leq l \leq n}}$ the dual polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{n-p-i}(a)}(S)$ is generic and non-empty.

## 3 On the smoothness of (fully) generic polar varieties

Using the ideas and tools developed in [6] and [7], we are going to prove in the first and main part of this section that the (fully) generic classic and dual polar varieties of $S$ are normal and Cohen-Macaulay at any of their $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular points. Then we show by means of an infinite family of examples that it is not always true that all generic polar varieties of $S$ are smooth at any of their $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular points. This disproves the assertion of [7], Theorem 10 (i). We finish the section with an explanation of this phenomenon of non-smoothness.

For the sake of simplicity of exposition, let us assume for the moment $1 \leq p<n$ and that any point of $S$ is $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular. Further, let $\left[A_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p \\ 1 \leq 1 \leq n}}$ be a $((n-p) \times n)$-matrix of new indeterminates $A_{k, l}$.
Recursively in $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ and relative to $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}$, we are now going to introduce two genericity conditions for complex $(n-p) \times n$-matrices, namely
$U_{\text {classic }}^{(i)}$ and $U_{\text {dual }}^{(i)}$, such that

$$
U_{\text {classic }}:=U_{\text {classic }}^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset U_{\text {classic }}^{(n-p)} \subset \mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n}
$$

and

$$
U_{\text {dual }}:=U_{\text {dual }}^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset U_{\text {dual }}^{(n-p)} \subset \mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n}
$$

form two filtrations of $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n}$ by suitable constructible, Zariski dense subsets (in fact, we shall choose them as being non-empty and Zariski open). The sets $U_{\text {classic }}$ and $U_{\text {dual }}$ will then give an appropriate meaning to the concept of a generic flag of classic and dual polar varieties of $S$ or simply to the concept of a generic polar variety.
Let us begin by introducing for $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ the genericity conditions $U_{\text {classic }}^{(i)}$. We start with $i:=n-p$.
We fix temporarily a $(p \times p)$-minor $m$ of the Jacobian $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$. For the sake of conciseness we shall assume $m:=\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{\partial F_{j}}{\partial X_{k}}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq \leq \leq p \\ 1 \leq k \leq p}}$. We suppose first that $1 \leq p<n-1$ holds. In this case we fix for the moment an arbitrary selection of indices $1 \leq k_{1} \leq \cdots \leq k_{n-p+1} \leq n-p$ and $p<l_{1} \leq \cdots \leq l_{n-p+1} \leq n$, such that $\left(k_{1}, l_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(k_{n-p+1}, l_{n-p+1}\right)$ are all distinct (observe that the condition $1 \leq p<n-1$ ensures that such selections exist). For $1 \leq j \leq n-p+1$, let

$$
M_{k_{j}, l_{j}}^{(n-p)}:=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{p}} & \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{l_{j}}} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{p}} & \frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{l_{j}}} \\
A_{k_{j}, 1} & \cdots & A_{k_{j}, p} & A_{k_{j}, l_{j}}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Writing $\mathbb{A}^{n}{ }_{m}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{A}^{n} \mid m(x) \neq 0\right\}$, we may suppose without loss of generality that $S_{m}:=S \cap \mathbb{A}^{n}{ }_{m}$ is non-empty.
We consider now two polynomial maps of smooth varieties, namely

$$
\Phi: \mathbb{A}^{n}{ }_{m} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n} \text { and } \Psi: \mathbb{A}^{n}{ }_{m} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n+1}
$$

which are defined as follows:
For $x \in S_{m}, 1 \leq j \leq n-p+1, a_{j}:=\left(a_{k_{j}, 1}, \ldots, a_{k_{j}, p}, a_{k_{j}, l_{j}}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{p+1}$ and any complex $((n-p) \times n)$-matrix $a^{\prime}$ that contains at the slots indicated by the indices $\left(k_{j}, 1\right), \ldots,\left(k_{j}, p\right),\left(k_{j}, l_{j}\right), 1 \leq j \leq n-p+1$, the corresponding entries of the points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-p+1}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{p+1}$, the maps $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ take the values

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(x, a^{\prime}\right):=\Phi\left(x, a_{1}, \ldots,\right. & \left.a_{n-p}\right):= \\
& \left(F_{1}(x), \ldots, F_{p}(x), M_{k_{1}, l_{1}}\left(x, a_{1}\right), \ldots, M_{k_{n-p}, l_{n-p}}\left(x, a_{n-p}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi\left(x, a^{\prime}\right):=\Psi\left(x, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-p+1}\right):= \\
& \\
& \quad\left(F_{1}(x), \ldots, F_{p}(x), M_{k_{1}, l_{1}}\left(x, a_{1}\right), \ldots, M_{k_{n-p+1}, l_{n-p+1}}\left(x, a_{n-p+1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left(x, a^{\prime}\right)$ be an arbitrary point of $\mathbb{A}^{n}{ }_{m} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n}$ which satisfies the condition $\Phi\left(x, a^{\prime}\right)=0$. Then the Jacobian of $\Phi$ at $\left(x, a^{\prime}\right)$ contains a complex $(n \times(2 n-p))-$ matrix of the following form:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
& m(x) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
* & \vdots & m(x) & \cdots & 0 \\
* & 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\
& 0 & \cdots & m(x)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Taking into account $m(x) \neq 0$ and that $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x)$ has rank $p$, one sees easily that this matrix has maximal rank $n$. Therefore $\Phi$ is regular at $\left(x, a^{\prime}\right)$. Since this point was chosen arbitrarily in $\Phi^{-1}(0)$, we conclude that $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ is a regular value of the polynomial map $\Phi$.

By a similar argument one infers that $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ is a regular value of $\Psi$.
Therefore there exists by the Weak Transversality Theorem of Thom-Sard [12] a non-empty Zariski open subset $U$ of $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n}$ satisfiying the following conditions:

For any complex $((n-p) \times n)$-matrix $a^{\prime} \in U$ such that $a^{\prime}$ contains at the slots indicated by the indices $\left(k_{j}, 1\right), \ldots,\left(k_{j}, p\right),\left(k_{j}, l_{j}\right), 1 \leq j \leq n-p+1$, the entries of suitable points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-p+1}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{p+1}$, the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}(X)=\cdots=F_{p}(X)=0, \quad  \tag{1}\\
& \quad M_{k_{1}, l_{1}}\left(X, a_{1}\right)=\cdots=M_{k_{n-p}, l_{n-p}}\left(X, a_{n-p}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

intersect transversally at any of their common solutions and the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1}(X)=\cdots=F_{p}(X)= & 0  \tag{2}\\
& M_{k_{1}, l_{1}}\left(X, a_{1}\right)=\cdots=M_{k_{n-p+1}, l_{n-p+1}}\left(X, a_{n-p+1}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

have no common zero in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$.
Remember now that the construction of $U$ depends on the selection of the minor $m$ and the indices $\left(k_{1}, l_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(k_{n-p+1}, l_{n-p+1}\right)$. There are only finitely many of these choices and each of them gives rise to a non-empty Zariski open subset of $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n}$. Cutting the intersection of all these sets with $\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{(n-p) \times n}$ we obtain finally $U_{\text {classic }}^{(n-p)}$.
The remaining case $p:=n-1$ is treated similarly, considering only the polynomial map $\Phi$.
The general step of our recursive construction of the genericity conditions $U_{\text {classic }}^{(i)}, \quad 1 \leq$ $i \leq n-p$, is based on the same kind of argumentation. For the sake of completeness and though our reasoning may appear repetitive, we shall indicate all essential points that contain modifications with respect to our previous argumentation.
Let $1 \leq i<n-p$ and suppose that the genericity condition $U_{\text {classic }}^{(i+1)}$ is already constructed. Consider the $(n \times n)$ - matrix

$$
N:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 
& J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right) & \\
A_{1,1} & \ldots & A_{1, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
A_{n-p, 1} & \cdots & A_{n-p, n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and fix for the moment an arbitrary $((n-i) \times(n-i))-$ submatrix of $N$ which contains $n-i$ entries from each of the rows number $1, \ldots, p$ of $N$. Let $m$ denote the corresponding $(n-i)$-minor of $N$. For the sake of conciseness we shall assume that $m$ is the minor

$$
m:=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{n-i}} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{n-i}} \\
A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1, n-i} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
A_{n-p-i, 1} & \cdots & A_{n-p-i, n-i}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Without loss of generality we may suppose that $\left(S \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n}\right)_{m}\right.$ is non-empty. We denote the canonical projection of $\left(\mathbb{A}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n}\right)_{m}$ to $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n}$ by $U_{1}$. Observe that $U_{1}$ is a non-empty Zariski open subset of $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n}$.

Let us first suppose that $i^{2}>n-p$ holds.
In this case we fix temporarily an arbitrary selection of indices $n-p-i<$ $k_{1} \leq \cdots \leq k_{n-p+1} \leq n-p$ and $n-i<l_{1} \leq \cdots \leq l_{n-p+1} \leq n$ such that $\left(k_{1}, l_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(k_{n-p+1}, l_{n-p+1}\right)$ are all distinct (observe that the condition $i^{2}>n-p$ ensures that such selections exist).
For $1 \leq j \leq n-p+1$ we shall consider in the following the $(n-i+1)-$ minor

$$
M_{k_{j}, l_{j}}^{(i)}:=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{n-i}} & \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{l_{j}}} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{n-i}} & \frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{l_{j}}} \\
A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1, n-i} & A_{1, l_{j}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
A_{n-p-i, 1} & \cdots & A_{n-p-i, n-i} & A_{n-p-i, l_{j}} \\
A_{k_{j}, 1} & \cdots & A_{k_{j}, n-i} & A_{k_{j}, l_{j}}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

of $N$.
In the same spirit as before, we introduce now two polynomial maps of smooth varieties, namely

$$
\Phi:\left(\mathbb{A}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i) \times n}\right)_{m} \times \mathbb{A}^{i \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n}
$$

and

$$
\Psi:\left(\mathbb{A}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i) \times n}\right)_{m} \times \mathbb{A}^{i \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n+1}
$$

which are defined as follows:
For $\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{A}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i) \times n}\right)_{m}, 1 \leq j \leq n-p+1$, $a_{j}:=\left(a_{k_{j}, 1}, \ldots, a_{k_{j}, n-i}, a_{1, l_{j}}, \ldots, a_{n-p-i, l_{j}}, a_{k_{j}, l_{j}}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{2(n-i)-p+1}$ and any complex $(i \times n)$-matrix $a^{\prime \prime \prime}$ that contains at the slots indicated by the indices $\left(k_{j}, 1\right), \ldots,\left(k_{j}, n-\right.$ $i),\left(1, l_{j}\right), \ldots,\left(n-p-i, l_{j}\right),\left(k_{j}, l_{j}\right), 1 \leq j \leq n-p+1$, the corresponding entries of the points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-p+1}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{2(n-i)-p+1}$, the maps $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ take the values

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime \prime}\right):= & \Phi\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-p}\right):= \\
& \left(F_{1}(x), \ldots, F_{p}(x), M_{k_{1}, l_{1}}\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a_{1}\right), \ldots, M_{k_{n-p}, l_{n-p}}\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a_{n-p}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime \prime}\right):=\Psi\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-p+1}\right):= \\
& \quad:=\left(F_{1}(x), \ldots, F_{p}(x), M_{k_{1}, l_{1}}\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a_{1}\right), \ldots, M_{k_{n-p+1}, l_{n-p+1}}\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a_{n-p+1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ be an arbitrary point of $\left(\mathbb{A}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i) \times n}\right)_{m} \times \mathbb{A}^{i \times n}$ which satisfies the condition $\Phi\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=0$. Then the Jacobian of $\Phi$ at $\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ contains a complex $(n \times(2 n-p))$-matrix of the following form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
& m\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}\right) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
& 0 & m\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}\right) & \cdots & 0 \\
* & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& 0 & 0 & \cdots & m\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Taking into account $m\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}\right) \neq 0$ and that $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x)$ has rank $p$, one sees easily that this matrix and hence the Jacobian of $\Phi$ at the point ( $x, a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ) has maximal rank $n$. Therefore $\Phi$ is regular at $\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$. Since this point was chosen arbitrarily in $\Phi^{-1}(0)$, we conclude that $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ is a regular value of the polynomial map $\Phi$. Similarly one argues that $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ is a regular value of $\Psi$.

Applying again the Weak Transversality Theorem of Thom-Sard, we conclude that there exists a non-empty Zariski open subset $U$ of $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n}$ satisfying the following conditions:
For any pair of consisting of a complex $((n-i) \times n)-$ matrix $a^{\prime \prime}$ and a complex ( $i \times$ $n$ ) -matrix $a^{\prime \prime \prime}$ such that ( $\left.a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ belongs to $U$ and such that $a^{\prime \prime \prime}$ contains at the slots indicated by the indices $\left(k_{j}, 1\right), \ldots,\left(k_{j}, n-i\right),\left(1, l_{j}\right), \ldots,\left(n-p-i, l_{j}\right),\left(k_{j}, l_{j}\right)$, $1 \leq j \leq n-p+1$, the entries of suitable points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-p+1}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{2(n-i)-p+1}$, the equations
(3) $F_{1}(X)=\cdots=F_{p}(X)=0$,

$$
M_{k_{1}, l_{1}}\left(X, a^{\prime \prime}, a_{1}\right)=\cdots=M_{k_{n-p}, l_{n-p}}\left(X, a^{\prime \prime}, a_{n-p}\right)=0
$$

intersect transversally at any of their common solutions and the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}(X)=\cdots=F_{p}(X)=0  \tag{4}\\
& \quad M_{k_{1}, l_{1}}\left(X, a^{\prime \prime}, a_{1}\right)=\cdots=M_{k_{n-p+1}, l_{n-p+1}}\left(X, a^{\prime \prime}, a_{n-p+1}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

have no common zero in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$.
Remember now that the construction of $U$ depends on the selection of the minor $m$ and the indices $\left(k_{1}, l_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(k_{n-p+1}, l_{n-p+1}\right)$. There are only finitely many of these choices and each of them gives rise to a non-empty Zariski open subset of $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n}$. Cutting the intersection of all these sets with $U_{\text {classic }}^{(i+1)}$ we obtain finally $U_{\text {classic }}^{(i)}$.
The remaining case $i^{2} \leq n-p$ is treated similarly. In order to explain the
differences with the previous argumentation, let us fix again the $(n-i)$ - minor

$$
m:=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{n-i}} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{n-i}} \\
A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1, n-i} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
A_{n-p-i, 1} & \cdots & A_{n-p-i, n-i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

of the $n \times n$ matrix $N$, and an arbitrary selection of indices $n-p-i<k_{1} \leq$ $\cdots \leq k_{i^{2}} \leq n-p$ and $n-i<l_{1} \leq \cdots \leq l_{i^{2}} \leq n$, such that $\left(k_{1}, l_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(k_{i^{2}}, l_{i^{2}}\right)$ are all distinct (observe that the condition $i^{2} \leq n-p$ ensures that such selections exist).
The ( $n-i+1$ )- minors $M_{k_{j}, l_{j}}, 1 \leq j \leq i^{2}$ are the same as before. We consider now instead of $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ only the polynomial map

$$
\widetilde{\Phi}:\left(\mathbb{A}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i) \times n}\right)_{m} \times \mathbb{A}^{i \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{p+i^{2}}
$$

which is defined as follows:
For $\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{A}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i) \times n}\right)_{m}, 1 \leq j \leq i^{2}$, $a_{j}:=\left(a_{k_{j}, 1}, \ldots, a_{k_{j}, n-i}, a_{1, l_{j}}, \ldots, a_{n-p-i, l_{j}}, a_{k_{j}, l_{j}}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{2(n-i)-p+1}$ and any complex $(i \times n)$-matrix $a^{\prime \prime \prime}$ that contains at the slots indicated by the indices $\left(k_{j}, 1\right), \ldots,\left(k_{j}, n-\right.$ $i),\left(1, l_{j}\right), \ldots,\left(n-p-i, l_{j}\right),\left(k_{j}, l_{j}\right), 1 \leq j \leqq i^{2}$, the corresponding entries of the points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i^{2}}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{2(n-i)-p+1}$, the map $\widetilde{\Phi}$ takes the value

