

# $p$ -ADIC LATTICES ARE NOT KÄHLER GROUPS

B. KLINGLER

ABSTRACT. We show that any lattice in a simple  $p$ -adic Lie group is not the fundamental group of a compact Kähler manifold, as well as some variants of this result.

## 1. RESULTS

1.1. A group is said to be a Kähler group if it is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a connected compact Kähler manifold. In particular such a group is finitely presented. The most elementary necessary condition for a finitely presented group to be Kähler is that every of its finite index subgroups has even rank abelianization. A classical question, due to Serre and still largely open, is to characterize Kähler groups among finitely presented groups. A standard reference for Kähler groups is [ABCKT96].

1.2. In this note we consider the Kähler problem for lattices in simple groups over local fields. Recall that if  $G$  is a locally compact topological group, a subgroup  $\Gamma \subset G$  is called a *lattice* if it is a discrete subgroup of  $G$  with finite covolume (for any  $G$ -invariant measure on the locally compact group  $G$ ).

We work in the following setting. Let  $I$  be a finite set of indices. For each  $i \in I$  we fix a local field  $k_i$  and a simple algebraic group  $\mathbf{G}_i$  defined and isotropic over  $k_i$ . Let  $G = \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{G}_i(k_i)$ . The topology of the local fields  $k_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , make  $G$  a locally compact topological group. We define  $\mathrm{rk} G := \sum_{i \in I} \mathrm{rk}_{k_i} \mathbf{G}_i$ .

We consider  $\Gamma \subset G$  an *irreducible* lattice: there does not exist a disjoint decomposition  $I = I_1 \amalg I_2$  into two non-empty subsets such that, for  $j = 1, 2$ , the subgroup  $\Gamma_j := \Gamma \cap G_{I_j}$  of  $G_{I_j} := \prod_{i \in I_j} \mathbf{G}_i(k_i)$  is a lattice in  $G_{I_j}$ .

The reference for a detailed study of such lattices is [Mar91]. In Section 2 we recall a few results for the convenience of the reader.

1.3. Most of the lattices  $\Gamma$  as in Section 1.2 are finitely presented (see Section 2.3). The question whether or not  $\Gamma$  is Kähler has been studied by Simpson using his non-abelian Hodge theory when at least one of the  $k_i$ 's is archimedean. He shows that if  $\Gamma$  is Kähler then necessarily for any  $i \in I$  such that  $k_i$  is archimedean the group  $\mathbf{G}_i$  has to be of Hodge type (i.e. admits a Cartan involution which is an inner automorphism), see [Si92, Cor. 5.3 and 5.4]. For example  $\mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  is not a Kähler group as  $\mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  is not a group of Hodge type. In this note we prove:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $I$  be a finite set of indices and  $G$  be a group of the form  $\prod_{j \in I} \mathbf{G}_j(k_j)$ , where  $\mathbf{G}_j$  is a simple algebraic group defined and isotropic over a local field  $k_j$ . Let  $\Gamma \subset G$  be an irreducible lattice.*

*Suppose there exists an  $i \in I$  such that  $k_i$  is non-archimedean. If  $\mathrm{rk} G > 1$  and  $\mathrm{char}(k_i) = 0$ , or if  $\mathrm{rk} G = 1$  then  $\Gamma$  is not a Kähler group.*

Notice that the case  $\text{rk } G = 1$  is essentially folkloric (I include a proof for the convenience of the reader as I did not find a reference in this generality). On the other hand, to the best of our knowledge not a single case of [Theorem 1.1](#) in the case where  $\text{rk } G > 1$  and all the  $k_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , are non-archimedean fields of characteristic zero was previously known. The proof in this case is a corollary of Margulis' superrigidity theorem and the recent integrality result of Esnault and Groechenig [[EG17](#), Theor. 1.3].

1.4. Let us mention some examples of [Theorem 1.1](#):

- Let  $p$  be a prime number,  $I = \{1\}$ ,  $k_1 = \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{SL}(n)$ . A lattice in  $\mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is not a Kähler group. This is new for  $n \geq 3$ .

-  $I = \{1; 2\}$ ,  $k_1 = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbf{G}_1 = \mathbf{SU}(r, s)$  for some  $r \geq s > 0$ ,  $k_2 = \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbf{G}_2 = \mathbf{SL}(r + s)$ . Then any irreducible lattice in  $SU(r, s) \times \mathbf{SL}(r + s, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  is not Kähler. In [Section 2](#) we recall how to construct such lattices (they are  $S$ -arithmetic). The analogous result that any irreducible lattice in  $\mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  (for example  $\mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z}[1/p])$ ) is not a Kähler group already followed from Simpson's theorem.

