Revisiting Preprocessing for Generalized Nash Games

Todd Munson

Mathematics and Computer Science Division Argonne National Laboratory

August 5, 2014



Outline

- Generalized Nash game formulation
- Parametric variational inequalities
- Preprocessing techniques

Problem Formulation

- ▶ Non-cooperative game played by *n* individuals
 - Each player selects a strategy to optimize their objective
 - Strategies for the other players are fixed
- Equilibrium reached when no improvement is possible

Problem Formulation

- Non-cooperative game played by n individuals
 - Each player selects a strategy to optimize their objective
 - Strategies for the other players are fixed
- Equilibrium reached when no improvement is possible
- Characterization of two player equilibrium (x^*, y^*)

$$x^* \in \begin{cases} \arg\min_{x \ge 0} & f_1(x, y^*) \\ \text{subject to} & c_1(x, y^*) \le 0 \\ \arg\min_{y \ge 0} & f_2(x^*, y) \\ \text{subject to} & c_2(x^*, y) \le 0 \end{cases}$$

Problem Formulation

- Non-cooperative game played by n individuals
 - Each player selects a strategy to optimize their objective
 - Strategies for the other players are fixed
- Equilibrium reached when no improvement is possible
- Characterization of two player equilibrium (x^*, y^*)

$$\begin{aligned} x^* \in \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \arg\min_{x \geq 0} & f_1(x, y^*) \\ \text{subject to} & c_1(x, y^*) \leq 0 \\ \arg\min_{y \geq 0} & f_2(x^*, y) \\ \text{subject to} & c_2(x^*, y) \leq 0 \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

Many applications

Complementarity Formulation

Assume each optimization problem is convex

- $f_1(\cdot, y)$ and $c_1(\cdot, y)$ are convex for each y
- $f_2(x, \cdot)$ and $c_2(x, \cdot)$ are convex for each x
- $c_1(\cdot, y)$ and $c_2(x, \cdot)$ satisfy constraint qualification

Then the first-order conditions are necessary and sufficient

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min_{x \ge 0} & f_1(x, y^*) \\ \text{subject to} & c_1(x, y^*) \le 0 \end{array} & \stackrel{0 \le x}{\leftrightarrow} & \frac{\bot}{0 \le \lambda_1} & \nabla_x f_1(x, y^*) + \lambda_1^T \nabla_x c_1(x, y^*) \ge 0 \\ & 0 \le \lambda_1 & \bot & -c_1(x, y^*) \ge 0 \end{array}$

Complementarity Formulation

Assume each optimization problem is convex

- $f_1(\cdot, y)$ and $c_1(\cdot, y)$ are convex for each y
- $f_2(x, \cdot)$ and $c_2(x, \cdot)$ are convex for each x
- $c_1(\cdot, y)$ and $c_2(x, \cdot)$ satisfy constraint qualification

Then the first-order conditions are necessary and sufficient

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min_{y \ge 0} & f_2(x^*, y) \\ \text{subject to} & c_2(x^*, y) \le 0 \end{array} & \Leftrightarrow & \begin{array}{ll} 0 \le y & \bot & \nabla_y f_2(x^*, y) + \lambda_2^T \nabla_y c_2(x^*, y) \ge 0 \\ & 0 \le \lambda_2 & \bot & -c_2(x^*, y) \ge 0 \end{array}$

Complementarity Formulation

Assume each optimization problem is convex

- $f_1(\cdot, y)$ and $c_1(\cdot, y)$ are convex for each y
- $f_2(x, \cdot)$ and $c_2(x, \cdot)$ are convex for each x
- $c_1(\cdot, y)$ and $c_2(x, \cdot)$ satisfy constraint qualification

> Then the first-order conditions are necessary and sufficient

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 \leq x & \perp & \nabla_x f_1(x,y) + \lambda_1^T \nabla_x c_1(x,y) \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq y & \perp & \nabla_y f_2(x,y) + \lambda_2^T \nabla_y c_2(x,y) \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq \lambda_1 & \perp & -c_1(x,y) \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq \lambda_2 & \perp & -c_2(x,y) \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Nonlinear complementarity problem

- Each solution is an equilibrium for the Nash game
- Other (better) formulations can be constructed
- Recommend using the MOPEC machinery