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\Phi}\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime \prime}\right):=\widetilde{\Phi}\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i^{2}}\right):= \\
& \\
& \quad\left(F_{1}(x), \ldots, F_{p}(x), M_{k_{1}, l_{1}}\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a_{1}\right), \ldots, M_{k_{i^{2}}, l_{i^{2}}}\left(x, a^{\prime \prime}, a_{i^{2}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As before one concludes that $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{p+i^{2}}$ is a regular value of the polynomial map $\widetilde{\Phi}$ and that there exists a non-empty Zariski open subset $\widetilde{U}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n}$ satisfying the following condition:
For any pair consisting of a complex $((n-i) \times n)$-matrix $a^{\prime \prime}$ and a complex $(i \times n)-$ matrix $a^{\prime \prime \prime}$ such that $\left(a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ belongs to $\widetilde{U}$ and such that $a^{\prime \prime \prime}$ contains at the slots indicated by the indices $\left(k_{j}, 1\right), \ldots,\left(k_{j}, n-i\right),\left(1, l_{j}\right), \ldots,\left(n-p-i, l_{j}\right),\left(k_{j}, l_{j}\right), 1 \leq$ $j \leq i^{2}$, the entries of suitable points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i^{2}}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{2(n-i)-p+1}$, the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1}(X)=\cdots=F_{p}(X)= & 0  \tag{5}\\
& M_{k_{1}, l_{1}}\left(X, a^{\prime \prime}, a_{1}\right)=\cdots=M_{k_{n-p}, l_{n-p}}\left(X, a^{\prime \prime}, a_{i^{2}}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

intersect transversally at any of their common solutions.
Replacing in the previous argumentation the set $U$ by $\widetilde{U}$ we define now $U_{\text {classic }}^{(i)}$ in the same way as before.
The construction of a filtration of $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n}$ by non-empty Zariski open subsets $U_{\text {dual }}^{(i)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-p$, follows the same line of reasoning, where the $n \times n$ - matrix
$N$ has to be replaced by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 
& J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right) & \\
A_{1,1}-X_{1} & \ldots & A_{1, n}-X_{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
A_{n-p, 1}-X_{1} & \cdots & A_{n-p, n}-X_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

One has only to take care to add in the construction of the sets $U_{d u a l}^{(i)}, 1 \leq j \leq$ $n-p$, suitable Zariski open conditions for the minors of the $((n-p) \times n)$ - matrix

$$
\left[A_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{\begin{subarray}{c}{1 \leq k \leq n-p \\
1 \leq l \leq n} }}\end{subarray}} .
$$

For the rest of this section we fix a complex $((n-p) \times n)$-matrix $\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}}$ belonging to the genericity condition $U_{\text {classic }} \cap U_{\text {dual }}$.
For $1 \leq i \leq n-p, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1, \quad 0 \leq l \leq n$ we introduce the following notations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\underline{a}_{k, l}^{(i)}:=0 \text { and } \bar{a}_{k, l}^{(i)}:=1 \text { if } l=0, \underline{a}_{k, l}^{(i)}=\bar{a}_{k, l}^{(i)}:=a_{k, l} \text { if } 1 \leq l \leq n, \\
\quad \underline{a}^{(i)}:=\left[\underline{a}_{k, l}^{(i)}\right]_{\substack{\leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\
0 \leq \leq \leq n}} \text { and } \bar{a}^{(i)}:=\left[\bar{a}_{k, l}^{(i)}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\
0 \leq l \leq n}}
\end{gathered}
$$

(thus we have in terms of the notation of Section 1 the identity $\underline{a}^{(i)}{ }_{*}=\bar{a}^{(i)}{ }_{*}=$ $\left.\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}}\right)$.
Since $\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}}$ belongs by assumption to the non-empty Zariski open subset $U_{\text {classic }} \cap U_{\text {dual }}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p) \times n}$, we shall consider for $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ the matrices $\underline{a}^{(i)}$ and $\bar{a}^{(i)}$ and the corresponding classic and dual polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)=$ $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)=\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ as "generic". These polar varieties are organized in two flags

$$
\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(n-p)}\right)}(S) \subset \cdots \subset \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(1)}\right)}(S) \subset S \subset \mathbb{A}^{n}
$$

and

$$
\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(n-p)}\right)}(S) \subset \cdots \subset \widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(1)}\right)}(S) \subset S \subset \mathbb{A}^{n}
$$

Since by construction $U_{\text {classic }}$ satisfies the conditions (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and $U_{\text {dual }}$ behaves mutatis mutandis in the same way, we conclude that for $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ the polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ are also generic in the sense of $[6]$ and [7].
Let $1 \leq i<n-p$ and $1 \leq h \leq n-p-i+1$. We denote by $\underline{a}^{(i, h)}$ and $\bar{a}^{(i, h)}$ the $((n-p-i) \times(n+1))$-matrices obtained by from $\underline{a}^{(i)}$ and $\bar{a}^{(i)}$ by deleting their rows number $h$, namely

$$
\underline{a}^{(i, h)}:=\left[\underline{a}_{k, l}^{(i)}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ k \neq h \\ 0 \leq l \leq n}} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{a}^{(i, h)}:=\left[\bar{a}_{k,}^{(i)}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ k=h \\ 0 \leq l \leq n}} .
$$

Thus we have, in particular,

$$
\underline{a}^{(i+1)}=\underline{a}^{(i, n-p-i+1)} \text { and } \bar{a}^{(i+1)}=\bar{a}^{(i, n-p-i+1)} .
$$

According to the notations introduced in [6] and [7] we write

$$
\Delta_{i}:=\bigcap_{1 \leq h \leq n-p-i+1} \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i, h)}\right)}(S) \text { and } \bar{\Delta}_{i}:=\bigcap_{1 \leq h \leq n-p-i+1} \widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i, h)}\right)}(S) .
$$

From [7] Proposition 6 and the subsequent commentaries we conclude that the singular loci of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ are contained in $\underline{\Delta}_{i}$ and $\bar{\Delta}_{i}$, respectively.

The crucial point of the construction of the genericity conditions $U_{\text {classic }}$ and $U_{\text {dual }}$ may be summarized in the following statement.

## Lemma 4

Let $1 \leq i<n-p$. Then $\underline{\Delta}_{i}$ and $\bar{\Delta}_{i}$ are empty or closed subvarieties of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ of codimension $\geq 2$, respectively.

## Proof

For the sake of simplicity of exposition, we restrict our attention to $\underline{\Delta}_{i}$. The case of $\bar{\Delta}_{i}$ can be treated in the same way.
Let us first assume $i=n-p-1$. Suppose that $\Delta_{n-p-1}$ is non-empty and consider an arbitrary point $x \in \underline{\Delta}_{n-p-1}$. Since $S$ is smooth, there is a $p$-minor $m$ of $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$, say

$$
m:=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{p}} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{p}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $m(x) \neq 0$. Since $x$ belongs to $\underline{\Delta}_{n-p-1}$, we have

$$
F_{1}(x)=0, \ldots, F_{p}(x)=0
$$

and

$$
M_{1, p+1}\left(x, a^{(n-p-1,2)}\right)=0, \ldots, M_{1, n}\left(x, a^{(n-p-1,2)}\right)=0, \quad M_{2, p+1}\left(x, a^{(n-p-1,1)}\right)=0,
$$

in contradiction to the conditions (1), (2) satisfied by $U_{\text {classic }}$. Therefore $\underline{\Delta}_{n-p-1}$ must be empty. This proves Lemma 4 in case $i:=n-p-1$.
Now suppose $1 \leq i<n-p-1$ and that $\underline{\Delta}_{i+1}$ is either empty or of codimension $\geq 2$ in $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i+1)}\right)}(S)$. Let

$$
N_{i}:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 
& J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right) & \\
a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{n-p-i, 1} & \cdots & a_{n-p-i, n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

If $\underline{\Delta}_{i}$ is empty we are done. Otherwise consider an arbitrary irreducible component $C$ of $\underline{\Delta}_{i}$. Assume first that for any $((n-i-1) \times(n-i-1))$ - submatrix of $N_{i}$, which contains $n-i-1$ entries from each of the rows number $1, \ldots, p$ of $N_{i}$,
the determinant vanishes identically on $C$. This implies that $C$ is contained in $\underline{\Delta}_{i+1}$. From our assumptions on $\underline{\Delta}_{i+1}$ we deduce that $C$ must be of codimension $\geq 2$ in $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K\left(a^{(i+1)}\right)}}(S)$ and hence in $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$.
Therefore we may suppose without loss of generality that there exists a ( $n-i-$ 1) $\times(n-i-1))$-submatrix of $N_{i}$ containing $n-i-1$ entries from each of the rows number $1, \ldots, p$ of $N_{i}$, whose determinant, say $m$, does not vanish identically on $C$.

For the sake of conciseness we shall assume

$$
m:=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{n-i-1}} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{n-i-1}} \\
a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1, n-i-1} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
a_{n-p-i-1,1} & \cdots & a_{n-p-i-1, n-i-1}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Since $C$ is contained in $\Delta_{i}$, any point of $C$ is a zero of the equation system

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}(X)=0, \ldots, F_{p}(X)=0  \tag{6}\\
& \qquad M_{n-p-i, n-i}\left(X, a^{(i, n-p-i+1)}\right)=0, \ldots, M_{n-p-i, n}\left(X, a^{(i, n-p-i+1)}\right)=0 \\
& \quad M_{n-p-i+1, n-i}\left(X, a^{(i, n-p-i)}\right), \ldots, M_{n-p-i+1, n}\left(X, a^{(i, n-p-i)}\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $U_{\text {classic }}$ satisfies the conditions (3), (4) and (5), we conclude that the equations of (6) intersect transversally in any point of the (non-empty) set $C_{m}$. This implies $\operatorname{dim} C \leq n-p-2(i+1)$.
On the other hand we deduce from [6], [7], Proposition 8 that $\operatorname{dim} \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)=$ $n-p-i$ holds. Since $C$ is a closed irreducible subvariety of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K\left(a^{(i)}\right)}}(\underline{S})$, we infer that the codimension of $C$ in $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ is at least $(n-p-i)-(n-p-2(i+1))=$ $i+2 \geq 2$.
From Lemma 4 we draw now the following conclusion.

## Theorem 5

Suppose that $S$ is smooth and let $1 \leq i \leq n-p$. Then the generic polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ are empty or normal Cohen-Macaulay subvarieties of $S$ of pure codimension $i$.

## Proof

We may restrict our attention to $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$. The case of $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ can be treated in a similar way.
From [6], Theorem 9 and Corollary 10 we deduce that $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ is empty or a Cohen-Macaulay subvariety of $S$ of codimension $i$.
We are now going to show by induction in $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ that $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ is normal.
From [6], [7], Lemma 7 we deduce immediately that $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(n-p)}\right)}(S)$ is empty or 0 -dimensional and hence normal.

Therefore we may assume without loss of generality $1 \leq i<n-p$ and $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K\left(a^{(i)}\right)}}(S) \neq$ $\emptyset$. As observed above, the singular locus of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K\left(a^{(i)}\right)}}(S)$ is contained in $\underline{\Delta}_{i}$ and hence, by Lemma 4 , empty or of codimension $\geq 2$ in $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$. Consequently, $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ is regular in codimension one.
Since $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we infer from Serre's normality criterion (see e.g. [23], Theorem 23.8) that $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ is a normal variety.

## Observation 6

From [6], Theorem 9 one deduces that the defining ideal of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K\left(a^{(i)}\right)}}(S)$ in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ is generated by $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}$ and all $(n-i+1)$-minors of the polynomial matrix $((n-i+1) \times n)$-matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 
& J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right) & \\
a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{n-p-i+1,1} & \cdots & a_{n-p-i+1, n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Therefore the statement of Theorem 5 is also valid in the scheme-theoretic sense.
For the rest of this section let us drop the assumption that the variety $S$ is smooth. Then Theorem 5 may be reformulated as follows.

## Corollary 7

Let $1 \leq i \leq n-p$. Then the generic polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ are empty or subvarieties of $S$ of pure codimension $i$ that are normal and CohenMacaulay at any of their $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular points.

## Proof

Let $m$ be an arbitrary $p$-minor of the Jacobian $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$. The proof of Theorem 5 relies only on the assumption that $S$ is smooth. Its correctness does not require that $S$ is closed. Therefore the statement of Theorem 5 remains mutatis mutandis correct if we replace $S$ by $S_{m}$. Therefore $S_{m} \neq \emptyset$ implies that

$$
\left(\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)\right)_{m}=\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}\left(S_{m}\right) \text { and }\left(\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)\right)_{m}=\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}\left(S_{m}\right)
$$

are empty or normal Cohen-Macaulay subvarieties of $S_{m}$ of codimension $i$. Since $m$ was an arbitary $p$-minor of $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$ the assertion of Corollary 7 follows immediately.

We finish this section with a discussion under which conditions generic polar varieties of $S$ may be singular at $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular points and when it may guaranteed that they are always smooth at such points.

## Proposition 8

Let $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ with $2 i+2>n-p$. Then the generic polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ are smooth at any of their $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular points.

## Proof

Again we restrict our attention to $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$. The case of $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ is treated similarly.
From [7], Proposition 6 and the subsequent commentaries we conclude that the $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular points at which $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ is singular are contained in $\underline{\Delta}_{i}$ and from the proof of Lemma 4 we deduce that the codimension of $\underline{\Delta}_{i}$ in $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ is at least $i+2$. This implies that $\underline{\Delta}_{i}$ has codimension at least $2 i+2$ in $\bar{S}$. Since $S$ is of codimension $n-p$ in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$, we infer from the assumption $2 i+2>n-p$ that $\underline{\Delta_{i}}$ is empty. Therefore $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ is smooth at any of its $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular points.

Proposition 8 says that the lower dimensional generic polar varieties of $S$ (e.g. generic polar curves in case $p<n-1$, generic polar surfaces in case $p<n-2$ etc.) are empty or smooth at any of their $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular points.
We are now going to show by an infinite family of examples that this conclusion is not always true for higher dimensional generic polar varieties.