1.5. I don't know anything about the case not covered by [Theorem 1.1](#): can a (finitely presented) irreducible lattice in  $G = \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{G}_i(k_i)$  with  $\text{rk } G > 1$  and all  $k_i$  of (necessarily the same, see [Theorem 2.1](#)) *positive characteristic*, be a Kähler group? This question already appeared in [[BKT13](#), Remark 0.2 (5)].

## 2. REMINDER ON LATTICES

2.1. Examples of pairs  $(G, \Gamma)$  as in [Section 1.2](#) are provided by *S-arithmetic groups*: let  $K$  be a global field (i.e a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbf{F}_q(t)$ ),  $S$  a non-empty set of places of  $K$ ,  $S_\infty$  the set of archimedean places of  $K$  (or the empty set if  $K$  has positive characteristic),  $\mathcal{O}^{S \cup S_\infty}$  the ring of elements of  $K$  which are integral at all places not belonging to  $S \cup S_\infty$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  an absolutely simple  $K$ -algebraic group, anisotropic at all archimedean places not belonging to  $S$ . A subgroup  $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{G}(K)$  is said *S-arithmetic* (or *S ∪ S<sub>∞</sub>-arithmetic*) if it is commensurable with  $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}^{S \cup S_\infty})$  (this last notation depends on the choice of an affine group scheme flat of finite type over  $\mathcal{O}^{S \cup S_\infty}$ , with generic fiber  $\mathbf{G}$ ; but the commensurability class of the group  $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}^{S \cup S_\infty})$  is independent of that choice).

If  $S$  is finite and  $\mathbf{G}(K_v)$  is compact for all  $v \in S_\infty - S$ , the image  $\Gamma$  in  $\prod_{v \in S} \mathbf{G}(K_v)$  of an  $S$ -arithmetic group  $\Lambda$  by the diagonal map is an irreducible lattice (see [[B63](#)] in the number field case and [[H69](#)] in the function field case). In the situation of [Section 1.2](#), a (necessarily irreducible) lattice  $\Gamma \subset G$  is said *S-arithmetic* if there exist  $K$ ,  $\mathbf{G}$ ,  $S$  as above, a bijection  $i : S \rightarrow I$ , isomorphisms  $K_v \rightarrow k_{i(v)}$  and, via these isomorphisms,  $k_i$ -isomorphisms  $\varphi_i : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_i$  such that  $\Gamma$  is commensurable with the image via  $\prod_{i \in I} \varphi_i$  of an  $S$ -arithmetic subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}(K)$ .

2.2. Margulis' and Venkataramana's arithmeticity theorem states that as soon as  $\text{rk } G$  is at least 2 then every irreducible lattice in  $G$  is of this type:

**Theorem 2.1** (Margulis, Venkataramana). *In the situation of [Section 1.2](#), suppose that  $\Gamma \subset G$  is an irreducible lattice and that  $\text{rk } G \geq 2$ . Suppose moreover for simplicity that  $\mathbf{G}_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , is absolutely simple. Then:*

- (a)  $\text{char}(k_i) = \text{char}(k_j)$  for all  $(i, j) \in I$ .
- (b)  $\Gamma$  is  $S$ -arithmetic.

*Remark 2.2.* Margulis [Mar84] proved [Theorem 2.1](#) when  $\text{char}(k_i) = 0$  for all  $i \in I$ . Venkatarama [V88] had to overcome many technical difficulties in positive characteristics to extend Margulis' strategy to the general case.

On the other hand, if  $\text{rk } G = 1$  (hence  $I = \{1\}$ ) and  $k := k_1$  is non-archimedean, there exists non-arithmetic lattices in  $G$ , see [L91, Theor.A].

2.3. With the notations of [Section 2.1](#), an  $S$ -arithmetic lattice  $\Gamma$  is always finitely presented except if  $K$  is a function field and  $\text{rk}_K \mathbf{G} = \text{rk } G = |S| = 1$  (in which case  $\Gamma$  is not even finitely generated) or  $\text{rk}_K \mathbf{G} > 0$  and  $\text{rk } G = 2$  (in which case  $\Gamma$  is finitely generated but not finitely presented). In the number field case see the result of Raghunathan [R68] in the classical arithmetic case and of Borel-Serre [BS76] in the general  $S$ -arithmetic case; in the function field case see the work of Behr, e.g. [Behr98]. For example the lattice  $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q[t])$  of  $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q((t)))$  is not finitely generated, while the lattice  $\mathbf{SL}_3(\mathbb{F}_q[t])$  of  $\mathbf{SL}_3(\mathbb{F}_q((t)))$  is finitely generated but not finitely presented.