A Simple Example

First player

$$\min_{\substack{x \ge 0 \\ \text{subject to}}} \frac{1}{2}x^2 - x$$

Second player

$$\min_{y\geq 0} \quad \frac{1}{2}y^2 - xy$$

A Simple Example

First player

 $\min_{\substack{x \ge 0 \\ \text{subject to}}} \quad \frac{1}{2}x^2 - x \\ x + y \ge 0$

Second player

$$\min_{y\geq 0} \quad \frac{1}{2}y^2 - xy$$

Complementarity problem

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 \leq x & \perp & x - \lambda - 1 \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq y & \perp & -x + y \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq \lambda & \perp & x + y \geq 0 \end{array}$$

A Simple Example

First player

 $\min_{\substack{x \ge 0 \\ \text{subject to}}} \quad \frac{1}{2}x^2 - x \\ x + y \ge 0$

Second player

$$\min_{y\geq 0} \quad \frac{1}{2}y^2 - xy$$

Complementarity problem

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 \leq x & \perp & x - \lambda - 1 \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq y & \perp & -x + y \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq \lambda & \perp & x + y \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- Notice that the first player constraint is redundant
 - What structure do we need to identify to eliminate?
 - What other operations can be done with this structure?

Definition

- Let $F: \Re^n \to \Re^n$ be continuously differentiable
- ▶ Let $X(p) \subseteq \Re^n$ be a closed convex set for each p
- ▶ Given $p \in \Re^n$, find find $x \in X(p)$ such that

$$\langle F(x), y - x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \ y \in X(p)$$

Definition

- Let $F : \Re^n \to \Re^n$ be continuously differentiable
- Let $X(p) \subseteq \Re^n$ be a closed convex set for each p
- ▶ Given $p \in \Re^n$, find find $x \in X(p)$ such that

$$\langle F(x), y - x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \ y \in X(p)$$

Equivalent formulation is the generalized equation

$$0 \in F(x) + N_{X(p)}(x)$$

where the normal cone to X(p) at $x \in X(p)$ is

$$N_{X(p)}(x) := \{ \overline{x} \mid \langle \overline{x}, y - x \rangle \leq 0 \ \forall \ y \in X(p) \}$$



Definition

- Let $F : \Re^n \to \Re^n$ be continuously differentiable
- Let $X(p) \subseteq \Re^n$ be a closed convex set for each p
- Given $p \in \Re^n$, find find $x \in X(p)$ such that

$$\langle F(x), y - x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \ y \in X(p)$$

Equivalent formulation is the generalized equation

$$0 \in F(x) + N_{X(p)}(x)$$

where the normal cone to X(p) at $x \in X(p)$ is

$$N_{X(p)}(x) := \{ \overline{x} \mid \langle \overline{x}, y - x \rangle \leq 0 \ \forall \ y \in X(p) \}$$

Special cases include

- Nonlinear equations when $X = \Re^n$
- ▶ Nonlinear complementarity when X = ℜⁿ₊
- Mixed complementarity when $X = [I, u]^n$

Simplified Theory (based on Robinson)

▶ Let $F : \Re^n \to \Re^n$, $A \in \Re^{m \times n}$, $B \in \Re^{m \times n}$, and $b \in \Re^m$.

Simplified Theory (based on Robinson)

Let F: ℜⁿ → ℜⁿ, A ∈ ℜ^{m×n}, B ∈ ℜ^{m×n}, and b ∈ ℜ^m.
If x and λ solve

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 \leq x & \perp & F(x) - A^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda \geq 0 \\ \lambda & & Ax + Bp + b = 0 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

then

$$0 \in F(x) + N_{X(p)}(x) \tag{2}$$

where $X = \{x \mid x \ge 0 \text{ and } Ax + Bp + b = 0\}.$

Simplified Theory (based on Robinson)

Let F: ℜⁿ → ℜⁿ, A ∈ ℜ^{m×n}, B ∈ ℜ^{m×n}, and b ∈ ℜ^m.
If x and λ solve

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 \leq x & \perp & F(x) - A^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda \geq 0 \\ \lambda & & Ax + Bp + b = 0 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

then

$$0 \in F(x) + N_{X(p)}(x) \tag{2}$$

where $X = \{x \mid x \ge 0 \text{ and } Ax + Bp + b = 0\}.$

• If x solves (2), then multipliers λ exist such that x and λ solve (1).

