

Height Bounds in Diophantine Geometry

Philipp Habegger
habegger@math.ethz.ch

GRK Summer School in Alpbach 2.-7. Sept. 2007

The Manin-Mumford Conjecture

Conjecture

Let C be a smooth projective algebraic curve defined over \mathbb{C} of genus at least 2 embedded into its Jacobian J , then $C \cap J_{\text{tors}}$ is finite.

Generalized by Lang to

Conjecture

Let S be a semi-abelian variety defined over \mathbb{C} and let $X \subset S$ be an irreducible closed subvariety. If X is not an irreducible component of an algebraic subgroup of S , then the intersection $X \cap S_{\text{tors}}$ is not Zariski dense in X .

Now a theorem thanks to the work of Hindry, Laurent, Raynaud.

The Manin-Mumford Conjecture

Conjecture

Let C be a smooth projective algebraic curve defined over \mathbb{C} of genus at least 2 embedded into its Jacobian J , then $C \cap J_{\text{tors}}$ is finite.

Generalized by Lang to

Conjecture

Let S be a semi-abelian variety defined over \mathbb{C} and let $X \subset S$ be an irreducible closed subvariety. If X is not an irreducible component of an algebraic subgroup of S , then the intersection $X \cap S_{\text{tors}}$ is not Zariski dense in X .

Now a theorem thanks to the work of Hindry, Laurent, Raynaud.

The Manin-Mumford Conjecture

Conjecture

Let C be a smooth projective algebraic curve defined over \mathbb{C} of genus at least 2 embedded into its Jacobian J , then $C \cap J_{\text{tors}}$ is finite.

Generalized by Lang to

Conjecture

Let S be a semi-abelian variety defined over \mathbb{C} and let $X \subset S$ be an irreducible closed subvariety. If X is not an irreducible component of an algebraic subgroup of S , then the intersection $X \cap S_{\text{tors}}$ is not Zariski dense in X .

Now a theorem thanks to the work of Hindry, Laurent, Raynaud.

A simple example

Example

- \mathbb{G}_m is the multiplicative group, i.e. $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*$.
- Consider the curve $C \subset \mathbb{G}_m^2$ defined by

$$X + Y = 1.$$

- Then

$$C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^2)_{\text{tors}} = \{(\zeta, \zeta^{-1}), (\zeta^{-1}, \zeta)\}$$

where ζ is a primitive 6th root of unity.

A simple example

Example

- \mathbb{G}_m is the multiplicative group, i.e. $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*$.
- Consider the curve $C \subset \mathbb{G}_m^2$ defined by

$$X + Y = 1.$$

- Then

$$C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^2)_{\text{tors}} = \{(\zeta, \zeta^{-1}), (\zeta^{-1}, \zeta)\}$$

where ζ is a primitive 6th root of unity.

A simple example

Example

- \mathbb{G}_m is the multiplicative group, i.e. $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*$.
- Consider the curve $C \subset \mathbb{G}_m^2$ defined by

$$X + Y = 1.$$

- Then

$$C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^2)_{\text{tors}} = \{(\zeta, \zeta^{-1}), (\zeta^{-1}, \zeta)\}$$

where ζ is a primitive 6th root of unity.

A generalization

Definition

Let S be a semi-abelian variety defined over \mathbb{C} . We define

$$\begin{aligned} S^{[r]} &= \bigcup_{\substack{H \text{ alg. subgroup} \\ \text{codim } H \geq r}} H(\mathbb{C}) \\ &= \{x \in S(\mathbb{C}); x \text{ contained in an algebraic subgroup} \\ &\quad \text{of codimension } \geq r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Example

- $S^{[\dim S]} = S_{\text{tors}}$
- $S^{[0]} = S$

A generalization

Definition

Let S be a semi-abelian variety defined over \mathbb{C} . We define

$$\begin{aligned} S^{[r]} &= \bigcup_{\substack{H \text{ alg. subgroup} \\ \text{codim } H \geq r}} H(\mathbb{C}) \\ &= \{x \in S(\mathbb{C}); x \text{ contained in an algebraic subgroup} \\ &\quad \text{of codimension } \geq r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Example

