

Generalized Schrödinger semigroups on infinite weighted graphs

Batu Güneysu

Institut für Mathematik
Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

QMATH 12

Berlin, September 11, 2013

B.G, Ognjen Milatovic, Françoise Truc: *Generalized Schrödinger semigroups on infinite graphs*. Preprint (2013).

Partially:

B.G., Matthias Keller, Marcel Schmidt: *A Feynman-Kac-Itô formula for magnetic Schrödinger operators on graphs*. Preprint (2013).

Motivation

- Classical fact: If H is the self-adjoint operator corresponding to a regular Dirichlet form on some locally compact space X with a Borel measure m (for example the canonical Dirichlet form on a weighted graph), then for appropriate $v : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ one has the Feynman-Kac formula

$$e^{-t(H+v)}f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x \left[e^{-\int_0^t v(\mathbb{X}_s)ds} f(\mathbb{X}_t) \right], \quad f \in L^2(X, m). \quad (1)$$

- Generalizations of these probabilistic formulae also hold for covariant Schrödinger type operators acting on sections in Hermitian vector bundles over noncompact Riemannian manifolds
- On the other hand, natural extensions of the latter covariant operators ($\hat{=}$ LHS of (1)) exist also on weighted graphs. **What about the RHS and the validity of (1) in the covariant weighted discrete graph setting?**

Motivation

- Classical fact: If H is the self-adjoint operator corresponding to a regular Dirichlet form on some locally compact space X with a Borel measure m (for example the canonical Dirichlet form on a weighted graph), then for appropriate $v : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ one has the Feynman-Kac formula

$$e^{-t(H+v)}f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x \left[e^{-\int_0^t v(\mathbb{X}_s) ds} f(\mathbb{X}_t) \right], \quad f \in L^2(X, m). \quad (1)$$

- Generalizations of these probabilistic formulae also hold for covariant Schrödinger type operators acting on sections in Hermitian vector bundles over noncompact Riemannian manifolds
- On the other hand, natural extensions of the latter covariant operators ($\hat{=}$ LHS of (1)) exist also on weighted graphs. **What about the RHS and the validity of (1) in the covariant weighted discrete graph setting?**

Motivation

- Classical fact: If H is the self-adjoint operator corresponding to a regular Dirichlet form on some locally compact space X with a Borel measure m (for example the canonical Dirichlet form on a weighted graph), then for appropriate $v : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ one has the Feynman-Kac formula

$$e^{-t(H+v)}f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x \left[e^{-\int_0^t v(\mathbb{X}_s) ds} f(\mathbb{X}_t) \right], \quad f \in L^2(X, m). \quad (1)$$

- Generalizations of these probabilistic formulae also hold for covariant Schrödinger type operators acting on sections in Hermitian vector bundles over noncompact Riemannian manifolds
- On the other hand, natural extensions of the latter covariant operators ($\hat{=}$ LHS of (1)) exist also on weighted graphs.
What about the RHS and the validity of (1) in the covariant weighted discrete graph setting?

Setting I

i) Let (X, b, m) be a possibly locally unbounded weighted graph, that is,

- X is a countable set (the *vertices*)
- $b : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is symmetric with $b(x, x) = 0$ and $\sum_{y \in X} b(x, y) < \infty$ (the set of $x, y \in X$ with $x \sim_b y := \Leftrightarrow b(x, y) > 0$ are the *neighbors*).
- $m : X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ some function (the *weight function*)

ii) Let $F \rightarrow X$ be a rank- ν vector bundle, that is, $F = \bigsqcup_{x \in X} F_x$ with each F_x a complex linear space with $F_x \cong \mathbb{C}^\nu$

iii) Let

$$(\bullet, \bullet)_x : F_x \times F_x \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad x \in X,$$

be a Hermitian structure on $F \rightarrow X$, that is, each $(\bullet, \bullet)_x$ is a Hermitian product

Setting I

i) Let (X, b, m) be a possibly locally unbounded weighted graph, that is,

- X is a countable set (the *vertices*)
- $b : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is symmetric with $b(x, x) = 0$ and $\sum_{y \in X} b(x, y) < \infty$ (the set of $x, y \in X$ with $x \sim_b y :\Leftrightarrow b(x, y) > 0$ are the *neighbors*).
- $m : X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ some function (the *weight function*)

ii) Let $F \rightarrow X$ be a rank- ν vector bundle, that is, $F = \bigsqcup_{x \in X} F_x$ with each F_x a complex linear space with $F_x \cong \mathbb{C}^\nu$

iii) Let

$$(\bullet, \bullet)_x : F_x \times F_x \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad x \in X,$$

be a *Hermitian structure* on $F \rightarrow X$, that is, each $(\bullet, \bullet)_x$ is a Hermitian product