### 3.1 Examples of singular generic polar varieties

Let

$$
n \geq 6, \quad c:=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
c_{1,1} & \cdots & c_{1, n} \\
c_{2,1} & \cdots & c_{2, n}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2 \times n}, \quad a:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1, n} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
a_{n-2,1} & \cdots & a_{n-2, n}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n-2) \times n}
$$

such that the composed $(n \times n)$-matrix $\left[\begin{array}{l}c \\ a\end{array}\right]$ represents a generic choice in $\mathbb{A}^{n \times n}$. Let
$E_{n}:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} \left\lvert\, r k\left[\begin{array}{ccc}c_{1,1} x_{1} & \cdots & c_{1, n} x_{n} \\ & a & \end{array}\right]=r k\left[\begin{array}{ccc}c_{2,1} x_{1} & \cdots & c_{2, n} x_{n} \\ & a & \end{array}\right]=n-2\right.\right\}$
and observe that $E_{n}$ is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ of dimension at least $2(n-2)-n=$ $n-4 \geq 2$ (here $r k$ denotes the matrix rank).

Therefore we may assume without loss of generality that there exists an element $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ of $E_{n}$ with $\xi_{1} \neq 0$ and $\xi_{2} \neq 0$. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{1}:=c_{1,1} \xi_{1}^{2}+\cdots+c_{1, n} \xi_{n}^{2} \text { and } c_{2}:=c_{2,1} \xi_{1}^{2}+\cdots+c_{2, n} \xi_{n}^{2}, \\
F_{1}^{(n)}:=c_{1,1} X_{1}^{2}+\cdots+c_{1, n} X_{n}^{2}-c_{1}, \quad F_{2}^{(n)}:=c_{2,1} X_{1}^{2}+\cdots+c_{2, n} X_{n}^{2}-c_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
S^{(n)}:=\left\{F_{1}^{(n)}=F_{2}^{(n)}=0\right\} .
$$

By construction $\xi$ belongs to $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)}$, which implies that $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)}$ and $S^{(n)}$ are nonempty.
Observe that any irreducible component of the complex variety $S^{(n)}$ has dimension at least $n-2 \geq 4$.

Let $D$ be an arbitrary irreducible component of $S^{(n)}$. We claim that $D$ contains a point $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ such that there exists two indices $1 \leq u<v \leq n$ with $x_{u} \neq 0$ and $x_{v} \neq 0$. Otherwise, for any $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of $D$ there exists an index $1 \leq j \leq n$ with $x_{1}=\cdots=x_{j-1}=x_{j+1}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$. From $0=F_{1}^{(n)}(x)=c_{1, j} x_{j}-c_{1}$ and $c_{1, j} \neq 0$ we deduce that $S^{(n)}$, and therefore $D$, contains only finitely many such points. This implies $\operatorname{dim} D=0$ in contradiction to $\operatorname{dim} S^{(n)} \geq 4$.
Therefore there exists a point $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of $D$ and indices $1 \leq u<v \leq n$ with $x_{u} \neq 0$ and $x_{v} \neq 0$. From

$$
J\left(F_{1}^{(n)}, F_{2}^{(n)}\right)=2\left[\begin{array}{lll}
c_{1,1} X_{1} & \cdots & c_{1, n} X_{n} \\
c_{2,1} X_{1} & \cdots & c_{2, n} X_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the genericity of $c$ we deduce that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial F_{1}^{(n)}}{\partial X_{u}}(x) & \frac{\partial F_{1}^{(n)}}{\partial X_{v}}(x) \\
\frac{\partial F_{2}^{(n)}}{\partial X_{u}}(x) & \frac{\partial F_{2}^{(n)}}{\partial X_{v}}(x)
\end{array}\right]=4 \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
c_{1, u} x_{u} & c_{1, v} x_{v} \\
c_{2, u} x_{u} & c_{2, v} x_{v}
\end{array}\right]=4 x_{u} x_{v} \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
c_{1, u} & c_{1, v} \\
c_{2, u} & c_{2, v}
\end{array}\right] \neq 0
$$

holds. Thus the Jacobian $J\left(F_{1}^{(n)}, F_{2}^{(n)}\right)$ has maximal rank two at the point $x$. Hence $x$ is an $\left(F_{1}^{(n)}, F_{2}^{(n)}\right)$-regular point of $D$. Since $D$ was an arbitrary irreducible component of $S^{(n)}$, we conclude that any irreducible component of $S^{(n)}$ contains an $\left(F_{1}^{(n)}, F_{2}^{(n)}\right)$-regular point. This implies that the defining equations $F_{1}^{(n)}, F_{2}^{(n)}$ of $S^{(n)}$ form a reduced regular sequence in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$. In particular, $S^{(n)}$ is of pure codimension two in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$. Let

$$
N_{*}^{(n)}(X):=\left[\begin{array}{c}
J\left(F_{1}^{(n)}, F_{2}^{(n)}\right) \\
a
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 c_{1,1} X_{1} & \cdots & 2 c_{1, n} X_{n} \\
2 c_{2,1} X_{1} & \cdots & 2 c_{2, n} X_{n} \\
& a &
\end{array}\right] .
$$

By construction $\xi$ belongs to $E_{n}$ and satisfies the conditions $F_{1}^{(n)}(\xi)=F_{2}^{(n)}(\xi)=$ 0 . Therefore the real $((n-1) \times n)$-matrices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{1,1} \xi_{1} & \cdots & c_{1, n} \xi_{n} \\
& a &
\end{array}\right] \text { and }\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{2,1} \xi_{1} & \cdots & c_{2, n} \xi_{n} \\
& a &
\end{array}\right] \text { have rank } n-2 .
$$

This implies that the rank of the real $(n \times n)$-matrix $N_{*}^{(n)}(\xi)$ is at most $n-1$. Thus we have $\operatorname{det} N_{*}^{(n)}(\xi)=0$. Suppose for the moment that the polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-3}(\underline{a})}\left(S^{(n)}\right)$ is generic (we shall prove this later for a suitable choice of $\xi \in E_{n}$ ). From Observation 6 we deduce then that the polynomials $F_{1}^{(n)}, F_{2}^{(n)}$, $\operatorname{det} N_{*}^{(n)}$ generate the ideal of definition of the generic polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-3}(\underline{a})}\left(S^{(n)}\right)$. Hence $\xi$ belongs to the generic polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-3}(\underline{a})}\left(S^{(n)}\right)$ which therefore turns out to be non-empty and of pure codimension three in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$. By the way, we see that $F_{1}^{(n)}, F_{2}^{(n)}$, $\operatorname{det} N_{*}^{(n)}$ form a reduced regular sequence in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$.
In the same vein as before we deduce from $\xi_{1} \neq 0$ and $\xi_{2} \neq 0$ that $\xi$ is a $\left(F_{1}^{(n)}, F_{2}^{(n)}\right)$-regular point of $S^{(n)}$. For $1 \leq u \leq 2$ and $1 \leq l \leq n$ we denote by $(-1)^{u+l} m_{u, l}$ the $(n-1)$-minor of $\left[\begin{array}{c}J\left(F_{1}^{(n)}, F_{2}^{(n)}\right) \\ a\end{array}\right]$ obtained by deleting row number
$u$ and column number $l$. From $\xi \in E_{n}$ we deduce $m_{u, l}(\xi)=0$. Let $1 \leq j \leq n$. From the identity

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{j}} \operatorname{det} N_{*}^{(n)}=\sum_{1 \leq l \leq n}\left(m_{1, l} \frac{\partial^{2} F_{2}^{(n)}}{\partial X_{l} \partial X_{j}}+m_{2, l} \frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}^{(n)}}{\partial X_{l} \partial X_{j}}\right)
$$

we infer $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{j}} \operatorname{det} N_{*}^{(n)}\right)(\xi)=0$. This implies

$$
\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{1}} \operatorname{det} N_{*}^{(n)}\right)(\xi), \ldots,\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{n}} \operatorname{det} N_{*}^{(n)}\right)(\xi)\right)=(0, \ldots, 0) .
$$

Therefore the rank of the Jacobian $J\left(F_{1}^{(n)}, F_{2}^{(n)}\right.$, $\left.\operatorname{det} N_{*}^{(n)}\right)$ is two at the point $\xi \in \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-3}(\underline{a})}\left(S^{(n)}\right)$.
Since the polynomials $F_{1}^{(n)}, F_{2}^{(n)}$, $\operatorname{det} N_{*}^{(n)}$ generate the ideal of definition of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-3}(\underline{a})}\left(S^{(n)}\right)$, we conclude that $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-3}(\underline{a})}\left(S^{(n)}\right)$ is singular at the $\left(F_{1}^{(n)}, F_{2}^{(n)}\right)$ regular point $\xi$. In particular, the polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-3}(\underline{a})}\left(S^{(n)}\right)$ is not smooth.
We are now going to show that the polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-3}(\underline{a})}\left(S^{(n)}\right)$ is generic for a suitable choice of $\xi$ in $E_{n}$.

For this purpose let

$$
C_{n}:=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
c_{1,1} & \cdots & c_{1, n} \\
c_{2,1} & \cdots & c_{2, n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

be a $(2 \times n)$-matrix and $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)$ a pair of new indeterminates. Further, let

$$
A_{n}:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1, n} \\
& \cdots & \\
A_{n-2,1} & \cdots & A_{n-2, n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Observe that $S^{(n)}$ is not a fixed algebraic variety but rather an algebraic family of examples that depend on the choice of the $(n \times n)$-matrix $\left[\begin{array}{l}a \\ c\end{array}\right]$ and the point $\xi \in E_{n}$ (recall that these data determine the values $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ ). To this family there corresponds a genericity condition which may be expressed by the non-vanishing of a suitable non-zero polynomial $G_{n} \in \mathbb{Q}\left[A_{n}, C_{n}, C_{1}, C_{2}\right]$. Since the $(n \times n)$-matrix $\left[\begin{array}{l}a \\ c\end{array}\right]$ was chosen generically in $\mathbb{A}^{n \times n}$, we may assume without loss of generality that the bivariate polynomial $G_{n}\left(a, c, C_{1}, C_{2}\right)$ is non-zero. For the genericity of the polar variety $\widehat{W}_{K^{n-3}(a)}\left(S^{(n)}\right)$ it suffices therefore to prove that the point $\xi=$ $\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ may be chosen in $E_{n}$ in such a way that

$$
c_{1}:=c_{1,1} \xi_{1}^{2}+\cdots+c_{1, n} \xi_{n}^{2} \quad \text { and } c_{2}:=c_{2,1} \xi_{1}^{2}+\cdots+c_{2, n} \xi_{n}^{2}
$$

satisfy the condition $G_{n}\left(a, c, c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \neq 0$ (observe that $E_{n}$ depends only on the $(n \times n)$-matrix $\left[\begin{array}{l}a \\ c\end{array}\right]$ which we consider now to be fixed). Recall that $\operatorname{dim} E_{n} \geq 2$ holds and consider the polynomial map of affine spaces $\varphi_{n}: E_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{2}$ defined for $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ in $E_{n}$ by

$$
\varphi_{n}(\xi):=\left(c_{1,1} \xi_{1}^{2}+\cdots+c_{1, n} \xi_{n}^{2}, c_{2,1} \xi_{1}^{2}+\cdots+c_{2, n} \xi_{n}^{2}\right)
$$

It follows from our previous argumentation that for $\xi_{1} \neq 0$ and $\xi_{2} \neq 0$ the smooth map $\varphi_{n}$ is a submersion in $\xi$. Therefore the image of $\varphi_{n}$ is Zariski dense in $\mathbb{A}^{2}$. This implies that there exists a point $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ with $\xi_{1} \neq 0$ and $\xi_{2} \neq 0$ in $E_{n}$ such that the bivariate polynomial $G_{n}\left(a, c, C_{1}, C_{2}\right)$ does not vanish at $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right):=\varphi_{n}(\xi)$. For such a choice of $\xi$ in $E_{n}$, the polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-3}(\underline{a})}\left(S^{(n)}\right)$ turns out to be generic.
Therefore we have constructed generic polar varieties of the form $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-3}(\underline{a})}\left(S^{(n)}\right)$ that contain an (even real) $\left(F_{1}^{n}, F_{2}^{(n)}\right)$-regular point (namely $\xi$ ) at which $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-3}(\underline{a})}\left(S^{(n)}\right)$ is singular.
These examples disprove the assertion of [7], Theorem 10 (i) saying that the generic polar varieties of $S$ are always non-singular at $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular points.
Observe that our construction may be easily modified in order to produce examples of generic dual polar varieties with singular points in the case $p:=1$.

The behaviour of generic classic polar varieties of $S$ is different in the case $p:=1$. They are always empty or smooth.

### 3.2 Desingularizing generic polar varieties

We are now going to try to explain in a more systematic way how singularities in higher dimensional generic polar varieties of $S$ may arise.

For expository reasons we limit our attention to the case of the classic polar varieties of $S$. Fix $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ and consider the locally closed algebraic variety $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-i}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}_{i}:=\left\{(x, a,(\lambda: \vartheta)) \in \mathbb{A}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-i} \mid x \in S_{\text {reg }}, r k a=n-p-i+1,\right. \\
& \lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{p}, \vartheta \\
&(\lambda, \vartheta) \neq 0, J\left(\vartheta_{1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{n-p-i+1}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{n-p-i+1}, \\
&\left.(x)^{T} \cdot \lambda^{T}+a^{T} \cdot \vartheta^{T}=0\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(\lambda: \vartheta):=\left(\lambda_{1}: \cdots: \lambda_{p}: \vartheta_{1}: \cdots: \vartheta_{n-p-i+1}\right)$ belongs to $\mathbb{P}^{n-i}$ and $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x)^{T}$ denotes the transposed matrix of $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x)$, etc.
It is not hard to show that $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ is not empty.
Consider now an arbitrary point $(x, a,(\lambda: \vartheta))$ of $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ with $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{p}$ and $\vartheta=\left(\vartheta_{1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{n-p-i+1}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{n-p-i+1}$. Thus we have $(\lambda, \vartheta) \neq 0$. We claim that $\vartheta \neq 0$ holds. Otherwise we would have $\vartheta=0$ and $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x)^{T} \cdot \lambda^{T}=0$. Since $x$ is an $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular point, this implies $\lambda=0$ in contradiction to $(\lambda, \vartheta) \neq 0$.