Simplified Theory (based on Robinson)

Let F: ℜⁿ → ℜⁿ, A ∈ ℜ^{m×n}, B ∈ ℜ^{m×n}, and b ∈ ℜ^m.
If x and λ solve

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 \leq x & \perp & F(x) - A^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda \geq 0 \\ \lambda & & Ax + Bp + b = 0 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

then

$$0 \in F(x) + N_{X(p)}(x) \tag{2}$$

where $X = \{x \mid x \ge 0 \text{ and } Ax + Bp + b = 0\}.$

• If x solves (2), then multipliers λ exist such that x and λ solve (1).

Note: X(p) and $N_{X(p)}(\cdot)$ are geometric objects and we are free to choose among equivalent algebraic representations.



Basic Methodology

Given an arbitrary complementarity problem

Basic Methodology

- Given an arbitrary complementarity problem
- Discover parametric structure within the problem

Basic Methodology

- Given an arbitrary complementarity problem
- Discover parametric structure within the problem
- Convert the problem into parametric variational inequality
- Choose representation of the polyhedral constraint set
 - Reduce model size and complexity
 - Improve algorithm performance
 - Detect unsolvable models

Basic Methodology

- Given an arbitrary complementarity problem
- Discover parametric structure within the problem
- Convert the problem into parametric variational inequality
- Choose representation of the polyhedral constraint set
 - Reduce model size and complexity
 - Improve algorithm performance
 - Detect unsolvable models
- Recover reduced complementarity problem

Discovering Structure

The structure we need to identify is

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 \leq x & \perp & F(x,y) - A^{T}\lambda \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq y & \perp & G(x,y) \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq \lambda & \perp & Ax + By + b \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- Provided with a list of linear rows and columns for the problem
- ▶ For each linear column we perform the following
 - Check that the row is linear
 - Reject rows having a diagonal entry
 - Ensure elements in common have opposite signs

Discovering Structure

The structure we need to identify is

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 \leq x & \perp & F(x,y) - A^{\mathsf{T}}\lambda \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq y & \perp & G(x,y) \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq \lambda & \perp & Ax + By + b \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Provided with a list of linear rows and columns for the problem

For each linear column we perform the following

- Check that the row is linear
- Reject rows having a diagonal entry
- Ensure elements in common have opposite signs

Can negate rows corresponding to equalities

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 \leq x & \perp & x - \lambda + 1 \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq y & \perp & x + y + 4 \geq 0 \\ \lambda & & -2x - 4y + 6 = 0 \end{array}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 \leq x & \bot & x - \lambda + 1 \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq y & \bot & x + y + 4 \geq 0 \\ \lambda & & x + 2y - 3 = 0 \end{array}$$

Assembling Sets

- Given eligible rows and columns
- Reject those requiring scaling or sign changes (can be relaxed)
- Use a greedy heuristic to assemble maximal polyhedral set
 - Choose a remaining column
 - Add next column sharing some nonzero entries if possible
 - Continue adding columns until no more can be added
 - Repeat to identify multiple polyhedral sets

Assembling Sets

- Given eligible rows and columns
- Reject those requiring scaling or sign changes (can be relaxed)
- Use a greedy heuristic to assemble maximal polyhedral set
 - Choose a remaining column
 - Add next column sharing some nonzero entries if possible
 - Continue adding columns until no more can be added
 - Repeat to identify multiple polyhedral sets
- Structure can be conveyed to capable solvers
 - A primal/dual structure recovered for optimization problems
 - Parametric polyhedral variational inequalities for other problems

Possible Reductions

- Reductions on a single constraint include
 - Singleton rows
 - Doubleton rows with a column singleton
 - Forcing conditions
- Reductions using polyhedral sets include
 - Duplicate rows
 - Implied variable bounds

Singleton Reduction

1. Complementarity problem

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 \leq x & \perp & x - z - 1 \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq y & \perp & -x + y \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq z & \perp & x + y \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Singleton Reduction