Setting I

i) Let (X, b, m) be a possibly locally unbounded weighted graph, that is,

- X is a countable set (the *vertices*)
- $b : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is symmetric with $b(x, x) = 0$ and $\sum_{y \in X} b(x, y) < \infty$ (the set of $x, y \in X$ with $x \sim_b y :\Leftrightarrow b(x, y) > 0$ are the *neighbors*).
- $m : X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ some function (the *weight function*)

ii) Let $F \rightarrow X$ be a rank- ν vector bundle, that is, $F = \bigsqcup_{x \in X} F_x$ with each F_x a complex linear space with $F_x \cong \mathbb{C}^\nu$

iii) Let

$$(\bullet, \bullet)_x : F_x \times F_x \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad x \in X,$$

be a *Hermitian structure* on $F \rightarrow X$, that is, each $(\bullet, \bullet)_x$ is a Hermitian product

Setting II

$|\bullet|_x$ denotes the norm and operator norm on F_x given by $(\bullet, \bullet)_x$
 \rightsquigarrow we get the corresponding spaces $\Gamma_{\ell_m^p}(X, F)$ of ℓ_m^p -sections
 (depend on (\bullet, \bullet)):

$$\Gamma_{\ell_m^p}(X, F) = \{f : X \rightarrow F \mid f(x) \in F_x \text{ for all } x, |f| \in \ell^p(X, m)\}$$

$\rightsquigarrow \Gamma_{\ell_m^2}(X, F)$ is our Hilbert space

iv) Let Φ be a *unitary b-connection* on $F \rightarrow X$, that is, Φ assigns to any $x \sim_b y$ some unitary map $\Phi_{x,y} : F_x \rightarrow F_y$ such that $\Phi_{y,x} = \Phi_{x,y}^{-1}$ (depends on (\bullet, \bullet))

Setting II

$|\bullet|_x$ denotes the norm and operator norm on F_x given by $(\bullet, \bullet)_x$
 \rightsquigarrow we get the corresponding spaces $\Gamma_{\ell_m^p}(X, F)$ of ℓ_m^p -sections
 (depend on (\bullet, \bullet)):

$$\Gamma_{\ell_m^p}(X, F) = \{f : X \rightarrow F \mid f(x) \in F_x \text{ for all } x, |f| \in \ell^p(X, m)\}$$

$\rightsquigarrow \Gamma_{\ell_m^2}(X, F)$ is our Hilbert space

iv) Let Φ be a *unitary b-connection* on $F \rightarrow X$, that is, Φ assigns to any $x \sim_b y$ some unitary map $\Phi_{x,y} : F_x \rightarrow F_y$ such that $\Phi_{y,x} = \Phi_{x,y}^{-1}$ (depends on (\bullet, \bullet))

Operators

- Define a sesqui-linear form $Q'_{\Phi,0}$ in $\Gamma_{\ell_m^2}(X, F)$ with $D(Q'_{\Phi,0}) :=$ compactly supported sections in $F \rightarrow X$, and

$$Q'_{\Phi,0}(f_1, f_2) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \sim_b y} b(x, y) \left(f_1(x) - \Phi_{y,x} f_1(y), f_2(x) - \Phi_{y,x} f_2(y) \right)_x$$

- $Q'_{\Phi,0}$ is densely defined, symmetric, closable, and nonnegative:
 $Q_{\Phi,0} := \overline{Q'_{\Phi,0}}$
- Let V be a *potential* on $F \rightarrow X$, that is, V is a pointwise self-adjoint section in $\text{End}(F) \rightarrow X$ (depends on (\bullet, \bullet)) $\rightsquigarrow V$ determines a maximally defined quadratic form Q_V in $\Gamma_{\ell_m^2}(X, F)$
- If $V = V^+ - V^-$ for some potentials $V^\pm \geq 0$ such that Q_{V^-} is $Q_{\Phi,0}$ -bounded with bound < 1 , then $Q_{\Phi,V} := Q_{\Phi,0} + Q_V$ is densely defined, symmetric, closed and bounded from below $\rightsquigarrow H_{\Phi,V} :=$ the corresponding (Schrödinger type!) operator

Question

Precise version of our initial question: **Is there a probabilistic representation of the Schrödinger SG**

$$(e^{-tH_{\Phi, \nu}})_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_{\ell_m^2}(X, F)) ?$$