Therefore we may assume without loss of generality $\vartheta_{n-p-i+1}=1$. Let $\tilde{a}:=$ $\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}}$ and denote for $1 \leq j \leq n$ by $\theta_{j}$ the complex $(n \times(n-p-i+1))-$ matrix containing in row number $j$ the entries $1, \vartheta_{1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{n-p-i+1}$, whereas all other entries of $\theta_{j}$ are zero. From $r k J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x)=p$ we deduce now that the complex $((n+p) \times(2 n-i+(n-p-i+1) n))$-matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x) & O_{p, p} & O_{p, n-p-i} & O_{p, n-p-i+1} & \cdots & O_{p, n-p-i+1} \\
* & J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x)^{T} & \tilde{a}^{T} & \theta_{1} & \cdots & \theta_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is of maximal rank $n+p$ (here $O_{p, p}$ denotes the ( $p \times p$ )-zero matrix, etc.). This implies that $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ is smooth and of dimension $n-p-i+(n-p-i+1) n$ at the point $(x, a,(\lambda: \vartheta))$.
In other words, the algebraic variety $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ is non-empty, smooth and equidimensional of dimension $n-p-i+(n-p-i+1) n$.
Let $\mu_{i}: \mathcal{H}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ be the canonical projection of $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ in $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ and suppose that for a generic choice of $a \in \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ the polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S)$ is non-empty.
Observe that for any $x \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ the following statements are equivalent

- $x \in \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S) \cap S_{\text {reg }}$;
- there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}^{p}$ and $\vartheta \in \mathbb{A}^{n-p-i+1}$ with $(\lambda, \vartheta) \neq 0$ such that $x \in S_{\text {reg }}$ and $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x)^{T} \cdot \lambda^{T}+a^{T} \cdot \vartheta^{T}=0$ holds;
- there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}^{p}$ and $\vartheta \in \mathbb{A}^{n-p-i+1}$ with $(\lambda, \vartheta) \neq 0$ such that $(x, a,(\lambda: \vartheta))$ belongs to $\mu_{i}^{-1}(a)$.

Since by assumption $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S)$ is non-empty for any generic choice $a \in$ $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$, we conclude that $\mu_{i}^{-1}(a) \neq \emptyset$ holds. This implies that the image of the morphism of equidimensional algebraic varieties $\mu_{i}: \mathcal{H}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ is Zariski dense in $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$.
Let $a=\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}}$ be a fixed generic choice in $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{i}:=\left\{(x,(\lambda: \vartheta)) \in \mathbb{A}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-i} \mid x \in S_{\text {reg }}, \lambda \in \mathbb{A}^{p}, \vartheta \in \mathbb{A}^{n-p-i+1},\right. \\
& \left.(\lambda, \vartheta) \neq 0, J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x)^{T} \cdot \lambda^{T}+a^{T} \cdot \vartheta^{T}=0\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the morphism $\mu_{i}$ has in $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ a Zariski dense image, $a$ is chosen generically in $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ is smooth and equidimensional of dimension $n-p-i+(n-p-i+1) n$, we deduce from the Weak Transversality Theorem of Thom-Sard that $H_{i}$ is non-empty, smooth and equidimensinal of dimension $n-p-i$.
Let $\omega_{i}: H_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n}$ be the canonical projection $H_{i}$ in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$. From our previous reasoning we conclude that the image of $\omega_{i}$ is $\widehat{W}_{K^{n-p-i}(a)}(S) \cap S_{\text {reg }}$. Since this algebraic variety is equidimensional of the same dimension as $H_{i}$, namely $n-p-i$, we infer that there exists a Zariski dense open subset $U$ of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S)$ such that the $\omega_{i}$-fiber of any $x \in U$ is zero-dimensional.

Using the notations of Section 3 let us now consider an arbitrary point $x \in \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S) \cap S_{\text {reg }}$ which does not belong to $\underline{\Delta}_{i}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$
m:=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{n-i}} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{n-i}} \\
a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1, n-i} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
a_{n-p-i, 1} & \cdots & a_{n-p-i, n-i}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

does not vanish at $x$.
Let $\lambda \in A^{p}$ and $\vartheta \in \mathbb{A}^{n-p-i+1}$ with $\vartheta=\left(\vartheta_{1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{n-p-i+1}\right)$ and $(\lambda, \vartheta) \neq 0$ such that $(x,(\lambda: \vartheta))$ belongs to $\omega_{i}^{-1}(x)$. We claim $\vartheta_{n-p-i+1} \neq 0$. Otherwise we would have for $\tilde{a}:=\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i \\ 1 \leq 1 \leq n}}$ and $\tilde{\vartheta}=\left(\vartheta_{1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{n-p-i}\right)$ that $(\lambda, \tilde{\vartheta}) \neq 0$ and $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x)^{T} \cdot \lambda^{T}+\tilde{a}^{T} \cdot \stackrel{\tilde{\tilde{\vartheta}}}{ }=0$ holds. This in turn would imply that the rank of the complex $((n-i) \times n)$-matrix $\left[\begin{array}{c}J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x) \\ \tilde{a}\end{array}\right]$ is at most $n-i-1$, whence $m(x)=0$, a contradiction.
Therefore we may assume without loss of generality $\vartheta_{n-p-i+1}=1$. Since the algebraic variety $H_{i}$ is smooth at the point $(x,(\lambda: \vartheta))$, we conclude that the complex $((n+p) \times(2 n-i))$-matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x) & O_{p, p} & O_{p, n-p-i} \\
* & J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x)^{T} & \tilde{a}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is of maximal rank $n+p$. From $m(x) \neq 0$ we deduce that the submatrix $\left[\begin{array}{lll}J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x)^{T} & \left.\tilde{a}^{T}\right]\end{array}\right.$ is of maximal rank $n-i$. Taking into account that $x \in\left(\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S) \cap S_{r e g}\right) \backslash \underline{\Delta}_{i}$ is a smooth point of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S) \cap S_{\text {reg }}$, we infer that there exists in the Euclidean topology a sufficiently small open neighborhood $U$ of $x$ in $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S) \cap S_{\text {reg }}$ and a smooth map $\mu: U \rightarrow H_{i}$ with $\mu(x)=$ $(x,(\lambda: \vartheta))$ and $\omega_{i} \circ \mu=i d_{U}$, where $i d_{U}$ denotes the identity map on $U$. In particular, $\omega_{\left.i\right|_{\omega_{i}^{-1}(U)}}: \omega_{i}^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a submersion at $(x,(\lambda: \vartheta))$. Translating this reasoning to the language of commutative algebra, we conclude that $\omega_{i}$ as morphism of algebraic varieties $H_{i} \rightarrow \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(a)}(S)$ is unramified at any point of $\omega_{i}^{-1}(x)$. In particular, $\omega_{i}^{-1}(x)$ is a zero-dimensional algebraic variety.
Let us now consider an arbitrary point $x \in \underline{\Delta}_{i} \cap S_{\text {reg }}$. Then the complex ( $n-$ $i+1) \times n$ )-matrix $\left[\begin{array}{c}J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x) \\ a\end{array}\right]$ has rank at most $n-i-1$. Hence the linear variety

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma:=\left\{(\lambda: \vartheta) \in \mathbb{P}^{n-i} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{A}^{p}, \vartheta \in \mathbb{A}^{n-p-i+1},(\lambda, \vartheta) \neq 0,\right. \\
&\left.J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x)^{T} \cdot \lambda^{T}+a^{T} \cdot \vartheta^{T}=0\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is isomorphic to $\omega_{i}^{-1}(x)$, has dimension at least one. Thus $\omega_{i}^{-1}(x)$ is not a zero-dimensional algebraic variety. In particular, if $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S)$ is smooth at $x$, then for any sufficiently small open neighborhood $U$ of $x$ in $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S)$ and any $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}^{p}, \vartheta \in \mathbb{A}^{n-p-i+1}$ with $(\lambda, \vartheta) \neq 0$ and $\in \omega_{i}^{-1}(x)$ the smooth map $\omega_{\left.i\right|_{\omega_{i}^{-1}}(U)}: \omega_{i}^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is not a submersion at $(x,(\lambda: \vartheta))$.
We may summarize this argumentation by the following statement.

## Proposition 9

Let notations be as above and suppose that for $a \in \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ generic the polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S)$ is not empty. Then we have for any $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$ regular point $x$ of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(a)}(S): x$ belongs to $\underline{\Delta}_{i}$ if and only if $\omega_{i}^{-1}(x)$ is a zero-dimensional variety. If this is the case, the morphism of algebraic varieties $\omega_{i}: H_{i} \rightarrow \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S)$ is unramified at any point of $\omega_{i}^{-1}(x)$.

The morphism of algebraic varieties $\omega_{i}: H_{i} \rightarrow \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S)$ represents a natural desingularization of the open generic polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S) \cap S_{\text {reg }}$ in the spirit of Room-Kempf [27]. Proposition 9 characterizes $\overline{\underline{D}}_{i}$ as a kind of an algebraicgeometric "discriminant locus" of the morphism $\omega_{i}$ which maps the smooth variety $H_{i}$ to the possibly non-smooth generic polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a})}(S)$.
We finish this section by a decomposition of the locus of the $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$-regular points of the generic classic polar varieties of $S$ into smooth Thom-Boardman strata of suitable polynomial maps defined on $S_{\text {reg }}$. Our main tool will be Mather's theorem on generic projections [22] (see also [1] and [2]). Then we will discuss this decomposition in the light of Proposition 8.
Let us fix an index $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ and a complex $(n \times(n-p-i+1))$-matrix $a \in \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ of rank $n-p-i+1$. Then $a$ induces a linear map $\Pi_{a}: \mathbb{A}^{n} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{A}^{n-p-i+1}$ which is for $x \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ defined by $x a$. Let $\pi_{a}$ be the restriction of this linear map to the variety $S$.

Let $x$ be an arbitrary point of $S$. We denote by $T_{x}:=T_{x}(S)$ the Zariski tangent space of $S$ at $x$, by $d_{x}\left(\pi_{a}\right): T_{x} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n-p-i+1}$ the corresponding linear map of tangent spaces at $x$ and $\pi_{a}(x)$, by $\operatorname{ker} d_{x}\left(\pi_{a}\right)$ its kernel and by $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\operatorname{ker} d_{x}\left(\pi_{a}\right)\right)$ the dimension of the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\operatorname{ker} d_{x}\left(\pi_{a}\right)$.
Furthermore, for $i \leq j \leq n-p$ we denote by

$$
\Sigma^{(j)}\left(\pi_{a}\right):=\left\{x \in S_{\text {reg }} \mid \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\operatorname{ker} d_{x}\left(\pi_{a}\right)\right)=j\right\}
$$

the corresponding Thom-Boardman stratum of $\pi_{a}$. Observe that $\Sigma^{(j)}\left(\pi_{a}\right)$ is a constructible subset of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$. With these notations we have the following result.

## Lemma 10

(i) $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(a)}(S) \cap S_{r e g}=\bigcup_{i \leq j \leq n-p} \Sigma^{(j)}\left(\pi_{a}\right)$.
(ii) $\underline{\Delta_{i}} \cap S_{\text {reg }}=\bigcup_{i+1 \leq j \leq n-p} \Sigma^{(j)}\left(\pi_{a}\right)$.

## Proof

Let $x$ be an arbitrary point of $S_{\text {reg }}$ and let $N_{x}$ and $E_{a}$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces generated by the rows of the matrices $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x)$ and $a$, respectively. Thus we have $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} T_{x}=n-p, \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} N_{x}=p$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} E_{a}=n-p-i+1$.
Let $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ be new indeterminates. With respect to the bilinear form $X_{1} Y_{1}+$ $\cdots+X_{n} Y_{n}$ we may consider $T_{x}$ and $N_{x}$ as orthogonal subspaces of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$.
From the definition of the polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\underline{a})}(S)$ we deduce that the point $x$ belongs to it if and only if $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(N_{x}+E_{a}\right) \leq n-i$ holds. Taking into account the identity

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(N_{x}+E_{a}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} N_{x}+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} E_{a}-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(N_{x} \cap E_{a}\right)
$$

we conclude that the condition $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(N_{x}+E_{a}\right) \leq n-i$ is equivalent to

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(N_{x} \cap E_{a}\right) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} N_{x}+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} E_{a}-n+i=p+(n-p-i+1)-n+i=1
$$

Since the $\mathbb{C}$-linear spaces $N_{x} \cap E_{a}$ and $T_{x}$ are orthogonal subspaces of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ with respect to the bilinear form $X_{1} Y_{1}+\cdots+X_{n} Y_{n}$, we infer

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \Pi_{a}\left(T_{x}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} E_{a}-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(N_{x} \cap E_{a}\right)=n-p-i+1-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(N_{x} \cap E_{a}\right)
$$

Thus the conditions

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\operatorname{ker} d_{x}\left(\pi_{a}\right)\right) \geq i, \quad \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \Pi_{a}\left(T_{x}\right) \leq n-p-i \text { and } x \in \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\underline{a})}(S) \cap S_{r e g}
$$

are equivalent. This proves the statement $(i)$ of the lemma.
Statement (ii) can be shown similarly, observing that the condition $x \in \underline{\Delta_{i}} \cap S_{\text {reg }}$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(N_{x}+E_{a}\right) \leq n-i-1$.

The following deep result represents the main ingredient of our analysis.

## Lemma 11

For an integer $j \geq i$, let $\nu_{i}(j):=(j-i+1) j$. There exists a non-empty Zariski open subset $U_{i}$ of complex full rank $((n-p-i+1) \times n)$-matrices of $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ such that for any any $a \in U_{i}$ and any index $i \leq j \leq n-p$ the constructible set $\Sigma^{(j)}\left(\pi_{a}\right)$ is empty or a smooth subvariety of $S$ of codimension $\nu_{i}(j)$.

The proof of Lemma 11 is essentially contained in [1, 2] and will not reproduced here. It goes back to Mather's original work [22].

Combining Lemma 10 and Lemma 11 we obtain for generic $a \in \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i) \times n}$ decompositions of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K(a)}}(S) \cap S_{\text {reg }}$ and $\underline{\Delta_{i}} \cap S_{\text {reg }}$ in pieces which are empty or smooth and of predetermined codimension in $S$.

In order to illustrate the power of this analysis we are now giving an alternative proof of Proposition 8 using the following argumentation.
Suppose $2 i+2>n-p$ and let $a$ be a complex full rank $((n-p-i+1) \times n)$-matrix contained in the set $U_{i}$ introduced in Lemma 11.

Then we have for $i<j \leq n-p$

$$
n-p-v_{i}(j) \leq n-p-v_{i}(i+1)=n-p-(2 i+2)<0
$$

and therefore

$$
\Sigma^{(j)}\left(\pi_{a}\right)=\emptyset .
$$

Lemma 10 implies now

$$
\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(a)}(S) \cap S_{\text {reg }}=\Sigma^{(i)}\left(\pi_{a}\right)
$$

and from Lemma 11 we deduce finally that $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\underline{a})}(S) \cap S_{\text {reg }}$ is empty or a smooth subvariety of $S$ of codimension $v_{i}(i)=i$.

## 4 Sufficiently generic polar varieties

Let us recall from Section 1 that the degree of (fully) generic classic and dual polar varieties represents a fundamental invariant that dominates polynomially the sequential time behaviour of efficient computer procedures with intrinsic complexity, which are able to find real algebraic sample points for the connected components of the real trace of a complex complete intersection variety when its real trace is non-degenerated and smooth.

More precisely, in terms of the notations introduced in the Sections 1 and 3, for $a:=\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{(n-p) \times n}$ generic, the maximal (geometric) degrees, say $\delta_{\text {classic }}$ and $\delta_{\text {dual }}$, of the classic and dual polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$, $1 \leq i \leq n-p$, have the following property:
Suppose that there is given a division-free arithmetic circuit $\beta$ in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ evaluating the reduced regular sequence $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p} \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ that defines the complex variety $S$. Let $L$ be the size of $\beta$ (which measures the number of arithmetic operations required by $\beta$ for the evaluation of $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}$ ) and let $d$ be the maximal degree of the polynomials $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}$. Suppose furthermore that the real trace $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $S$ is non-empty, smooth and of pure codimension $p$ in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$.
Then a set of at most $\delta_{\text {dual }}$ real algebraic sample points for the connected components of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be found using at most $O\left(\binom{n}{p} L n^{4} p^{2} d^{2} \delta_{\text {dual }}{ }^{2}\right)$ arithmetic operations and comparisons in $\mathbb{Q}$.