- $S^{[\dim S]} = S_{\text{tors}}$
- $S^{[0]} = S$

A generalization

Definition

Let S be a semi-abelian variety defined over \mathbb{C} . We define

$$\begin{aligned} S^{[r]} &= \bigcup_{\substack{H \text{ alg. subgroup} \\ \text{codim } H \geq r}} H(\mathbb{C}) \\ &= \{x \in S(\mathbb{C}); x \text{ contained in an algebraic subgroup} \\ &\quad \text{of codimension } \geq r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Example

- $S^{[\dim S]} = S_{\text{tors}}$
- $S^{[0]} = S$

Algebraic subgroups of \mathbb{G}_m^n

Example

Let H be an algebraic subgroup of \mathbb{G}_m^n of codimension r . There exist linearly independent vectors

$$u_i = (u_{i1}, \dots, u_{in}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

such that

$$H = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n); x_1^{u_{i1}} \cdots x_n^{u_{in}} = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r\}.$$

Example

$$(\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[1]} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{G}_m^n; x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} = 1, \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Algebraic subgroups of \mathbb{G}_m^n

Example

Let H be an algebraic subgroup of \mathbb{G}_m^n of codimension r . There exist linearly independent vectors

$$u_i = (u_{i1}, \dots, u_{in}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

such that

$$H = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n); x_1^{u_{i1}} \cdots x_n^{u_{in}} = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r\}.$$

Example

$$(\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[1]} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{G}_m^n; x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} = 1, \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Intersecting subvarieties with $S^{[r]}$

Question

Let X be a subvariety of a semi-abelian variety S , what can be said about $X \cap S^{[r]}$? We are specially interested in the critical values $r = \dim X$ and $r = 1 + \dim X$.

Remark

Manin-Mumford: $X \cap S^{[r]}$ is non-dense if $r = \dim S$ and if X is not an irreducible component of an algebraic subgroup of S .

Example

If C is a curve in \mathbb{G}_m^n , then $C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[1]}$ is always infinite.

Intersecting subvarieties with $S^{[r]}$

Question

Let X be a subvariety of a semi-abelian variety S , what can be said about $X \cap S^{[r]}$? We are specially interested in the critical values $r = \dim X$ and $r = 1 + \dim X$.

Remark

Manin-Mumford: $X \cap S^{[r]}$ is non-dense if $r = \dim S$ and if X is not an irreducible component of an algebraic subgroup of S .

Example

If C is a curve in \mathbb{G}_m^n , then $C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[1]}$ is always infinite.

Intersecting subvarieties with $S^{[r]}$

Question

Let X be a subvariety of a semi-abelian variety S , what can be said about $X \cap S^{[r]}$? We are specially interested in the critical values $r = \dim X$ and $r = 1 + \dim X$.

Remark

Manin-Mumford: $X \cap S^{[r]}$ is non-dense if $r = \dim S$ and if X is not an irreducible component of an algebraic subgroup of S .

Example

If C is a curve in \mathbb{G}_m^n , then $C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[1]}$ is always infinite.

On heights I

Definition (of the height)

The (absolute logarithmic Weil) height $h(x)$ of an **algebraic number** x is defined as follows:

There exists a unique $P = a_d T^d + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$, the minimal polynomial, with

- $P(x) = 0$,
- P irreducible over \mathbb{Z} , $a_d > 0$, and
- $P = a_d(T - \xi_1) \cdots (T - \xi_d)$ with $\xi_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$h(x) := \frac{1}{d} \log \left(a_d \prod_{|\xi_i| > 1} |\xi_i| \right) = \frac{1}{d} \log(\text{Mahler measure of } P) \geq 0.$$

On heights I

Definition (of the height)