Underlying processes I

i) Let H be the operator corresponding to the canonical regular Dirichlet form Q in $\ell^2(X, m)$ given by (X, b, m) , and let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbb{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}^x)_{x \in X})$ be the corresponding right Markoff process \rightsquigarrow it is in fact a jump process \rightsquigarrow let $\tau_n : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be its jump times, $N(t) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ its number of jumps until $t \geq 0$, and $\tau = \sup_n \tau_n$ its explosion time

ii) The (pathwise unitary!!!) process

$$\begin{aligned} //^\Phi : [0, \tau) \times \Omega &\longrightarrow F \boxtimes F^* := \bigcup_{(x,y) \in X \times X} \text{Hom}(F_y, F_x) \\ //^\Phi_t &:= \begin{cases} \mathbf{1}_{F_{X_0}}, & \text{if } N(t) = 0 \\ \prod_{1 \leq j \leq N(t)} \Phi_{X_{\tau_{j-1}}, X_{\tau_j}} & \text{else} \end{cases} \in \text{Hom}(F_{X_0}, F_{X_t}) \end{aligned}$$

is called the Φ -parallel transport along the paths of \mathbb{X} .

Underlying processes I

i) Let H be the operator corresponding to the canonical regular Dirichlet form Q in $\ell^2(X, m)$ given by (X, b, m) , and let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbb{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}^x)_{x \in X})$ be the corresponding right Markoff process \rightsquigarrow it is in fact a jump process \rightsquigarrow let $\tau_n : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be its jump times, $N(t) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ its number of jumps until $t \geq 0$, and $\tau = \sup_n \tau_n$ its explosion time

ii) The (pathwise unitary!!!) process

$$\begin{aligned} //^\Phi : [0, \tau) \times \Omega &\longrightarrow F \boxtimes F^* := \bigcup_{(x,y) \in X \times X} \text{Hom}(F_y, F_x) \\ //_t^\Phi &:= \begin{cases} \mathbf{1}_{F_{\mathbb{X}_0}}, & \text{if } N(t) = 0 \\ \prod_{1 \leq j \leq N(t)}^{\leftarrow} \Phi_{\mathbb{X}_{\tau_{j-1}}, \mathbb{X}_{\tau_j}} & \text{else} \end{cases} \in \text{Hom}(F_{\mathbb{X}_0}, F_{\mathbb{X}_t}) \end{aligned}$$

is called the Φ -parallel transport along the paths of \mathbb{X} .

Underlying processes II

iii) For any $\omega \in \Omega$ there is a unique solution

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\bullet}^{\Phi}(\omega) : [0, \tau(\omega)) \longrightarrow \text{End}(F_{\mathbb{X}_0(\omega)})$$

of the initial value problem

$$\mathcal{Y}_t^{\Phi}(\omega) = \mathbf{1}_{F_{\mathbb{X}_0(\omega)}} - \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s^{\Phi}(\omega) //_s^{\Phi, -1} V(\mathbb{X}_s) //_s^{\Phi} \Big|_{\omega} ds \quad \text{in} \quad \text{End}(F_{\mathbb{X}_0(\omega)}).$$

\rightsquigarrow we have canonically associated the process

$$\mathcal{Y}^{\Phi} : [0, \tau) \times \Omega \longrightarrow \text{End}(F)$$

with Φ and V .

Feynman-Kac formula

Note that for any $x \in X$, $t \geq 0$, one has

$//_t^\Phi(\omega) \in \text{Hom}(F_x, F_{\mathbb{X}_t(\omega)})$, $\mathcal{V}_t^\Phi(\omega) \in \text{End}(F_x)$ for \mathbb{P}^x -a.e. $\omega \in \{t < \tau\}$.

Main result:

Theorem (B.G., O. Milatovic, F. Truc)

Assume that V admits a decomposition $V = V^+ - V^-$ into potentials $V^\pm \geq 0$ such that $Q_{|V^-|}$ is Q -bounded with bound < 1 . Then Q_{V^-} is $Q_{\Phi,0}$ -bounded with bound < 1 , and for any $f \in \Gamma_{\ell_m^2}(X, F)$, $t \geq 0$, $x \in X$ one has

$$e^{-tH_{\Phi,V}} f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \mathcal{V}_t^\Phi //_t^{\Phi,-1} f(\mathbb{X}_t) \right]. \quad (2)$$

Generalizes main result of B.G/M. Keller/M. Schmidt away from scalar magnetic Schrödinger SG's on (X, b, m) (the latter however deals with larger class of V 's)

Feynman-Kac formula

Note that for any $x \in X$, $t \geq 0$, one has

$//_t^\Phi(\omega) \in \text{Hom}(F_x, F_{\mathbb{X}_t(\omega)})$, $\mathcal{V}_t^\Phi(\omega) \in \text{End}(F_x)$ for \mathbb{P}^x -a.e. $\omega \in \{t < \tau\}$.