If additionally $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is compact, the same conclusion holds true with $\delta_{\text {classic }}$ instead of $\delta_{\text {dual }}$.
The underlying algorithm can be implemented in the non-uniform deterministic or alternatively in the uniform probabilistic complexity model.
From the Bézout Inequality [17] one deduces easily that $\delta_{\text {classic }}$ and $\delta_{\text {dual }}$ are bounded by $d^{n} p^{n-p}$ (see [6] and [7] for proofs). This implies that from the geometrically unstructured and extrinsic point of view, the quantities $\delta_{\text {classic }}$ and $\delta_{\text {dual }}$ and hence the complexity of the procedures of [4], [5], [6], [7], [29] and [32] are in worst case of order $d^{O(n)}$. This meets all previously known algorithmic bounds (see e.g. [16], [18], [9], [26], [30]). Following [15] and [11] this asymptotic complexity is also a lower bound that cannot be improved unless intrinsic complexity measures, like $\delta_{\text {classic }}$ and $\delta_{\text {dual }}$, become introduced.
For details on the notion of the (geometric) degree of algebraic varieties we refer to [17] (or [14] and [43]). For expository reasons we define the degree of the empty set as zero.
For algorithmic aspects we refer to [5], [6], [7], [29] and [32].
The special case of $p:=1$ and $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ compact is treated in [4].
We mention here that the algorithms in the papers [4] and [5], which treat the special case $p:=1$ and the general case $1 \leq p \leq n$ when $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is compact, use instead of the fully generic polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S), 1 \leq i \leq n-p$, with $a:=\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{\leq k \leq n-p \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}}$ generic, more special polar varieties, where $a:=\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}}$ ranges over a Zariski dense subset of a suitable linear subspace of $\mathbb{Q}^{(n-p) \times n}$. In the sequel we shall call these special polar varieties sufficiently generic.

It is not difficult to show that the argumentation of [4] in the case $p=1$ covers also the instance of fully generic polar varieties. However, in the case $1<p \leq n$, the polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S), 1<i \leq n-p$, appearing in [5] are far from being generic, though they turn out to be non-empty, smooth and of pure codimension $i$ in $S$.

Although it was not essential for the final outcome of [5], we believed at the moment of publishing this paper that the smoothness of these sufficiently generic
polar varietes implies the smoothness of their fully generic counterparts. As we have seen in Section 3, this conclusion is not correct.
We are now going to explain how for a generic rational $((n-p) \times n)$-matrix $a$ the degrees of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}(\underline{a}(i))}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S), 1 \leq i \leq n-p$, may be interpreted as invariants of the algebraic variety $S$ and how these degrees dominate the degrees of more special, e.g. sufficiently generic polar varieties. This allows us to express the complexity of the previously mentioned sample point finding procedures for the connected components of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ in terms of the degrees of the (fully) generic polar varieties of $S$, even in the case that these procedures are based on more special, e.g. sufficiently generic, polar varieties.

## Theorem 12

Let $a:=\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}}$ be a complex $((n-p) \times n)$-matrix. Suppose that for $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ the $((n-p-i+1) \times n)$-matrix $\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 1 \leq \leq \leq n}}$ is of maximal rank $n-p-i+1$ and that the polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K\left(a^{(i)}\right)}}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ are empty or of pure codimension $i$ in $S$.

Furthermore, let be given a non-empty Zariski open set $U$ of complex $((n-p) \times n)-$ matrices $b:=\left[b_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}}$ such that for each $b \in U$ and each $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ the $((n-p-i+1) \times n)$-matrix $\left[b_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 1 \leq \leq \leq n}}$ is of maximal rank $n-p-i+1$ and such that the polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(b^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{b}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ are uniformly generic, empty or of codimension $i$ in $S$ and have fixed geometric degrees, say $\delta_{\text {classic }}{ }^{(i)}(U)$ and $\delta_{\text {dual }}{ }^{(i)}(U)$, respectively.
Suppose finally that, for $1 \leq i \leq n-p$, the non-emptiness of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ (or $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{b}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ ) implies that of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{b}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ (or $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{b}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ ).
Then we have for $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ the estimates

$$
\operatorname{deg} \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S) \leq \delta_{\text {classic }^{(i)}}(U)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{deg} \widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S) \leq \delta_{\text {dual }}{ }^{(i)}(U)
$$

The proof of Theorem 12 is based on Lemma 13 below. In order to state this lemma, suppose that there is given a morphism of affine varieties $\varphi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{r}$, where $V$ is equidimensional of dimension $r$ and the restriction map $\varphi_{\left.\right|_{C}}: C \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{A}^{r}$ is dominating for each irreducible component $C$ of $V$. Then $\varphi$ induces an embedding of the rational function field $\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{A}^{r}\right)$ of $\mathbb{A}^{r}$ into the total quotient ring $\mathbb{C}(V)$ of $V$. Observe that $\mathbb{C}[V]$ is a subring of $\mathbb{C}(V)$ and that $\mathbb{C}(V)$ is isomorphic to the direct product of the function fields of all irreducible components of $V$. Hence $\mathbb{C}(V)$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{A}^{r}\right)$-vector space and the degree of the morphism $\varphi$, namely $\operatorname{deg} \varphi:=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{A}^{r}\right)} \mathbb{C}(V)$, is a well-defined quantity. Finally, we denote for $y \in \mathbb{A}^{r}$ by $\# \varphi^{-1}(y)$ the cardinality of the $\varphi$-fiber $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ at $y$.
With these assumptions and notations we are now going to show the following elementary geometric fact which represents a straight-forward generalization of [17] Proposition 1 and its proof.

## Lemma 13

(i) Any point $y \in \mathbb{A}^{r}$ with finite $\varphi$-fiber satisfies the condition

$$
\# \varphi^{-1}(y) \leq \operatorname{deg} \varphi
$$

(ii) There exists a non-empty Zariski open subset $U \subset \mathbb{A}^{r}$ such that any point $y \in U$ satisfies the condition

$$
\# \varphi^{-1}(y)=\operatorname{deg} \varphi
$$

## Proof

Let us first show the statement (i) of Lemma 13. We proceed by induction on $r$.
The case $r=0$ is obvious. Let us therefore suppose $r>0$ and that the lemma is true for any morphism of affine varieties $\varphi^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{r-1}$ which satisfies the previous requirements.
Consider an arbitrary point $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right)$ of $\mathbb{A}^{r}$ whose $\varphi$-fiber $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ is finite. If $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ is empty we are done. Therefore we may assume $\varphi^{-1}(y) \neq$ $\emptyset$. Denoting the coordinate functions of $\mathbb{A}^{r}$ by $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r}$, let us identify the hyperplane $\left\{Y_{1}-y_{1}=0\right\}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{r}$ with $\mathbb{A}^{r-1}$. Thus we have $y \in \mathbb{A}^{r-1}$. From $\varphi^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ and the Dimension Theorem for algebraic varieties (see e.g. [36], Vol. I, Ch. I, Corollary 1 of Theorem 6.2.5) we deduce that $\varphi^{-1}\left(\mathbb{A}^{r-1}\right)$ is a non-empty subvariety of $V$ of pure codimension one. Moreover there exists an irreducible component $C^{\prime}$ of $\varphi^{-1}\left(\mathbb{A}^{r}\right)$ such that the induced morphism of irreducible affine varieties $\varphi_{\left.\right|_{C^{\prime}}}: C^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{r-1}$ is dominating.
Let $C^{\prime \prime}$ be an irreducible component of $\varphi^{-1}\left(\mathbb{A}^{r-1}\right)$ such that $\varphi\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is not Zariski dense in $\mathbb{A}^{r-1}$ and denote the Zariski closure of $\varphi\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in $\mathbb{A}^{r-1}$ by $D$. Then $D$ is an irreducible subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{r-1}$ of dimension at most $r-2$.
We claim now that $y$ does not belong to $\varphi\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Otherwise, by the Theorem on Fibers (see e.g. [36], Vol. I, Ch. I, Theorem 6.3.7), all components of the $\varphi_{\left.\right|_{\mathrm{c}^{\prime \prime}}}-$ fiber of $y$, namely $\varphi^{-1}(y) \cap C^{\prime \prime}$, have dimension at least $\operatorname{dim} C^{\prime \prime}-\operatorname{dim} D>0$ and therefore $\varphi^{-1}(y) \cap C^{\prime \prime}$ is infinite. This contradicts our assumption that $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ is finite.
Therefore $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ is contained in $V^{\prime}:=\bigcup_{C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{Z}} C^{\prime}$, where
$\mathcal{Z}:=\left\{C^{\prime} \mid C^{\prime}\right.$ irreducible component of $\varphi^{-1}\left(\mathbb{A}^{r-1}\right), \varphi_{\left.\right|_{C^{\prime}}}: C^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{r-1}$ dominating $\}$. Observe that $V^{\prime}$ is a (non-empty) equidimensional affine variety of dimension $r-1$ and that $\varphi$ induces a morphism of affine varieties $\varphi^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{r-1}$ such that $\varphi_{C_{C^{\prime}}}^{\prime}: C^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{r-1}$ is dominating for any irreducible component $C^{\prime}$ of $V^{\prime}$. Moreover, $y$ belongs to the image of $\varphi^{\prime}$ and satisfies the condition $\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(y)=\varphi^{-1}(y)$.
Hence, by induction hypothesis, the statement (i) of the lemma is valid for $\varphi^{\prime}$ and $y$. Consequently we have

$$
\# \varphi^{-1}(y)=\#\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(y) \leq \operatorname{deg} \varphi^{\prime}
$$

We finish now the proof of lemma $(i)$ by showing that $\operatorname{deg} \varphi^{\prime} \leq \operatorname{deg} \varphi$ holds.
For this purpose let us abbreviate $Y:=\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right)$ and let us write $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ and $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ instead of $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathbb{A}^{r}\right]$ and $\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{A}^{r}\right)$, respectively. Thus we consider $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ as a $\mathbb{C}$ subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[V]$ and $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-subfield of the total quotient ring $\mathbb{C}(V)$.

For any irreducible component $C$ of $V$ the morphism of affine varieties $\varphi_{\left.\right|_{C}}: C \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{A}^{r}$ is by assumption dominating. This implies that $Y_{1}-y_{1}$ is not a zero divisor of $\mathbb{C}[V]$ or $\mathbb{C}(V)$.
The canonical embedding of $V^{\prime}$ in $V$ induces a surjective $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[V] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ which associates to each $g \in \mathbb{C}[V]$ an image in $\mathbb{C}\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ denoted by $g^{\prime}$. Therefore there exists for $\delta:=\operatorname{deg} \varphi^{\prime}$ elements $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{\delta}$ of $\mathbb{C}[V]$ such that $g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{\delta}^{\prime}$ form a vector space basis of $\mathbb{C}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ over $\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{A}^{r-1}\right)=\mathbb{C}\left(Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right)$. In particular, $g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{\delta}^{\prime}$ are $\mathbb{C}\left(Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right)$-linearly independent. We claim that $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{\delta}$, considered as elements of $\mathbb{C}(V)$, are also linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{A}^{r}\right)=\mathbb{C}(Y)$.
Otherwise there exist polynomials $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{\delta} \in \mathbb{C}[Y]$ not all zero, satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1} g_{1}+\cdots+b_{\delta} g_{\delta}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathbb{C}[V]$.
For $1 \leq j \leq \delta$ let $b_{j}=\left(Y_{1}-y_{1}\right)^{u_{j}} c_{j}$, where $u_{j}$ is a non-negative integer and $c_{j}$ is polynomial of $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ which is not divisible by $Y_{1}-y_{1}$. Thus (7) can be written as

$$
\left(Y_{1}-y_{1}\right)^{u_{1}} c_{1} g_{1}+\cdots+\left(Y_{1}-y_{1}\right)^{u_{\delta}} c_{\delta} g_{\delta}=0 .
$$

Therefore, since $Y_{1}-y_{1}$ is not a zero divisor in $\mathbb{C}[V]$, we may assume without loss of generality that there exists $1 \leq j_{0} \leq \delta$ with $u_{j_{0}}=0$. This means that we may suppose $b_{j_{0}}$ is not divisible by $Y_{1}-y_{1}$ in $\mathbb{C}[Y]$.
Condition (7) implies now that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}^{\prime} g_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+b_{\delta}^{\prime} g_{\delta}^{\prime}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in $\mathbb{C}\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ and therefore also in $\mathbb{C}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$. Observe that $b_{j}^{\prime}$ is the polynomial of $\mathbb{C}\left[Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right]$ obtained by substituting in $b_{j}$ the indeterminate $Y_{1}$ by $y_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$, namely $b_{j}^{\prime}=b_{j}\left(y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right)$, where $1 \leq j \leq \delta$. Since by assumption $b_{j_{0}}$ is not divisible by $Y_{1}-y_{1}$ in $\mathbb{C}[Y]$, we have $b_{j_{0}}^{\prime}=b_{j_{0}}\left(y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right) \neq 0$. Thus (8) implies that $g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{\delta}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{C}\left(Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right)=\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{A}^{r-1}\right)$ which contradicts the hypothesis that $g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{\delta}^{\prime}$ is a vector space basis of $\mathbb{C}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$.
Thus $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{\delta} \in \mathbb{C}(V)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{A}^{r}\right)$. Hence we may conclude

$$
\operatorname{deg} \varphi^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{A}^{r-1}\right)} \mathbb{C}\left(V^{\prime}\right)=\delta \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{A}^{r}\right)} \mathbb{C}(V)=\operatorname{deg} \varphi
$$

and we are done.
We are now going to prove statement (ii) of Lemma 13. Let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{s}$ be the irreducible components of $V$. For $1 \leq j \leq s$ we denote the dominating morphism of affine varieties $\varphi_{\left.\right|_{j}}: C_{j} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{r}$ by $\varphi_{j}$. Observe that $\operatorname{deg} \varphi=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} \varphi_{j}$ holds. Since our ground field $\mathbb{C}$ is of characteristic zero, [17], Proposition 1 (ii) implies that we may choose a non-empty Zariski open subset $U$ of $\mathbb{A}^{r}$ satisfying the following condition:
For any $y \in U$, the fibers $\varphi_{j}^{-1}(y), 1 \leq j \leq s$ are of cardinality $\operatorname{deg} \varphi_{j}$ and form
a (disjoint) partition of $\varphi^{-1}(y)$.
This implies that for any $y \in U$

$$
\# \varphi^{-1}(y)=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} \# \varphi_{j}^{-1}(y)=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} \operatorname{deg} \varphi_{j}=\operatorname{deg} \varphi
$$

holds.

## Observation 14

Statement and proof of Lemma 13 (i) do not depend on the characteristic of the ground field (in our case $\mathbb{C}$ ).