The (absolute logarithmic Weil) height $h(x)$ of an **algebraic number** x is defined as follows:

There exists a unique $P = a_d T^d + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$, the minimal polynomial, with

- $P(x) = 0$,
- P irreducible over \mathbb{Z} , $a_d > 0$, and
- $P = a_d(T - \xi_1) \cdots (T - \xi_d)$ with $\xi_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$h(x) := \frac{1}{d} \log \left(a_d \prod_{|\xi_i| > 1} |\xi_i| \right) = \frac{1}{d} \log(\text{Mahler measure of } P) \geq 0.$$

On heights I

Definition (of the height)

The (absolute logarithmic Weil) height $h(x)$ of an **algebraic number** x is defined as follows:

There exists a unique $P = a_d T^d + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$, the minimal polynomial, with

- $P(x) = 0$,
- P irreducible over \mathbb{Z} , $a_d > 0$, and
- $P = a_d(T - \xi_1) \cdots (T - \xi_d)$ with $\xi_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$h(x) := \frac{1}{d} \log \left(a_d \prod_{|\xi_i| > 1} |\xi_i| \right) = \frac{1}{d} \log(\text{Mahler measure of } P) \geq 0.$$

On heights II

Definition (of the height cont.)

If $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{G}_m^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ we define

$$h(x) = h(x_1) + \dots + h(x_n).$$

A property

We have $h(x^k) = |k|h(x)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark

Other, non equivalent, definitions are in use. Later, we will see a height defined on $\mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.

On heights II

Definition (of the height cont.)

If $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{G}_m^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ we define

$$h(x) = h(x_1) + \dots + h(x_n).$$

A property

We have $h(x^k) = |k|h(x)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark

Other, non equivalent, definitions are in use. Later, we will see a height defined on $\mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Two basic facts I

Theorem (Northcott)

Let $C, D \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\{x \in \mathbb{G}_m^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}); h(x) \leq C \text{ and } [\mathbb{Q}(x) : \mathbb{Q}] \leq D\}$$

is finite.

Proof idea

The constants C and D bound the absolute values of the coefficients and degrees of the minimal polynomials of x_1, \dots, x_n .

Two basic facts I

Theorem (Northcott)

Let $C, D \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\{x \in \mathbb{G}_m^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}); h(x) \leq C \text{ and } [\mathbb{Q}(x) : \mathbb{Q}] \leq D\}$$

is finite.

Proof idea

The constants C and D bound the absolute values of the coefficients and degrees of the minimal polynomials of x_1, \dots, x_n .

Two basic facts II

Theorem (Kronecker)

If $x \in \mathbb{G}_m^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, then

$$h(x) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x \in (\mathbb{G}_m^n)_{\text{tors}}.$$

Proof idea of " \Rightarrow "

If $h(x) = 0$ then $h(x^k) = |k|h(x) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The set $\{x^k\}$ has bounded height and degree.

Northcott: $\{x^k\}$ is finite. There exists $k > k'$ with

$$x^k = x^{k'} \quad \text{so} \quad x^{k-k'} = 1.$$

Two basic facts II

Theorem (Kronecker)

If $x \in \mathbb{G}_m^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, then

$$h(x) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x \in (\mathbb{G}_m^n)_{\text{tors}}.$$

Proof idea of “ \Rightarrow ”

If $h(x) = 0$ then $h(x^k) = |k|h(x) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The set $\{x^k\}$ has bounded height and degree.

Northcott: $\{x^k\}$ is finite. There exists $k > k'$ with

$$x^k = x^{k'} \quad \text{so} \quad x^{k-k'} = 1.$$

Theorem of Bombieri, Masser, and Zannier

Theorem (Bombieri, Masser, Zannier 1999)

Let $C \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n$ be an algebraic curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume that C is not contained in the **translate of a proper algebraic subgroup** (= proper coset) of \mathbb{G}_m^n . Then

- $C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[1]}$ has bounded height,
- $C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[2]}$ is finite.