Main result:

Theorem (B.G., O. Milatovic, F. Truc)

Assume that V admits a decomposition $V = V^+ - V^-$ into potentials $V^\pm \geq 0$ such that $Q_{|V^-|}$ is Q -bounded with bound < 1 . Then Q_{V^-} is $Q_{\Phi,0}$ -bounded with bound < 1 , and for any $f \in \Gamma_{\ell_m^2}(X, F)$, $t \geq 0$, $x \in X$ one has

$$e^{-tH_{\Phi,V}} f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \mathcal{V}_t^\Phi //_t^{\Phi,-1} f(\mathbb{X}_t) \right]. \quad (2)$$

Generalizes main result of B.G/M. Keller/M. Schmidt away from scalar magnetic Schrödinger SG's on (X, b, m) (the latter however deals with larger class of V 's)

Some applications of Feynman-Kac formula I

- For any $(x, y) \in X \times X$, $t > 0$, the *integral kernel*

$$e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}}(x, y) \in \text{End}(E_y, E_x)$$

of $e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}}$ is given by

$$e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}}(x, y) = \frac{1}{m(y)} \mathbb{E}^x \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbb{X}_t=y\}} \mathcal{Y}_t^{\Phi} //_{t^{\Phi, -1}} \right];$$

\rightsquigarrow formula for $\text{tr}(e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}}) \in [0, \infty]$

- *Semigroup domination*: If $w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $V \geq w$ (and s.t. $H \dot{+} w$ is well-defined), then $|e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}} f(x)|_x \leq e^{-t(H \dot{+} w)} |f|(x)$, in particular $\min(\sigma(H_{\Phi, V})) \geq \min(\sigma(H \dot{+} w))$

Some applications of Feynman-Kac formula I

- For any $(x, y) \in X \times X$, $t > 0$, the *integral kernel*

$$e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}}(x, y) \in \text{End}(E_y, E_x)$$

of $e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}}$ is given by

$$e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}}(x, y) = \frac{1}{m(y)} \mathbb{E}^x \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbb{X}_t=y\}} \mathcal{Y}_t^{\Phi} //_{t^{\Phi, -1}} \right];$$

\rightsquigarrow formula for $\text{tr}(e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}}) \in [0, \infty]$

- *Semigroup domination*: If $w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $V \geq w$ (and s.t. $H \dot{+} w$ is well-defined), then $|e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}} f(x)|_x \leq e^{-t(H \dot{+} w)} |f|(x)$, in particular $\min(\sigma(H_{\Phi, V})) \geq \min(\sigma(H \dot{+} w))$

- *Golden-Thompson inequality*: If $w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $V \geq w$ (and s.t. $H \dot{+} w$ is well-defined), then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_x e^{-tH}(x, x) e^{-tw(x)} m(x) &< \infty \\ \Rightarrow \operatorname{tr}(e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}}) &\leq \sum_x e^{-tH}(x, x) \operatorname{tr}_{F_x}(e^{-tV(x)}) m(x) \end{aligned}$$

The latter proof is rather technical: A naive approach only gives the (nevertheless illustrative) bound

$$\operatorname{tr}(e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}}) \leq \operatorname{rank}(F) \sum_x e^{-tH}(x, x) e^{-tw(x)} m(x).$$

- $\Gamma_{\ell_m^2}(X, F) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\ell_m^p}(X, F)$, $p \in [2, \infty]$, *smoothing properties* of $e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}}$, if V^- is Kato and $\sup_{x, y} e^{-tH}(x, y) < \infty$

- *Golden-Thompson inequality*: If $w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $V \geq w$ (and s.t. $H \dot{+} w$ is well-defined), then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_x e^{-tH}(x, x) e^{-tw(x)} m(x) &< \infty \\ \Rightarrow \operatorname{tr}(e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}}) &\leq \sum_x e^{-tH}(x, x) \operatorname{tr}_{F_x}(e^{-tV(x)}) m(x) \end{aligned}$$

The latter proof is rather technical: A naive approach only gives the (nevertheless illustrative) bound

$$\operatorname{tr}(e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}}) \leq \operatorname{rank}(F) \sum_x e^{-tH}(x, x) e^{-tw(x)} m(x).$$

- $\Gamma_{\ell_m^2}(X, F) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\ell_m^p}(X, F)$, $p \in [2, \infty]$, *smoothing properties* of $e^{-tH_{\Phi, V}}$, if V^- is Kato and $\sup_{x, y} e^{-tH}(x, y) < \infty$

Thank you!