Now we are going to prove Theorem 12.

## Proof

We limit our attention to the classic polar varieties. The case of the dual polar varieties can be treated similarly.
Let us fix $1 \leq i \leq n-p$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ is non-empty. We consider the closed subvariety $W_{i}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{n} \times$ $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+\overline{1}) \times n}$ defined by the vanishing of $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}$ and of all $(n-i+1)-$ minors of the $((n-i+1) \times n)$-matrix

$$
P_{i}:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 
& J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right) & \\
A_{1,1} & \ldots & A_{1, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
A_{n-p-i+1,1} & \cdots & A_{n-p-i+1, n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the canonical projection $\pi_{i}:=W_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ of $W_{i}$ to $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$. Let $D$ be an irreducible component of $\widehat{W}_{K^{n-p-i}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$. Then there exists an irreducible component $C$ of $W_{i}$ which contains $D \times\left\{a^{(i)}\right\}$. We claim that there exists a $((n-i) \times(n-i))$ - submatrix of $P_{i}$ containing $(n-i)$ entries of each of the rows number $1, \ldots, p$ of $P_{i}$, such that the corresponding $(n-i)$-minor of $P_{i}$, say

$$
m:=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{n-i}} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial X_{n-i}} \\
A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1, n-i} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
A_{n-p-i, 1} & \cdots & A_{n-p-i, n-i}
\end{array}\right],
$$

does not vanish identically on $C$.
Otherwise $C$ would be contained in the locus defined by the vanishing of $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}$ and the determinants of all $((n-i) \times(n-i))$-submatrices of $P_{i}$ that contain $n-i$ entries of each of the rows number $1, \ldots, p$ of $P_{i}$. This would imply that $D$ is contained in $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i-1}\left(\underline{(\underline{a}}^{(i+1)}\right)}(S)$ and hence

$$
\operatorname{dim} D \leq \operatorname{dim} \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i-1}\left(\underline{a}^{(i+1)}\right)}(S)=n-p-i-1 .
$$

Since by assumption the codimension of $D$ in $S$ is $i$, we have $\operatorname{dim} D=n-p-i$ and therefore a contradiction.
Thus we may assume without loss of generality that the $(n-i)-$ minor $m$ of the $((n-i+1) \times n)$-matrix $P_{i}$ does not vanish identically on $C$.
For $n-i<j \leq n$ let us denote by $M_{j}$ the $(n-i+1)-$ minor of the $((n-i+1) \times n)-$ matrix $P_{i}$ which corresponds to the first $n-i$ columns and the column number $j$ of $P_{i}$.
Let $\widetilde{W}_{i}$ be the closed subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ where $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}$ and the $(n-i+1)$-minors $M_{n-i+1}, \ldots, M_{n}$ vanish. Observe that any irreducible component of $\widetilde{W}_{i}$ has dimension at least $n+(n-p-i+1) n-p-i=(n-p-i+2) n-p-i \geq 0$. Obviously $\widetilde{W}_{i}$ contains $W_{i}$ and therefore $C$. Thus there exists an irreducible component $\widetilde{C}$ of $\widetilde{W}_{i}$ that contains $C$. Observe that $m$ does not vanish identically on $\widetilde{C}$ and that $\widetilde{C}_{m} \supset C_{m} \neq \emptyset$ holds. From the Exchange Lemma in [5] we deduce now that all $(n-i+1)$-minors of the $((n-i+1) \times n)$-matrix $P_{i}$ vanish identically on $\widetilde{C}_{m}$ and hence on $\widetilde{C}$. Thus $\widetilde{C}$ is an irreducible closed subset of $W_{i}$ that contains the component $C$. This implies $\widetilde{C}=C$. Therefore $C$ is an irreducible component of the variety $\widetilde{W}_{i}$, whence $\operatorname{dim} C \geq(n-p-i+2) n-p-i$.
We claim now that the morphism of affine varieties $\pi_{\left.i\right|_{C}}: \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(n-i+1) \times n}$ is dominating. Otherwise, by the Theorem on Fibers, any irreducible component of any (non-empty) fiber of $\pi_{\left.i\right|_{C}}$ would have a dimension strictly larger than
$\operatorname{dim} C-(n-p-i+1) n \geq((n-p-i+2) n-p-i)-(n-p-i+1) n=n-p-i$.
Recall that $D$ is an irreducible component of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ such that $D \times\left\{a^{(i)}\right\}$ is contained in $C$. Moreover we have $\pi_{i}^{(-1)}\left(a^{(i)}\right) \cong \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$. Thus $D \times$ $\left\{a^{(i)}\right\}$ is an irreducible component of $\pi_{i}^{(-1)}\left(a^{(i)}\right)$ which is contained in $\pi_{i}^{(-1)}\left(a^{(i)}\right) \cap$ $C$. Since by assumption $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ is of pure codimension $i$ in $S$, we have $\operatorname{dim} D=n-p-i$ and therefore the $\pi_{\left.i\right|_{C}}$-fiber of $a^{(i)} \in \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$, namely $\pi_{i}^{-1}\left(a^{(i)}\right) \cap C$ contains an irreducible component of dimension exactly $n-p-i$, contradicting our previous conclusion that the irreducible components of any $\pi_{\left.i\right|_{C}}{ }^{-}$ fiber are all of dimension strictly larger than $n-p-i$.
Therefore the the morphism of affine varieties $\pi_{\left.i\right|_{C}}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(n-i+1) \times n}$ is dominating. Taking into account the estimate $\operatorname{dim} C \leq(n-p-i+2) n-p-i$ and that the $\pi_{\left.i\right|_{C}}$-fiber of $a^{(i)}$ contains an irreducible component of dimension $n-p-i$, we deduce now from the Theorem on Fibers that $\operatorname{dim} C=(n-p-i+2) n-p-i$ holds.

Let $V_{i}$ be the union of all $((n-i+2) n-p-i)$-dimensional components $C$ of $W_{i}$ such that the morphism of affine varieties $\pi_{\left.i\right|_{C}}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ is dominating. Let us denote the restriction map $\pi_{\left.i\right|_{V_{i}}}: V_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ by $\psi_{i}: V_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$.
Then $V_{i}$ is an equidimensional affine variety of dimension $(n-p-i+2) n-$ $p-i$ and $\psi_{i}$ is an morphism of affine varieties such that the restriction of $\psi_{i}$ to any irreducible component of $V_{i}$ is dominating. Observe that our previous argumentation implies

$$
\psi_{i}^{-1}\left(a^{(i)}\right) \cong \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{Q}^{(i)}\right)}(S) \text { and } \psi_{i}^{-1}\left(b^{(i)}\right) \cong \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{b}^{(i)}\right)}(S) \text { for any } b \in U .
$$

Observe now that there exists a finite set $\mathcal{M}$ of rational $((n-p-i) \times n)$-matrices of maximal rank $n-p-i$ satisfying the following conditions:

- Each $M \in \mathcal{M}$ represents a generic Noether position of the polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$.
- For each $b \in U$ there exists a $((n-p-i) \times n)$ matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}$ representing a generic Noether position of the affine polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{b}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ (here we use the assumption that $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S) \neq \emptyset$ implies $\left.\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{b}^{(i)}\right)}(S) \neq \emptyset\right)$.

Therefore, restricting, if necessary, the non-empty Zariski open set $U$, we may suppose without loss of generality that there exists a full rank matrix $M \in \mathbb{Q}^{(n-p-i) \times n}$ such that $M$ represents a generic Noether position of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ and of any $\widehat{W}_{K^{n-p-i}\left(b^{(i)}\right)}(S)$, where $b$ belongs to $U$. The $((n-p-i) \times n)$-matrix $M$ and $\psi_{i}$ induce now a morphism of $((n-p-i+2) n-p-i)$-dimensional affine varieties $\varphi_{i}: V_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n-p-i} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ which associates to any point $(x, c) \in V_{i} \subset \mathbb{A}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ the value $\varphi_{i}(x, c):=(M x, c)$.
Let $C$ be an arbitrary irreducible component of $V_{i}$. Recall that we have $\operatorname{dim} C=$ $(n-p-i+2) n-p-i$ and the morphism of affine varieties $\psi_{\left.i\right|_{C}}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ is dominating. Therefore, by the Theorem on Fibers, there exists $b \in U$ such that $b^{(i)}$ belongs to $\psi_{i}(C)$ and that the $\psi_{\left.i\right|_{C}}$-fiber of $b^{(i)}$, namely $\psi_{i}^{-1}\left(b^{(i)} \cap C\right.$, is non-empty and equidimensional of dimension $(n-p-i+2) n-p-i-(n-p-i+1) n=n-p-i$. Since $b \in U$ is generic, we conclude that $\widehat{W}_{K^{n-p-i}\left(b^{(i)}\right)}$ is non-empty and of pure codimension $i$ in $S$. Therefore any irreducible component $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(b^{(i)}\right)}$ is of dimension $n-p-i$. Hence any irreducible component of $\psi_{i}^{-1}\left(b^{(i)}\right) \cap C$ is isomorphic to an irreducible component of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{b}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$. This implies that the rational $((n-p-i) \times n)$-matrix $M$ represents also a generic Noether position of the $\psi_{\left.i\right|_{C}}$-fiber of $b^{(i)}$, namely $\psi_{i}^{-1}\left(b^{(i)}\right) \cap C$.
Fix now any point $y \in \mathbb{A}^{n-p-i}$ and observe that the $\varphi_{\left.i\right|_{C}}$-fiber $\left(y, b^{(i)}\right)$ is isomorphic to a non-empty subset of the zero-dimensional variety

$$
\left\{x \in \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{\left.\underline{b}^{(i)}\right)}\right.}(S) \mid M x=y\right\} .
$$

Therefore we may conclude that the morphism of affine varieties

$$
\varphi_{\left.i\right|_{C}}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n-p-i} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}
$$

is dominating. Since $C$ was chosen as an arbitrary irreducible component of $V_{i}$, we infer that $\varphi_{i}: V_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n-p-i} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ satisfies the requirements of Lemma 13.

Let us now fix $b \in U$ and $y \in \mathbb{A}^{n-p-i}$ such that $\left(y, b^{(i)}\right)$ represents a generic choice in the ambient space $\mathbb{A}^{n-p-i} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$. Observe that $\varphi_{i}^{-1}\left(y, a^{(i)}\right)$ is isomorphic to

$$
\left\{x \in \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S) \mid M x=y\right\} .
$$

Since $M$ represents a generic Noether position of the affine variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$, we conclude that $\# \varphi_{i}^{-1}\left(y, a^{(i)}\right)=\operatorname{deg} \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ holds. In particular, $\# \varphi_{i}^{-1}\left(y, \underline{a}^{(i)}\right)$ is finite. Similarly one deduces $\# \varphi_{i}^{-1}\left(y, b^{(i)}\right)=\operatorname{deg} \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{b}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$.

Lemma 13 implies now

$$
\operatorname{deg} \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{b}^{(i)}\right)}(S)=\# \varphi_{i}^{-1}\left(y, b^{(i)}\right)=\operatorname{deg} \varphi_{i}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{deg} \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)=\# \varphi_{i}^{-1}\left(y, a^{(i)}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg} \varphi_{i}=\operatorname{deg} \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}^{n-p-i}\left(\underline{b}^{(i)}\right)}(S) .
$$

This proves Theorem 12.

## Remark 15

From Theorem 12 we deduce that for a generic complex $((n-p) \times n)$-matrix $a$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ the degrees of the polar varieties $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(a^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\bar{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ do not depend on $a$. Therefore the degree of an $i$-th generic classic or dual polar variety of $S$ represents a well-defined invariant of $S$.

Let us now turn back to the discussion of the, up to now informal, concept of sufficiently generic polar varieties. We are going to give to this concept a precise mathematical shape and to discuss it by concrete examples.

## Definition 16

Let $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ and let $m$ be a non-zero polynomial of $\mathbb{C}[X]$, let $E$ be an irreducible closed subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ and let $O$ be a non-empty Zariski open subset of $E$. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) each $b \in O$ is a complex $((n-p) \times n)$-matrix of maximal rank $n-p-i+1$,
(ii) for each $b \in O$ the affine variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(b)}(S)_{m}$ (respectively $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\bar{b})}(S)_{m}$ ) is empty or of pure codimension $i$ in $S$.

Then we call the algebraic family $\left(\widehat{W}_{\underline{K(b)}}(S)_{m}\right)_{b \in O}$ (respectively $\left(\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\bar{b})}(S)_{m}\right)_{b \in O}$ ) of open loci of polar varieties of $S$ sufficiently generic.
In the same vein, we call for $b \in O$ the affine variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\underline{b})}(S)_{m}$ (respectively $\left.\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\bar{b})}(S)_{m}\right)$ sufficiently generic.
Typically, the irreducible affine variety $E$ is isomorphic to a $\mathbb{C}$-linear space and for any $b \in O$ the non-emptiness of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(b)}(S)_{m}$ (respectively $\left.\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\bar{b})}(S)_{m}\right)$ implies the non-emptiness of the open locus of the corresponding generic polar variety defined by the non-vanishing of $m$. From Theorem 12 and its proof we deduce that in this case the sum of the degrees of the irreducible components of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\underline{b})}(S)$ (respectively $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\bar{b})}(S)$ ), where $m$ does not vanish identically, is bounded by the sum of the degrees of the components of the corresponding generic polar variety which satisfy the same condition.
We are now going to discuss two examples of geometrically relevant algebraic families of open loci of polar varieties of $S$. It will turn out that these open loci are empty or smooth affine subvarieties of $S$.

## Example 1

We follow the exposition in [5]. Let $m \in \mathbb{Q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ denote the ( $p-1$ )-minor of the Jacobian $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$ given by the first $(p-1)$ rows and columns, i.e., let

$$
m:=\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{\partial F_{k}}{\partial X_{l}}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq p-1 \\ 1 \leq \leq \leq p-1}} .
$$

Moreover, for $p \leq r \leq n, p \leq t<n$ let $Z_{r, t}$ be a new indeterminate. Using the following regular $((n-p+1) \times(n-p+1))$-parameter matrix

$$
Z:=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & & \cdots & 0 \\
Z_{p+1, p} & 1 & & & & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \bigcirc & & & \vdots \\
Z_{p+i-1, p} & Z_{p+i-1, p+1} & \cdots & 1 & & & & \\
Z_{p+i, p} & Z_{p+i, p+1} & \cdots & Z_{p+i, p+i-1} & 1 & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & 0 \\
Z_{n, p} & Z_{n, p+1} & \cdots & Z_{n, p+i-1} & Z_{n, p+i} & Z_{n, p+i+1} & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right],
$$

we are going to introduce an $(n \times n)$-coordinate transformation matrix $A:=A(Z)$ which will represent the key for our ongoing construction of a sufficiently generic family of open loci of classic polar varieties of $S$.
Let us fix an index $1 \leq i \leq n-p$. Corresponding to our choice of $i$, the matrix $Z$ may be subdivided into submatrices as follows:

$$
Z=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
Z_{1}^{(i)} & O_{i, n-p-i+1} \\
Z^{(i)} & Z_{2}^{(i)}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Here the matrix $Z^{(i)}$ is defined as

$$
Z^{(i)}:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
Z_{p+i, p} & \cdots & Z_{p+i, p+i-1} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
Z_{n, p} & \cdots & Z_{n, p+i-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $Z_{1}^{(i)}$ and $Z_{2}^{(i)}$ denote the quadratic lower triangular matrices bordering $Z^{(i)}$ in $Z$. Let

$$
A:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{p-1} & O_{p-1, i} & O_{p-1, n-p-i+1} \\
O_{i, p-1} & Z_{1}^{(i)} & O_{i, n-p-i+1} \\
O_{n-p-i+1, p-1} & Z^{(i)} & Z_{2}^{(i)}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Here the submatrix $I_{p-1}$ is the $((p-1) \times(p-1))$-identity matrix and $Z^{(i)}, Z_{1}^{(i)}$, and $Z_{2}^{(i)}$ are the submatrices of the parameter matrix $Z$ introduced before. Thus, $A$ is a regular, parameter dependent $(n \times n)$-coordinate transformation matrix.