### 3. PROOF OF [THEOREM 1.1](#)

3.1. **The rank 1 case.** Let us deal first with the easy case where  $\text{rk } G = 1$  (hence  $I = \{1\}$  and we write  $k := k_1$ ).

If  $\Gamma$  is not cocompact in  $G$  (this is possible only if  $k$  has positive characteristic) then  $\Gamma$  is not finitely generated by [L91, Cor. 7.3], hence not Kähler.

Hence we can assume that  $\Gamma$  is cocompact. In that case it follows from [L91, Theor. 6.1 and Theor. 7.1] that  $\Gamma$  admits a finite index subgroup  $\Gamma'$  which is a (non-trivial) free group.

But a non-trivial free group is never Kähler, as it always admits a finite index subgroup with odd Betti number (see [ABCKT96, Example 1.19 p.7]). Hence  $\Gamma'$  is not Kähler.

As any finite index subgroup of a Kähler group is Kähler (because the class of connected compact Kähler manifolds is stable under taking a connected finite étale cover), it follows that  $\Gamma$  is not a Kähler group.

3.2. **The higher rank case.** In this case the main tools for proving [Theorem 1.1](#) are the recent result [EG17, Theor. 1.3] of Esnault and Groechenig and Margulis' super-rigidity theorem.

3.2.1. Recall that a linear representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(n, k)$  of a group  $\Gamma$  over a field  $k$  is cohomologically rigid if  $H^1(\Gamma, \text{Ad } \rho) = 0$ . A representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  is said to be integral if it factorizes through  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(n, K)$ ,  $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a number field, and moreover stabilizes an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -lattice in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (equivalently: for any embedding  $v : K \hookrightarrow k$  of  $K$  in a non-archimedean local field  $k$  the composite representation  $\rho_v : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(n, K) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{GL}(n, k)$  has bounded image in  $\mathbf{GL}(n, k)$ ). A group will be said *complex projective* if is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a connected smooth complex projective variety. This is a special case of a Kähler group (the question whether or not any Kähler group is complex projective is open).

In [EG17, Theor. 1.3] Esnault and Groechenig prove that if  $\Gamma$  is a complex projective group then any irreducible cohomologically rigid representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  is integral. This was conjectured by Simpson.

3.2.2. A corollary of [EG17, Theor. 1.3] is the following:

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a complex projective group. Let  $k$  be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero and let  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(n, k)$  be an absolutely irreducible cohomologically rigid representation. Then  $\rho$  has bounded image in  $\mathbf{GL}(n, k)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{k}$  be an algebraic closure of  $k$ . As  $\rho$  is absolutely irreducible and cohomologically rigid there exists  $g \in \mathbf{GL}(n, \bar{k})$  and a number field  $K \subset \bar{k}$  such that the representation  $\rho^g := g \cdot \rho \cdot g^{-1} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(n, \bar{k})$  takes value in  $\mathbf{GL}(n, K)$ .

Let  $k'$  be the finite extension of  $k$  generated by  $k, K$ , and the matrix coefficients of  $g$  and  $g^{-1}$ . This is still a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero, and both  $\rho$  and  $\rho^g$  takes value in  $\mathbf{GL}(n, k')$ . As  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(n, k) \subset \mathbf{GL}(n, k')$  has bounded image in  $\mathbf{GL}(n, k)$  if and only if  $\rho^g : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(n, k')$  has bounded image in  $\mathbf{GL}(n, k')$ , we can assume, replacing  $\rho$  by  $\rho^g$  and  $k$  by  $k'$  if necessary, that  $\rho$  takes value in  $\mathbf{GL}(n, K)$  with  $K \subset k$  a number field.

Let  $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an infinite place of  $K$  and consider  $\rho^\sigma : \Gamma \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbf{GL}(n, K) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  the associated representation. As  $\rho$  is absolutely irreducible, the representation  $\rho^\sigma$  is irreducible. As

$$H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad} \circ \rho^\sigma) = H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad} \circ \rho) \otimes_{K, \sigma} \mathbb{C} = 0$$

the representation  $\rho^\sigma$  is cohomologically rigid.

