

Minimal metrics and the Abundance conjecture

Abundance conjecture ($\Delta = \mathbb{Q}$ -divisor)

(X, Δ) lct projective pair,
assume $K_X + \Delta$ is nef

\Downarrow

$K_X + \Delta$ is semiample

(i.e. $m(K_X + \Delta)$ is basepoint free)

known:

- 3-folds: Miyaoka, Kawamata, Keel-Matsumi-Matsuda (1980s-1990s)
- $K_X + \Delta \equiv 0$: Nakayama (2001)
- $\exists (X, \Delta)$ satisfies Miyaoka's

inequality = Iwasaki, Matsuda, Müller (2024)

Higher dimensions (≥ 4)

[L-Peternell, Gongyo-Matsumura]

(X, Δ) minimal lct pair

assume:

- $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$

- $\exists \pi: Y \rightarrow X$ resolution:
 $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \Delta)$ is basepoint free semiample

(\exists smooth metric h
on $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \Delta)$ s.t.

$$O_h(K_X + \Delta) \geq 0$$

$\Rightarrow K_X + \Delta$ is semiample.

Slogan: semiample \Rightarrow semiample
if $\pi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$

Q: Where does the condition
 $\pi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$ come
from?

A: maybe at the end of the
talk.

More generally: we don't need
to assume semiample,
we need only "generalized
algebraic singularities"

we need to work with
singular metrics

Setup: $X =$ compact complex
manifold

quasi-psh function: these
are locally sums of smooth
and plsh functions

Comparison of singularities

$\varphi_1 \preceq \varphi_2 \Leftrightarrow \exists C$ constant:
 $\varphi_2 \leq \varphi_1 + C$
↑
"less singular"

no equivalence relation
on quasi-psh functions:

$$\varphi_1 \approx \varphi_2 \Leftrightarrow \varphi_1 \lesssim \varphi_2 \lesssim \varphi_1$$

let $\alpha =$ ^{real} smooth $(1,1)$ -form
on X

$$PSH(X, \alpha) = \left\{ \varphi \text{ quasi-psh} \mid \right.$$

$$\left. \alpha + dd^c \varphi \geq 0 \right\}$$

$$[dd^c := \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial}]$$

$$PSH(X, \alpha) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \alpha \in H_{1,1}^{\text{loc}}(X, \mathbb{R}) \mid \right.$$

is pseudoeffective

THE POINT: $\exists \varphi_{\min} \in PSH(X, \alpha)$
which is minimal w.r.t singularities

proof:

$$V_\alpha := \{ \varphi \in PSH(X, \alpha) \mid \varphi \leq 0 \}$$

$$\varphi_{\min} := \sup_{\varphi \in V_\alpha} \varphi$$

we can show: $\varphi_{\min} \in V_\alpha$

clearly: φ_{\min} is having
minimal singularities. \square

this is an L^∞ -condition

Remark: If $\varphi_1 \approx \varphi_2 \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow I(\varphi_1) = I(\varphi_2)$

($\mathcal{I}(\varphi) =$ sheaf of germs
of locally L^2 -integrable
functions on X w.r.t φ :

$$\forall x \exists U \ni x: \int_U |f|^2 e^{-2\varphi} dV_U < \infty$$

in other words:

$$| \cdot |_h := | \cdot | e^{2\varphi}$$

Notation: X compact complex
manifold

$T =$ closed positive $(1,1)$ -current
on X

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{I}(T)_{\min} =$ multiplier ideal
of any current
with mixed
 \downarrow by defn: $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)$ nips in the

Theorem A: Assume MMP
in dim $\leq n-1$.

Let (X, Δ) be a minimal dlt
projective pair of dimension n .

Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a log
resolution of (X, Δ) . Write

$$K_Y + \Delta_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi^*(K_X + \Delta) + E$$

($\Delta_{Y_i} \in$ leave no common
components)

Let A ample on Y . **definition**

Assume that $\exists D \geq 0$ on
 Y and a sequence of positive
integers $\{m_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ s.t.

$$m_x \xrightarrow{l \rightarrow \infty} \infty \text{ and}$$

$$\left\{ J(l(K_y + \Delta_y + \frac{1}{m_x} A)) \right\}_{\min}$$

\cap

$$\left\{ J(l(K_y + \Delta_y)) \right\}_{\min} \otimes O_x(D).$$

Then: if $\chi(X, O_x) \neq 0$ or
if $\kappa(X, K_x + D) \geq 0$,
then $K_x + D$ is semiample

Remark 1: we always have

$$\left\{ J(l(K_y + \Delta_y)) \right\}_{\min} \subseteq \left\{ J(l(K_y + \Delta_y + \frac{1}{m_x} A)) \right\}_{\min}$$

so the assumption in the theorem means that these

multiplier ideals are asymptotically the same.

Remark 2: $(*)$ follows from the Abundance conj.

The point: the Abundance conjecture for $\chi(X, O_x + D)$ is equivalent to $(*)$

Remark 3: $(*)$ is an example of asymptotic equidimensional approximations.

S: to prove Abundance
when $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$,
we need to show \heartsuit

Supercanonical currents

these are minimal currents,
but they are not defined
by the L^∞ -condition, but by
an "exponential L^2 -condition"

(Narasimha-Siu \heartsuit ,
Trey \heartsuit)

Berman-Demailly 2012:
version of supercanonical
currents)

Definition/Lemma:

X compact complex manifold
 α smooth $(1,1)$ form st.
 $\int_X \alpha \in H_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is
pseudoeffective.

let $\mathcal{L}_\alpha := \{ \varphi \in PSH(X, \alpha) \mid$
 $\int_X e^{2\varphi} dV_\alpha \leq 1 \}$

let $\varphi_{\alpha, \text{can}} := \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha} \varphi$.

this is well-defined because
all $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ are uniformly
bounded (for other)

... we can show that
 $\varphi_{\alpha, \text{can}}$ is really in
 $\text{PSTH}(X, \alpha)$

and it is minimal

Theorem B: Let (X, α) be a
projective left pair, K_X is pseudo
effective. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$
& a log resolution, write
 $K_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \sim \pi^*(K_X \otimes \alpha) + E$
Let A a ample divisor on Y .
Let $\alpha \in \{K_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y\}$, $\omega \in \{A\}$
smooth $(0, 1)$ forms.

Then:

$$(a) \varphi_{\alpha, \text{can}} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{\alpha + \epsilon \omega, \text{can}}$$

(b) each $\varphi_{\alpha + \epsilon \omega, \text{can}}$ ($\epsilon > 0$)
depends only on the
global sections of
multiples of $K_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y + \epsilon A$.

+ some other properties
which depend on the
DMP,

The most important statement
all $\varphi_{\alpha + \epsilon \omega, \text{can}}$ ($0 < \epsilon < 1$)
are continuous away from the
non-ref locus of $K_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$!

Quick answer to:

Why $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$?

$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0 \Rightarrow K(X, K_X) \neq -\infty$

\Leftrightarrow

$K(X, K_X) = -\infty \Rightarrow \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$

\int MMP

$\exists \pi: Y \rightarrow X$

$H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^p \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X))))$

$\forall p, \forall m$ suff. divisible $\cong 0$

Assume: $K_X + \Delta$ is semi-ample

$h \rightarrow f(h) = 0$

$H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^p \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + \Delta))))$

\downarrow DPS

$H^{n-p}(Y, K_Y + \pi^*(K_X + \Delta))$

\cong

0

\downarrow

$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$