Like the matrix $Z$, the matrix $A(Z)$ contains

$$
s:=\frac{(n-p)(n-p+1)}{2}
$$

parameters $Z_{r, t}$ which we may specialize into any point $z$ of the affine space $\mathbb{A}^{s}$. For such a point $z \in \mathbb{A}^{s}$ we denote the corresponding specialized matrices by $Z_{1}^{(i)}(z), Z_{2}^{(i)}(z), Z^{(i)}(z)$ and $A(z)$.

Let $B_{i}:=B_{i}(Z)$ be the $((n-p-i+1) \times n)-$ matrix consisting of the rows number $p+i, \ldots, n$ of the inverse matrix $A(Z)^{-1}$ of $A(Z)$. From the particular triangular form of the matrix $A(Z)$ we deduce that the entries of $B_{i}(Z)$ are polynomials in the indeterminates $Z_{r, t}, p \leq r \leq n, p \leq t<r$. Moreover, we have the matrix identity

$$
B_{i} A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
O_{n-p-i+1, p+i-1} & I_{n-p-i+1}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Finally, let $E$ be the Zariski closure of $B_{i}\left(\mathbb{A}^{s}\right)$ in $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$. Then $E$ is an irreducible closed subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ consisting of complex $((n-p-i+$ 1) $\times n$ )-matrices of maximal rank $n-p-i+1$.

According to the structure of the coordinate transformation matrix $A=A(Z)$ we subdivide the Jacobian $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$ into three submatrices

$$
J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
U & V^{(i)} & W^{(i)}
\end{array}\right],
$$

with

$$
U:=\left[\frac{\partial F_{k}}{\partial X_{l}}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq p \\ 1 \leq \leq \leq p-1}}, V^{(i)}:=\left[\frac{\partial F_{k}}{\partial X_{l}}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq p \\ p \leq l \leq p+i-1}}, W^{(i)}:=\left[\frac{\partial F_{k}}{\partial X_{l}}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq p \\ p+i \leq \leq \leq n}} .
$$

We have then the following matrix identity:

$$
J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right) A(Z)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
U & V^{(i)} Z_{1}^{(i)}+W^{(i)} Z^{(i)} \\
W^{(i)} & Z_{2}^{(i)}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

For $p \leq j \leq p+i-1$ let us denote by $\widetilde{M}_{j}:=\widetilde{M}_{j}(X, Z)$ the $p$-minor of the polynomial $(p \times n)$-matrix $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right) A(Z)$ that corresponds to the columns number $1, \ldots, p-1, j$.
From [5], Section 2.3 and Lemma 1 we deduce that there exists a non-empty Zariski open subset $\widetilde{O}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{s}$ such that any point $z \in \widetilde{O}$ satisfies the following two conditions:
(i) the polynomial equations

$$
F_{1}(X)=0, \ldots, F_{p}(X)=0, \widetilde{M}_{p}(X, z)=0, \ldots, \widetilde{M}_{p+i-1}(X, z)=0
$$

intersect transversally at any of their common solutions outside of the locus defined by $m(X)=0$,
(ii) for any point $x \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ with

$$
F_{1}(x)=0, \ldots, F_{p}(x)=0, \widetilde{M}_{p}(x, z)=0, \ldots, \widetilde{M}_{p+i-1}(x, z)=0 \text { and } m(x) \neq 0
$$

all $p$-minors of the complex $(p \times(p+i-1))$-matrix

$$
\left[U(x) V^{(i)}(x) Z_{1}^{(i)}(z)+W^{(i)}(x) Z^{(i)}(z)\right]
$$

vanish, i.e., this matrix has rank at most $p-1$.

Let $O:=B_{i}(\widetilde{O})$ and observe that $O$ is a non-empty Zariski open subset of the irreducible affine variety $E$.
Let us fix for the moment an arbitrary point $z \in \widetilde{O}$. Then the complex ( $n-p-$ $i+1) \times n$ )-matrix $B_{i}(z)$ is of maximal rank $n-p-i+1$.
We consider now the open locus $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{\left.B_{i}(z)\right)}\right.}(S)_{m}$ of the classic polar variety
$\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(B_{i}(z)\right)}(S)$. By definition the affine variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(B_{i}(z)\right)}(S)_{m}$ consists of the points of $S_{m}$ where all $(n-i+1)$-minors of the polynomial $((n-i+1) \times n)$-matrix

$$
N_{i}:=\left[\begin{array}{c}
J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right) \\
B_{i}(z)
\end{array}\right]
$$

vanish.
Let $x$ be an arbitrary element of $S_{m}$. Then all $(n-i+1)$-minors of $N_{i}$ vanish at $x$ if and only if $N_{i}$ has rank at most $n-i$ at $x$. This is equivalent to the condition that

$$
N_{i} A(z)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right) A(z) \\
B_{i}(z) A(z)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
U & V^{(i)} Z_{1}^{(i)}(z)+W^{(i)} Z^{(i)}(z) & W^{(i)} Z_{2}^{(i)}(z) \\
O_{n-p-i+1, p+i-1} & I_{n-p-i+1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

has rank at most $n-i$ at $x$. Hence $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{\left.B_{i}(z)\right)}\right.}(S)_{m}$ consists of the points of $S_{m}$ where the $(p \times(p+i-1))$-matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
U & V^{(i)} Z_{1}^{(i)}(z)+W^{(i)} Z^{(i)}(z)
\end{array}\right]
$$

has rank at most $p-1$.
From conditions (i) and (ii) above we deduce that the affine variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(B_{i}(z)\right)}(S)_{m}$ is either empty or a smooth subvariety of $S$ of pure codimension $i$.
Since $z \in \widetilde{O}$ was chosen arbitrarily, we have shown the following statement:

## Proposition 17

For each point $b \in O$ the open locus $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\underline{b})}(S)_{m}$ of the polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(b)}(S)$ is either empty or a smooth affine subvariety of $S$ of pure codimension $i$. Thus $\left(\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\underline{b})}(S)_{m}\right)_{b \in O}$ forms a sufficiently generic algebraic family of empty or smooth open loci of polar varieties of $S$.

Observe that the matrix $A(Z)$ (and hence the matrix $A(Z)^{-1}$ ) does not depend on the index $1 \leq i \leq n-p$. Moreover, $A(Z)^{-1}$ has the same triangular shape as $A(Z)$. Here the entries $Z_{r, t}, p \leq r \leq n, p \leq t<r$ have to be replaced by suitable polynomials in $Z$ which are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.
Consequently

$$
B_{n-p}(Z), \ldots, B_{1}(Z)
$$

form a nested sequence of matrices, each contained in the other. Therefore we obtain for $z \in \widetilde{O}$ a descending chain of open loci of polar varieties

$$
\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{B_{1}}(z)\right)}(S)_{m} \supset \cdots \supset \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{B}_{n-p}(z)\right)}(S)_{m}
$$

which are empty or smooth codimension one subvarieties one of the other.

We discuss now Example 1 in view of algorithmic applications. In order to do this let us start with the following considerations.
Let $Y^{*}=\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{p-1}\right)$ be a $(p-1)$-tupel of new indeterminates. We fix for the moment indices $1 \leq h_{1}<\cdots<h_{p} \leq n$ and make a sub-selection of $p-1$ of them, say $h_{1}^{\prime}<\cdots<h_{p-1}^{\prime}$. For the sake of notational simplicity suppose $h_{1}=1, \ldots, h_{p}=p$ and $h_{1}^{\prime}=1, \ldots, h_{p-1}^{\prime}=p-1$.

Let

$$
U^{*}:=\left[\frac{\partial F_{k}}{\partial X_{l}}\right]_{1 \leq k, l \leq p}, \quad V^{*}:=\left[\frac{\partial F_{k}}{\partial X_{l}}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq p \\ p+1 \leq l \leq n}}, M^{*}:=\operatorname{det} U^{*}
$$

and let $N^{*}:=N^{*}\left(X, Y^{*}\right)$ be the polynomial $((p+1) \times p)$-matrix

$$
N^{*}:=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
U^{*} \\
Y_{1} \cdots Y_{p-1} & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

There exists a polynomial $(p \times p)$-matrix $C^{*}:=C^{*}\left(Y^{*}\right)$ with $\operatorname{det} C^{*}=1$ satisfying the condition

$$
N^{*} C^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
U^{*} & C^{*} \\
0 \cdots & \cdots
\end{array}\right]
$$

In particular we have $M^{*}=\operatorname{det}\left(U^{*} C^{*}\right)$.
To our sub-selection of indices corresponds the $(p-1)$-minor $m^{*}:=m^{*}\left(X, Y^{*}\right)$ determined by the rows and columns number $1, \ldots, p-1$ of $U^{*} C^{*}$. For the moment let us fix an index $1 \leq i \leq n-p$. According to our choice of indices and the sub-selection made from them, let us denote for $p \leq j \leq p+i-1$ by $M_{j}^{*}=$ $M^{*}\left(X, Y^{*}, Z\right)$ the $p$-minor of the polynomial $(p \times n)$-matrix $\left[U^{*} C^{*} V^{*}\right] A(Z)$ that corresponds to the columns number $1, \ldots, p-1, j$.

We consider now the polynomial map

$$
\Phi^{*}: \mathbb{A}_{M^{*}}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{A}^{s} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{p} \times \mathbb{A}^{i}
$$

defined for $\left(x, y^{*}, z\right) \in \mathbb{A}_{M^{*}}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{A}^{s}$ by

$$
\Phi^{*}\left(x, y^{*}, z\right):=\left(F_{1}(x), \ldots, F_{p}(x), M_{p}^{*}\left(x, y^{*}, z\right), \ldots, M_{p+i-1}^{*}\left(x, y^{*}, z\right)\right) .
$$

As in [5], Section 2.3 we may now argue that $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{A}^{i}$ is a regular value of the polynomial map $\Phi^{*}$. From the Weak Transversality Theorem of Thom-Sard we then deduce that there exists a non-empty Zariski open subset $O^{*}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{A}^{i}$ such that for any point $\left(y^{*}, z\right)$ of $O^{*}$ the polynomial equations

$$
F_{1}(X)=0, \ldots, F_{p}(X)=0, M_{p}^{*}\left(X, y^{*}, z\right)=0, \ldots, M_{p+i-1}^{*}\left(X, y^{*}, z\right)=0
$$

intersect transversally at any of their common solutions outside of the locus defined by $M^{*}(X)=0$.
Notice that for any point $x \in S_{M^{*}}$ there exists a $(p-1)$-minor of $U^{*} C^{*}\left(y^{*}\right)$ that does not vanish at $x$. Let us suppose $m^{*}\left(x, y^{*}\right) \neq 0$. Now we may conclude as in the proof of Proposition 17 that the assumption

$$
M_{p}^{*}\left(x, y^{*}, z\right)=0, \ldots, M_{p+i-1}^{*}\left(x, y^{*}, z\right)=0
$$

implies that the polynomial $((n-i+1) \times n)$-matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
U^{*} C^{*}\left(y^{*}\right) \\
B_{i}(z)
\end{array}\right]
$$

has rank at most $n-i$ at $x$.
Observe that the row number $n-p-i+1$ of $B_{i}(Z)$ has the form $\left(0, \ldots, 0, B_{n, p}(Z), \ldots, B_{n, n-1}(Z), 1\right)$, where $B_{n, p}(Z), \ldots, B_{n, n-1}(Z)$ are suitable polynomials in $Z$ which are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that any point $\left(y^{*}, z\right)$ of $O^{*}$ satisfies the condition $B_{n, p}(z) \neq 0$. Thus there exists a non-empty Zariski open subset $Q^{*}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ such that any point $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ of $Q^{*}$ has non-zero entries and satisfies the following condition:
There exists a point $\left(y^{*}, z\right)$ of $O^{*}$ with $y^{*}=\frac{1}{b_{p}}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{p-1}\right)$ and

$$
\frac{1}{B_{n, p}(z)}\left(B_{n, p+1}(z), \ldots, B_{n, n-1}(z), 1\right)=\frac{1}{b_{p}}\left(b_{p+1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) .
$$

All these constructions and arguments depend on a fixed choice of indices $1 \leq$ $h_{1}<\cdots<h_{p} \leq n$, namely $h_{1}:=1, \ldots, h_{p}:=p$ and a sub-selection of them, namely $h_{1}^{\prime}:=1, \ldots, h_{p-1}^{\prime}:=p-1$.
Intersecting now for all possible choices of indices and sub-selections of them the resulting subsets of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ corresponding to $Q^{*}$ in case $h_{1}=1, \ldots, h_{p}=p, h_{1}^{\prime}=$ $1, \ldots, h_{p-1}^{\prime}=p-1$, we obtain a non-empty Zariski open subset $Q$ of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ which has the same properties as $Q^{*}$ with respect to any choice of indices $1 \leq h_{1}<$ $\cdots<h_{p} \leq n$ and any sub-selection $h_{1}^{\prime}<\cdots<h_{p-1}^{\prime}$ of them.
Let us now suppose that $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is smooth and compact and let $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ be a fixed point of $U \cap \mathbb{Q}^{n}$. Of course, such a point $b$ exists and can be obtained by a random choice.
From [5], Theorem 2 we deduce that $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\underline{b})}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is not empty. Since $b$ belongs to $Q$ there exists a point $\left(y^{*}, z\right) \in Q^{*}$ with

$$
y^{*}=\frac{1}{b_{p}}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{p-1}\right) \text { and } \frac{1}{B_{n, p}(z)}\left(B_{n, p+1}(z), \ldots, B_{n, n-1}(z), 1\right)=\frac{1}{b_{p}}\left(b_{p+1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) .
$$

We may assume $z \in \mathbb{Q}^{s}$. Since $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is smooth and $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(b)}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is not empty, we may suppose without loss of generality

$$
\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\underline{b})}(S)_{M^{*}(X) m^{*}\left(X, y^{*}\right)} \neq \emptyset .
$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ let $W_{i}^{*}$ be the affine subvariety of it defined by the vanishing of all $(n-i+1)$-minors of the polynomial $((n-i+1) \times n)$-matrix $\left.\left[\begin{array}{c}U^{*} C^{*}\left(y^{*}\right) \\ B_{i}(z)\end{array}\right] . V^{*}\right]$. As before we obtain a descending chain of affine varieties, namely $W_{1}^{*} \supset \cdots \supset W_{n-p}^{*}$ which are empty or smooth codimension one subvarieties one of the other. In order to rule out the possibility of emptiness we are going to show $W_{n-p}^{*} \neq \emptyset$.