Theorem of Bombieri, Masser, and Zannier

Theorem (Bombieri, Masser, Zannier 1999)

Let $C \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n$ be an algebraic curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume that C is not contained in the **translate of a proper algebraic subgroup** (= proper coset) of \mathbb{G}_m^n . Then

- $C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[1]}$ has bounded height,
- $C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[2]}$ is finite.

Theorem of Bombieri, Masser, and Zannier

Theorem (Bombieri, Masser, Zannier 1999)

Let $C \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n$ be an algebraic curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume that C is not contained in the **translate of a proper algebraic subgroup** (= proper coset) of \mathbb{G}_m^n . Then

- $C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[1]}$ has bounded height,
- $C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[2]}$ is finite.

A consequence using Northcott's Theorem

Example

If C is a curve as in the Theorem of Bombieri, Masser, and Zannier and K is a number field, then

$$C(K) \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[1]}$$

is finite.

Strategy of proof

The finiteness statement in BMZ's Theorem rests on:

Proposition

Assume $C \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n$ is a curve which is not contained in a proper algebraic subgroup. If $B \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\{x \in C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[2]}; h(x) \leq B\} \text{ is finite.}$$

Remark

The proof of this result uses

“relative Lehmer-type height lower bounds.”

We will concentrate on height upper bounds.

Strategy of proof

The finiteness statement in BMZ's Theorem rests on:

Proposition

Assume $C \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n$ is a curve which is not contained in a proper algebraic subgroup. If $B \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\{x \in C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[2]}; h(x) \leq B\} \text{ is finite.}$$

Remark

The proof of this result uses

“relative Lehmer-type height lower bounds.”

We will concentrate on height upper bounds.

Strategy of proof

The finiteness statement in BMZ's Theorem rests on:

Proposition

Assume $C \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n$ is a curve which is not contained in a proper algebraic subgroup. If $B \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\{x \in C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[2]}; h(x) \leq B\} \text{ is finite.}$$

Remark

The proof of this result uses

“relative Lehmer-type height lower bounds.”

We will concentrate on height upper bounds.

Another simple example

Example

Let us consider again the curve C defined by $X + Y = 1$ in \mathbb{G}_m^2 .

- We already know $\#C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^2)^{[2]} = 2$.
- In 2000, Cohen and Zannier proved that if $(x, y) \in C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^2)^{[1]}$, in other words if

$$x \neq 0, 1 \quad \text{and} \quad x^a(1-x)^b = 1 \quad (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$$

then

$$h(x) \leq \log 2 \quad \text{and} \quad h(y) \leq \log 2.$$

Another simple example

Example

Let us consider again the curve C defined by $X + Y = 1$ in \mathbb{G}_m^2 .

- We already know $\#C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^2)^{[2]} = 2$.
- In 2000, Cohen and Zannier proved that if $(x, y) \in C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^2)^{[1]}$, in other words if

$$x \neq 0, 1 \quad \text{and} \quad x^a(1-x)^b = 1 \quad (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$$

then

$$h(x) \leq \log 2 \quad \text{and} \quad h(y) \leq \log 2.$$

Another simple example

Example

Let us consider again the curve C defined by $X + Y = 1$ in \mathbb{G}_m^2 .

- We already know $\#C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^2)^{[2]} = 2$.
- In 2000, Cohen and Zannier proved that if $(x, y) \in C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^2)^{[1]}$, in other words if

$$x \neq 0, 1 \quad \text{and} \quad x^a(1-x)^b = 1 \quad (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$$

then

$$h(x) \leq \log 2 \quad \text{and} \quad h(y) \leq \log 2.$$

Finiteness under the weak hypothesis

Theorem (Maurin 2007)

Let $C \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n$ be an algebraic curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume that C is not contained in a **proper algebraic subgroup** of \mathbb{G}_m^n , then

$$C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[2]} \text{ is finite.}$$

Remark

Under this weaker hypothesis, the statement

“ $C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[1]}$ has bounded height”

is wrong.