1. Complementarity problem

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 \leq x & \perp & x-z-1 \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq y & \perp & -x+y \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq z & \perp & x+y \geq 0 \end{array}$$

2. Form equivalent polyhedral problem

$$0 \in x - 1 + N_{X(y)}(x)$$

$$0 \in -x + y + N_{\Re_+}(y)$$

where $X = \{x \mid x \ge 0 \text{ and } x + y \ge 0\}$ with $y \ge 0$

Singleton Reduction

1. Complementarity problem

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 \leq x & \perp & x-z-1 \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq y & \perp & -x+y \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq z & \perp & x+y \geq 0 \end{array}$$

2. Form equivalent polyhedral problem

$$0 \in x - 1 + N_{X(y)}(x)$$

$$0 \in -x + y + N_{\Re_+}(y)$$

where $X = \{x \mid x \ge 0 \text{ and } x + y \ge 0\}$ with $y \ge 0$

- 3. Remove redundant inequality
- 4. Recover reduced complementarity problem

$$egin{array}{rcl} 0 \leq x & ot & x-1 \geq 0 \ 0 \leq y & ot & -x+y \geq 0 \end{array}$$

with the solution x = 1 and y = 1

Singleton Reduction

1. Complementarity problem

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 \leq x & \perp & x-z-1 \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq y & \perp & -x+y \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq z & \perp & x+y \geq 0 \end{array}$$

2. Form equivalent polyhedral problem

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \in x - 1 + \mathit{N}_{X(y)}(x) \\ 0 \in -x + y + \mathit{N}_{\Re_+}(y) \end{array}$$

where $X = \{x \mid x \ge 0 \text{ and } x + y \ge 0\}$ with $y \ge 0$

- 3. Remove redundant inequality
- 4. Recover reduced complementarity problem

$$egin{array}{rcl} 0 \leq x & \perp & x-1 \geq 0 \ 0 \leq y & \perp & -x+y \geq 0 \end{array}$$

with the solution x = 1 and y = 1

5. Compute multiplier z = 0

Forcing Condition

1. Complementarity problem

$$\begin{array}{rrrrr} 0 \leq x \leq 1 & \perp & x-z+2 \\ 0 \leq y \leq 1 & \perp & -2x+y \\ 0 \leq z & \perp & x+y \geq 2 \end{array}$$

Forcing Condition

- 1. Complementarity problem
 - $\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 \leq x \leq 1 & \perp & x-z+2 \\ 0 \leq y \leq 1 & \perp & -2x+y \\ 0 \leq z & \perp & x+y \geq 2 \end{array}$
- 2. Form equivalent polyhedral problem

$$0 \in x + 2 + N_{X(y)}(x)$$

 $0 \in -2x + y + N_Y(y)$

where $X = \{x \mid 0 \le x \le 1 \text{ and } x + y \ge 2\}$ with $0 \le y \le 1$

Forcing Condition

- 1. Complementarity problem
 - $\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 \leq x \leq 1 & \perp & x-z+2 \\ 0 \leq y \leq 1 & \perp & -2x+y \\ 0 \leq z & \perp & x+y \geq 2 \end{array}$
- 2. Form equivalent polyhedral problem

$$0 \in x + 2 + N_{X(y)}(x)$$

$$0 \in -2x + y + N_Y(y)$$

where $X = \{x \mid 0 \le x \le 1 \text{ and } x + y \ge 2\}$ with $0 \le y \le 1$

- 3. Eliminate x = 1 but need to leave remaining side constraint
- 4. Recover reduced complementarity problem

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \leq y \leq 1 & \perp & y-2 \\ 0 \leq z & \perp & y \geq 1 \end{array}$$

which has solution y = 1, $z \ge 0$

Forcing Condition

- 1. Complementarity problem
 - $\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 \leq x \leq 1 & \perp & x-z+2 \\ 0 \leq y \leq 1 & \perp & -2x+y \\ 0 \leq z & \perp & x+y \geq 2 \end{array}$
- 2. Form equivalent polyhedral problem

$$0 \in x + 2 + N_{X(y)}(x)$$

$$0 \in -2x + y + N_Y(y)$$

where $X = \{x \mid 0 \le x \le 1 \text{ and } x + y \ge 2\}$ with $0 \le y \le 1$