It follows from [EG17, Theor. 1.3] that  $\rho^\sigma$  is integral. In particular, considering the embedding  $K \subset k$ , it follows that the representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(n, k)$  has bounded image in  $\mathbf{GL}(n, k)$ .  $\square$

3.2.3. Notice that we can upgrade [Corollary 3.1](#) to the Kähler world if we restrict ourselves to faithful representations:

**Corollary 3.2.** *The conclusion of [Corollary 3.1](#) also holds for  $\Gamma$  a Kähler group and  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(n, k)$  a faithful representation.*

*Proof.* As the representation  $\rho$  is faithful, the group  $\Gamma$  is a linear group in characteristic zero. It then follows from [CCE14] and [C17] that the Kähler group  $\Gamma$  is a complex projective group. The result now follows from [Corollary 3.1](#).  $\square$

3.2.4. Let us now apply [Corollary 3.1](#) to the case of [Theorem 1.1](#) where  $\mathrm{rk} G > 1$ . Renaming the indices of  $I$  if necessary, we will assume that  $I = \{1, \dots, r\}$  and  $k_1$  is non-archimedean of characteristic zero. Let us choose an absolutely irreducible  $k_1$ -representation  $\rho_{\mathbf{G}_1} : \mathbf{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$ . Let

$$\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{p_1} \mathbf{G}_1(k_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$$

be the representation of  $\Gamma$  deduced from  $\rho_{\mathbf{G}_1}$  (where  $p_1 : G \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_1(k_1)$  denotes the projection of  $G$  onto its first factor). As  $p_1(\Gamma)$  is Zariski-dense in  $\mathbf{G}_1$  it follows that  $\rho$  is absolutely irreducible.

As  $\mathrm{rk} G > 1$ , Margulis' superrigidity theorem applies to the lattice  $\Gamma$  of  $G$ : it implies in particular that  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad} \circ \rho) = 0$  (see [Mar91, Theor. (3)(iii) p.3]). Hence the representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$  is cohomologically rigid.

Suppose by contradiction that  $\Gamma$  is a Kähler group. By [Theorem 2.1\(a\)](#) and the assumption that  $k_1$  has characteristic zero it follows that  $\Gamma$  is linear in characteristic

zero. As in the proof of [Corollary 3.2](#) we deduce that  $\Gamma$  is a complex projective group. It then follows from [Corollary 3.1](#) that  $\rho$  has bounded image in  $\mathbf{GL}(V)$ , hence that  $p_1(\Gamma)$  is relatively compact in  $\mathbf{G}(k_1)$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\Gamma$  is a lattice in  $G = \mathbf{G}(k_1) \times \prod_{j \in I \setminus \{1\}} \mathbf{G}(k_j)$ . □

#### REFERENCES

- [ABCKT96] J. Amoros, M. Burger, K. Corlette, D. Kotschick, D. Toledo, *Fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs vol. **44**, (1996)
- [Behr98] H. Behr, *Arithmetic groups over function fields*, J. reine and angew. Math. **495** (1998) 79-118
- [B63] A. Borel, *Some finiteness properties of adèles groups over number fields*, Publ. Math. IHES **16** (1963), 101-126
- [BS76] A. Borel, J.P. Serre, *Cohomologie d'immeubles et de groupes  $S$ -arithmétiques*, Topology **15** (1976), 211-232
- [BKT13] Y. Brunebarbe, B. Klingler, B. Totaro, *Symmetric differentials and the fundamental group*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013) no.14, 2797-2813
- [CCE14] F. Campana, B.Claudon, P.Eyssidieux, *Représentations linéaires des groupes kählériens et de leurs analogues projectifs*, J. Ec. Polytechn. Math. 1 (2014), 331-342
- [C17] B. Claudon, *Smooth families of tori and linear Kähler groups*, Annales de la Faculté des Mathématiques de Toulouse, to appear
- [EG17] H.Esnault, M. Groechenig, *Rigid connections,  $F$ -isocrystals and integrality*, preprint
- [H69] G. Harder, *Minkowskische Reduktionstheorie über Funktionenkörper*, Inventiones Math., **7** (1969), 33-54
- [L91] A. Lubotzky, *Lattices in rank one Lie groups over local fields*, GAFA **1** vol.4 (1991), 405-431
- [Mar84] G.A. Margulis, *Arithmeticity of the irreducible lattices in the semisimple groups of rank greater than 1*, Inventiones Math. **76** (1984), 93-120
- [Mar91] G.A. Margulis, *Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenggebiete **17**, Springer-Verlag, (1991)
- [R68] M.S. Raghunathan, *A note on quotients of real algebraic groups by arithmetic subgroups*, Invent. Math **4** (1968), 318-335
- [Si92] C.T. Simpson, *Higgs bundles and local systems*, Publ. Math. IHES **75** (1992), 5-95
- [V88] T.N. Venkataramana, *On superrigidity and arithmeticity of lattices in semisimple groups over local fields of arbitrary characteristic*, Inventiones Math. **92** (1988), 255-306

HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN

*E-mail address:* [bruno.klingler@hu-berlin.de](mailto:bruno.klingler@hu-berlin.de)