Finiteness under the weak hypothesis

Theorem (Maurin 2007)

Let $C \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n$ be an algebraic curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume that C is not contained in a **proper algebraic subgroup** of \mathbb{G}_m^n , then

$$C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[2]} \text{ is finite.}$$

Remark

Under this weaker hypothesis, the statement

$$"C \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[1]} \text{ has bounded height}"$$

is **wrong**.

Maurin implies Mordell-Lang for curves in \mathbb{G}_m^n

Example

Let $C \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n$ be a curve not contained in a proper coset, $n \geq 2$, and let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ be multiplicatively independent. Then

$$C \times \{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s)\} \subset \mathbb{G}_m^{n+s}$$

is a curve but not in proper algebraic subgroup.

If $x \in C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the coordinates of x^k are in $\langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s \rangle$, then

$$(x, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s) \in C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \times \{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s)\} \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[2]}.$$

Therefore, $C \cap \Gamma$ is finite for a subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ of finite rank.

Maurin implies Mordell-Lang for curves in \mathbb{G}_m^n

Example

Let $C \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n$ be a curve not contained in a proper coset, $n \geq 2$, and let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ be multiplicatively independent. Then

$$C \times \{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s)\} \subset \mathbb{G}_m^{n+s}$$

is a curve but not in proper algebraic subgroup.

If $x \in C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the coordinates of x^k are in $\langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s \rangle$, then

$$(x, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s) \in C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \times \{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s)\} \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[2]}.$$

Therefore, $C \cap \Gamma$ is finite for a subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ of finite rank.

A result in arbitrary dimension I

Theorem (Bombieri, Zannier 2000)

Let $X \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n$ be irreducible and defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of any dimension.
Set

$$X^\circ = X \setminus \bigcup_{\substack{H \subset X \text{ coset} \\ \dim H \geq 1}} H,$$

then

$$X^\circ \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[n-1]}$$

has bounded height.

Remark (on curves)

The subgroup codim. size $n - 1$ is not optimal for curves if $n \geq 3$.

A result in arbitrary dimension I

Theorem (Bombieri, Zannier 2000)

Let $X \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n$ be irreducible and defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of any dimension.
Set

$$X^\circ = X \setminus \bigcup_{\substack{H \subset X \text{ coset} \\ \dim H \geq 1}} H,$$

then

$$X^\circ \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^{[n-1]}$$

has bounded height.

Remark (on curves)

The subgroup codim. size $n - 1$ is not optimal for curves if $n \geq 3$.

A result in arbitrary dimension II

Remark (on hypersurfaces)

If X is a hypersurface, i.e. $\dim X = n - 1$, then the subgroup codim. size $n - 1$ is optimal.

Conclusion

Combining BMZ and BZ's Theorem: we have boundedness of height results with optimal subgroup codim. for **curves** and **hypersurfaces**.

A result in arbitrary dimension II

Remark (on hypersurfaces)

If X is a hypersurface, i.e. $\dim X = n - 1$, then the subgroup codim. size $n - 1$ is optimal.

Conclusion

Combining BMZ and BZ's Theorem: we have boundedness of height results with optimal subgroup codim. for **curves** and **hypersurfaces**.

Abelian varieties

What is known for subvarieties of abelian varieties?

Theorem (Viada 2003)

Let E be an elliptic curve and $C \subset E^g$ an irreducible curve both over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume C is not contained in a **proper coset**. Then

- any Néron-Tate height is bounded on $C \cap (E^g)^{[1]}$,
- if E has complex multiplication, then $C \cap (E^g)^{[2]}$ is finite.

Theorem (Rémond 2005)

Boundedness of height for curves in any abelian variety.

Abelian varieties

What is known for subvarieties of abelian varieties?