- 3. Eliminate x = 1 but need to leave remaining side constraint
- 4. Recover reduced complementarity problem

$$egin{array}{rcl} 0 \leq y \leq 1 & \perp & y-2 \ 0 \leq z & \perp & y \geq 1 \end{array}$$

which has solution y = 1, $z \ge 0$

5. Recover multiplier $z \ge 3$

Block Structure

х	x x	0	0	0	0	0
х	х	0	0	0	0	0
Х	0	х	х	х	0	0
х	0	х	х	х	0	0
х	0 0 0	х	х	х	0	0
0	0	0	0	0 ×	х	х
х	0	x	х	х	x	х

Block Structure

х	x x	0	0	0	0	0
х	х	0	0	0	0	0
x x x	0	х	Х	х	0	0
х	0	х	х	х	0	0
х	0	х	х	X X X	0	0
0	0	0	0	0 x	х	х
х	0	x	х	х	x	х

- Focus on small block sizes (at most 3×3)
 - Start from a single row
 - Add constraints for variables
 - Stop when no constraints to add or block too big
 - Equations removed via Schur complement when possible

Block Structure

х	x x	0	0	0	0	0
х	х	0	0	0	0	0
x x x	0	х	Х	х	0	0
х	0	x	х	х	0	0
х	0	х	х	× × ×	0	0
0	0	0	0	0 ×	х	х
х	0	x	х	х	x	х

- Focus on small block sizes (at most 3 × 3)
 - Start from a single row
 - Add constraints for variables
 - Stop when no constraints to add or block too big
 - Equations removed via Schur complement when possible
- Apply reductions
 - Preblocks use uniqueness arguments
 - Postblocks use existence arguments

Block Structure

х	x x	0	0	0	0	0
х	х	0	0	0	0	0
x x x	0	х	Х	х	0	0
х	0	x	х	х	0	0
х	0	х	х	× × ×	0	0
0	0	0	0	0 ×	х	х
х	0	x	х	х	x	х

- Focus on small block sizes (at most 3 × 3)
 - Start from a single row
 - Add constraints for variables
 - Stop when no constraints to add or block too big
 - Equations removed via Schur complement when possible
- Apply reductions
 - Preblocks use uniqueness arguments
 - Postblocks use existence arguments
- Matrix classes form the foundation for these methods

Embedded Blocks and Forcing Conditions

Determine subproblems with unique solution

- Find a small index set α such that $M_{\alpha,\alpha}$ is strictly semimonotone
- Determine possible right-hand sides Q_{lpha}
- If $Q_{\alpha} \geq 0$, then fix $x_{\alpha} = 0$ and eliminate

Embedded Blocks and Forcing Conditions

Determine subproblems with unique solution

- Find a small index set α such that $M_{\alpha,\alpha}$ is strictly semimonotone
- Determine possible right-hand sides Q_{lpha}
- If $Q_{\alpha} \geq 0$, then fix $x_{\alpha} = 0$ and eliminate
- All strictly semimonotone 2 × 2 matrices
 - Positive diagonal for singletons
 - Positive diagonals with one positive off diagonal for doubletons
 - Positive diagonals with positive determinant for doubletons
- Identification based the Jacobian structure

Embedded Blocks and Forcing Conditions

Determine subproblems with unique solution

- Find a small index set α such that $M_{\alpha,\alpha}$ is strictly semimonotone
- Determine possible right-hand sides Q_{lpha}
- If $Q_{\alpha} \geq 0$, then fix $x_{\alpha} = 0$ and eliminate
- All strictly semimonotone 2 × 2 matrices
 - Positive diagonal for singletons
 - Positive diagonals with one positive off diagonal for doubletons
 - Positive diagonals with positive determinant for doubletons
- Identification based the Jacobian structure
- Currently implemented only for singleton subproblems

Summary and Status

- Parametric variational inequality structure is found in applications
- Uncovering the structure means that it can be exploited
- Modifications to standard preprocessor required
 - Start from columns rather than rows
 - Identify possible parametric reductions
 - Not yet complete but not too difficult!
- Block reductions are implemented for small blocks