For this purpose we consider an arbitrary element $x \in S_{M^{*}(X) m^{*}\left(X, y^{*}\right)}$. Then all $(p+1)$-minors of the polynomial $((p+1) \times n)$-matrix $\left[\begin{array}{c}J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right) \\ b\end{array}\right]$ vanish at $x$ if and only if the same is true for $\left[\begin{array}{c}J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right) \\ \frac{1}{b_{p}} b\end{array}\right]$ and this is equivalent to the condition that the polynomial $((p+1) \times n)$-matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
U^{*} C^{*}\left(y^{*}\right) \\
0 V^{*} \\
0 \cdots 01 \frac{1}{b_{p}} b_{p+1} \cdots \frac{1}{b_{p}} b_{n}
\end{array}\right],
$$

and hence also

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
U^{*} C^{*}\left(y^{*}\right) V^{*} \\
0 \cdots 0 b_{p} b_{p+1} \cdots b_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
U^{*} C^{*}\left(y^{*}\right) V^{*} \\
0 \cdots 0 B_{n, p}(z) \cdots B_{n, n-1}(z), 1
\end{array}\right],
$$

has rank at most $p$ at $x$. This implies

$$
\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(b)}(S)_{M^{*}(X) m^{*}\left(X, y^{*}\right)}=W_{n-p}^{*}, \quad \text { whence } W_{n-p}^{*} \neq \emptyset
$$

For the construction of finitely many real algebraic sample points for the connected components of the real algebraic variety $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ we may now proceed as explained at the beginning of this section. For each choice of indices $1 \leq h_{1}<\cdots<h_{p} \leq n$ and any sub-selection $h_{1}^{\prime}<\cdots<h_{p-1}$ of them we generate the equations and the inequation that define the descending chain of affine varieties corresponding to $W_{1}^{*} \supset \cdots \supset W_{n-p}^{*}$ in order to find the (finitely many) real points contained in the last one.
The set of real points obtained in this way is by virtue of [5], Theorem 2 a set of sample points for the connected components of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. For details of the procedure we refer to [5].
We are now going to explain how the affine varieties $W_{i}^{*}, 1 \leq i \leq n-p$ may be interpreted as open loci of polar varieties of suitable complete intersection varieties.

Suppose we have already fixed indices $1 \leq h_{1}<\cdots<h_{p} \leq n$ and have made a sub-selection $h_{1}^{\prime}<\cdots<h_{p-1}$. For the sake of notational simplicity we suppose again $h_{1}=1, \ldots, h_{p}=p, h_{1}^{\prime}=1, \ldots, h_{p-1}^{\prime}=p-1$. Furthermore, we suppose that we have chosen a point $\left(y^{*}, z\right)$ of $O^{*}$. Let $\Omega=\left(\Omega_{1}, \ldots, \Omega_{n}\right)$ be an $n-$ tupel of new indeterminates and let us consider the variable transformation matrix $\left[\begin{array}{cc}C^{*}\left(y^{*}\right) & O_{p, n-p} \\ O_{n-p, p} & I_{n-p}\end{array}\right]$ and the polynomials

$$
G_{1}:=G_{1}(\Omega):=F_{1}\left(\Omega D^{T}\right), \ldots, G_{p}:=G_{p}(\Omega):=F_{p}\left(\Omega D^{T}\right)
$$

where $D^{T}$ denotes the transposed matrix of $D$. Let $G:=\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{p}\right), S_{G}:=$ $\left\{G_{1}=0, \ldots, G_{p}=0\right\}$ and $M_{G}$ the $(p \times p)$-minor of $J\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{p}\right)$ which corresponds to the columns number $1, \ldots, p$.

From the identity

$$
J(G)\left(X\left(D^{-1}\right)^{T}\right)=J(F) D=\left[U^{*} C^{*}\left(y^{*}\right) V^{*}\right]
$$

we deduce now that for any point $x \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ and any index $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ the conditions

$$
x\left(D^{-1}\right)^{T} \in \widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{\left.B_{i}(z)\right)}\right.}\left(S_{G}\right)_{M_{G}} \text { and } x \in W_{i}^{*}
$$

are equivalent. Therefore $W_{i}^{*}$ is isomorphic to the polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(B_{i}(z)\right)}\left(S_{G}\right)$.

## Example 2

We are going to consider simultaneously two algebraic families of generic loci of polar varieties of $S$, one in the classic and the other in the dual case.
Let $m$ be the $(p-1)$-minor of $J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)$ introduced in Example 1, namely $m:=\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{\partial F_{k}}{\partial X_{l}}\right]_{1 \leq k, l \leq p-1}$. We fix now an index $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ and an $(n-i)$-tupel of rational numbers $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n-i}\right)$ with $\gamma_{n-i} \neq 0$.
Let $Z=\left(Z_{n-i+1}, \ldots, Z_{n}\right)$ be an $i$-tupel of new indeterminates and let $B=B_{(i, \gamma)}$ be the polynomial $((n-p-i+1) \times n)-$ matrix defined by

$$
B:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
O_{n-p-i, p-1} & I_{n-p-i} & O_{n-p-i, i+1} \\
\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{p-1} & \gamma_{p} \ldots \gamma_{n-i-1} & \gamma_{n-i} \\
Z_{n-i+1} \ldots Z_{n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

By $\underline{B}:=\underline{B}_{(i, \gamma)}$ and $\bar{B}:=\bar{B}_{(i, \gamma)}$ we denote the polynomial $((n-p-i+1) \times(n+$ 1)) -matrices obtained by adding to $B$ as column number zero the transposed $(n-p-i+1)$-tupel $(0, \ldots, 0,0)^{T}$ and $(0, \ldots, 0,1)^{T}$, respectively. So we have $\underline{B}_{*}=\bar{B}_{*}=B$.
Let $E:=B\left(\mathbb{A}^{i}\right)$. Then $E$ is a closed irreducible subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{(n-p-i+1) \times n}$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{i}$.

From $\gamma_{n-i} \neq 0$ we deduce that for any instance $z \in \mathbb{A}^{i}$ with $z=\left(z_{n-i+1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ the complex $((n-p-i+1) \times n)$-matrix $B_{i}(z)=\underline{B}(z)_{*}=\bar{B}(z)_{*}$ has maximal rank $n-p-i+1$ and this gives rise to two polar varieties of $S$, one classic and the other dual, namely $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\underline{B(z))}}(S)$ and $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\overline{B(z))}}(S)$.
We shall limit our attention to the algebraic family of open loci of dual polar varieties $\left(\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\overline{B(z))}}(S)_{m}\right)_{z \in \mathbb{A}^{i}}$. The case of the algebraic family $\left(\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}(\underline{B(z))}}(S)_{m}\right)_{z \in \mathbb{A}^{i}}$ is treated similarly.
We consider now the polynomial $((n-i+1) \times n)$-matrix $N:=N(i, \gamma)$ defined by
$N:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc} & J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right) & O_{n-p-i, i+1} \\ O_{n-p-i, p-1} & I_{n-p-i} & \\ \gamma_{1}-X_{1} \ldots \gamma_{p-1}-X_{p-1} & \gamma_{p}-X_{p} \ldots \gamma_{n-i-1}-X_{n-i+1} & \gamma_{n-i}-X_{n-i} \\ Z_{n-i+1}-X_{n-i+1} \ldots Z_{n}-X_{n}\end{array}\right]$
Observe that the $(n-i)-$ minor of $N$, which corresponds to the rows and columns $1, \ldots, n-i$, has value $m$. For $n-i+1 \leq j \leq n$ let us denote by $\widetilde{M}_{j}:=\widetilde{M}_{j}(X, Z)$ the $(n-i+1)$-minor of $N$ that corresponds to the columns number $1, \ldots, n-i$ and $j$. One verifies immediately for $n-i+1 \leq j^{\prime} \leq n$ the identities $\frac{\partial \widetilde{M}_{j}}{\partial Z_{j^{\prime}}}=0$ in case $j^{\prime} \neq j$ and $\frac{\partial \widetilde{M} j}{\partial Z_{j^{\prime}}}=m$ in case $j^{\prime}=j$.

Let us now consider the polynomial map $\Phi: \mathbb{A}_{m}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{p+i}$ defined for $(x, z) \in \mathbb{A}_{m}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{i}$ by $\Phi(x, z):=\left(F_{1}(x), \ldots, F_{p}(x), \widetilde{M}_{n-i+1}(x, z), \ldots, \widetilde{M}_{n}(x, z)\right)$. The Jacobian $J(\Phi)(x, z)$ of $\Phi$ at $(x, z)$ has the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(\Phi)(x, z) & =\left[\right]= \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
J\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}\right)(x) & & O_{p, i} & \\
& m(x) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
* & 0 & m(x) & \cdots & 0 \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& 0 & 0 & \cdots & m(x)
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and is of maximal rank $p+i$, since $x$ belongs to $\mathbb{A}_{m}^{n}$. In particular $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{p+i}$ is a regular value of $\Phi$. From the Weak Transversality Theorem of Thom-Sard we deduce now that there exists a non-empty Zariski open subset $\widetilde{O}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{i}$ such that for any point $z \in \widetilde{O}$ the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(X)=0, \ldots, F_{p}(X)=0, \widetilde{M}_{n-i+1}(X, z)=0, \ldots, \widetilde{M}_{n}(X, z)=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

intersect transversally in any of their common solutions outside of the locus $\{m=$ $0\}$.
Let $O$ be the image of $\widetilde{O}$ under the given isomorphism which maps $\mathbb{A}^{i}$ onto $E$. Then $O$ is a non-empty Zariski open subset of $E$.
Fix for the moment a point $z \in \widetilde{O}$. From our previous argumentation and [5], Lemma 1 we conclude that at any point $x \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ with

$$
F_{1}(x)=0, \ldots, F_{p}(x)=0, \widetilde{M}_{n-i+1}(x, z)=0, \ldots, \widetilde{M}_{n}(x, z)=0 \text { and } m(x) \neq 0
$$

all $(n-i+1)$-minors of $N(x, z)$ are vanishing.
This means that the equations (9) define the dual polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\overline{B(z))}}(S)$ outside of the locus $\{m=0\}$. Therefore $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\overline{B(z))}}(S)_{m}$ is either empty or a smooth affine subvariety of $S$ of pure codimension $i$.
Re-parametrizing the algebraic family of affine varieties $\left(\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\overline{B(z))}}(S)_{m}\right)_{z \in \widetilde{O}}$ by the non-empty Zariski open subset $O$ of $E$ we obtain finally a sufficiently generic algebraic family of empty or smooth open loci of polar varieties of $S$.
Let $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right)$ be a generically chosen point of $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$ and assume that the real variety $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is smooth. Then we deduce from [6] and [7], Proposition 2 that the fully generic polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\bar{\gamma})}\left(S_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is not empty. Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume $\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\bar{\gamma})}(S)_{m} \neq \emptyset$.
For $1 \leq i \leq n-p$ let $z_{i}:=\left(\gamma_{n-i+1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right)$. Then, similarly as in Example 1, we may argue that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\overline{\left.B\left(z_{1}\right)\right)}\right.}(S)_{m} \supset \cdots \supset \widehat{W}_{\bar{K}\left(\overline{\left.B\left(z_{n-p}\right)\right)}\right.}(S)_{m}=\widehat{W}_{\bar{K}(\gamma)}(S)_{m} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a descending chain of smooth affine varieties which are codimension one subvarieties one of the other.

Again, for the construction of finitely many real algebraic sample points for the connected components of the real variety $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ we may proceed as explained at the beginning of this section. For any choice of indices $1 \leq h_{1}<\cdots<h_{p} \leq n$ we generate the equations and the inequations that define the descending chain of affine varieties corresponding to (10) in order to find the (finitely many) real points contained in the last one. The set of points obtained in this way is again a set of sample points for the connected components of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$.
A variant of Example 2 is used in [8] in order to find efficiently smooth algebraic sample points for the (non-degenerated) connected components of singular real hypersurfaces.

## 5 Experimental results

In the aim to get some feeling about the sharpness of the bound of Proposition 8, we performed a series of computer experiments with generic classic polar varieties of smooth complete intersection manifolds. More precisely, for a given a triple ( $n, p, i$ ) of integer parameters with $1 \leq p \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-p$, we did the following:

We

- chose random polynomials $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}$ of degree two in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$,
- verified that $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}$ form a regular sequence in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ whose set of common zeros $S$ contains no singular point,
- chose a random integer $((n-p) \times n)$-matrix $a:=\left[a_{k, l}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-p-i+1 \\ 1 \leq \leq \leq n}}$, and
- determined the dimension of the singular locus of the classic polar variety $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$.

The results of such experiments should be interpreted with caution, since we cannot guarantee that the matrix $a$ satisfies the necessary genericity condition. However, running the procedure for several random choices of $a$ may increase our confidence into the experimental results.

We choose equations of degree two in order to avoid a complexity explosion of our computations. In the same vein, we have to control the bit-size of the coefficients of the polynomials created during our procedure. To get rid of this situation, we performed our computations modulo the prime number $q:=10000000019$, taking into account that for any system $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}$ of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and any integer $((n-p) \times n)-$ matrix $a$ there are only finitely many primes $r$ for which the dimension of the singular locus of $\widehat{W}_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)}(S)$ differs from that of its counterpart obtained over the finite field $\mathbb{Z}_{r}$ by reducing $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}$ and $a$ modulo $r$. Hence we guess that the experimental results given here reflect the expected generic behavior of the system $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{p}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Our computations were performed using the Magma computer algebra system, on a Core2 DUO processor with 4 Gb of RAM. Our search space consisted of all values of the triple $(n, p, i)$ with $2 \leq n \leq 11,1 \leq p \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-p$. Nevertheless, we had to discard all triples of the form ( $10, p, i$ ) with $p=6, \ldots, 9$ and ( $11, p, i$ ) with $p=5, \ldots, 10$, since computations ran out of memory.
The experimentation showed that in the hypersurface case, when $p=1$, the resulting polar varieties were always smooth, as expected. For $p>1$ we found that the dimension of the singular locus of the polar varieties was always $\max \{-1, n-p-$ $(2 i+2)\}$, where the dimension of the empty set is defined by -1 . In other words, in case $(2 i+2)>n-p$, the singular locus was empty, as predicted in Proposition 8, and in case $(2 i+2)<n-p$, the experimentation returned exactly the value $n-p-(2 i+2)$ as the dimension of the singular locus. Observe that by Lemma 11 this value coincides with the expected dimension of the stratum $\Sigma^{(i+1)}\left(\pi_{a^{(i)}}\right)$ in case $p \geq 2$. This finding suggests that in this case $\left.\bigcup_{i<j \leq n-p} \Sigma^{(j)}\left(\pi_{a^{(i)}}\right)\right)$ contains the singular locus of $W_{\underline{K}\left(\underline{a}^{(i)}\right)} \cap S_{\text {reg }}$.
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