Theorem (Viada 2003)

Let E be an elliptic curve and $C \subset E^g$ an irreducible curve both over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume C is not contained in a **proper coset**. Then

- any Néron-Tate height is bounded on $C \cap (E^g)^{[1]}$,
- if E has complex multiplication, then $C \cap (E^g)^{[2]}$ is finite.

Theorem (Rémond 2005)

Boundedness of height for curves in any abelian variety.

Abelian varieties

What is known for subvarieties of abelian varieties?

Theorem (Viada 2003)

Let E be an elliptic curve and $C \subset E^g$ an irreducible curve both over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume C is not contained in a **proper coset**. Then

- any Néron-Tate height is bounded on $C \cap (E^g)^{[1]}$,
- if E has complex multiplication, then $C \cap (E^g)^{[2]}$ is finite.

Theorem (Rémond 2005)

Boundedness of height for curves in any abelian variety.

Abelian varieties

What is known for subvarieties of abelian varieties?

Theorem (Viada 2003)

Let E be an elliptic curve and $C \subset E^g$ an irreducible curve both over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume C is not contained in a **proper coset**. Then

- any Néron-Tate height is bounded on $C \cap (E^g)^{[1]}$,
- if E has complex multiplication, then $C \cap (E^g)^{[2]}$ is finite.

Theorem (Rémond 2005)

Boundedness of height for curves in any abelian variety.

Why the complex multiplication hypothesis?

Remark

Finiteness for $C \cap (E^g)^{[2]}$ is deduced as in Bombieri, Masser, and Zannier's Theorem:

One needs a "relative Lehmer-type height lower bound" which is presently only available for elliptic curves with CM.

The obstruction

To deduce height lower bounds one needs a sufficient number of super-singular primes. Current estimates for non-CM curves are too weak.

Why the complex multiplication hypothesis?

Remark

Finiteness for $C \cap (E^g)^{[2]}$ is deduced as in Bombieri, Masser, and Zannier's Theorem:

One needs a "relative Lehmer-type height lower bound" which is presently only available for elliptic curves with CM.

The obstruction

To deduce height lower bounds one needs a sufficient number of super-singular primes. Current estimates for non-CM curves are too weak.

Algebraic subgroups of E^g

Remark

If E is an elliptic curve over a field of characteristic 0, then $\text{End}(E)$ is an order in a number field of degree 1 or 2 over \mathbb{Q} .

Example

Let H be an algebraic subgroup of E^g of codim. r . There exist $\text{End}(E)$ -linearly independent vectors

$$u_i = (u_{i1}, \dots, u_{ig}) \in \text{End}(E)^g \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

such that H has finite index in

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_g); u_{i1}x_1 + \dots + u_{ig}x_g = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r\}.$$

Algebraic subgroups of E^g

Remark

If E is an elliptic curve over a field of characteristic 0, then $\text{End}(E)$ is an order in a number field of degree 1 or 2 over \mathbb{Q} .

Example

Let H be an algebraic subgroup of E^g of codim. r . There exist $\text{End}(E)$ -linearly independent vectors

$$u_i = (u_{i1}, \dots, u_{ig}) \in \text{End}(E)^g \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

such that H has finite index in

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_g); u_{i1}x_1 + \dots + u_{ig}x_g = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r\}.$$

Finiteness under the weak hypothesis

Maurin's finiteness result was known earlier for powers of elliptic curves with complex multiplication:

Theorem (Rémond, Viada 2003)

*Let E be an elliptic curve and $C \subset E^g$ an irreducible curve both defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume that C is not contained in a **proper algebraic subgroup**. If E has complex multiplication then*

$$C \cap (E^g)^{[2]} \text{ is finite.}$$

Finiteness under the weak hypothesis

Maurin's finiteness result was known earlier for powers of elliptic curves with complex multiplication:

Theorem (Rémond, Viada 2003)

*Let E be an elliptic curve and $C \subset E^g$ an irreducible curve both defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume that C is not contained in a **proper algebraic subgroup**. If E has complex multiplication then*

$$C \cap (E^g)^{[2]} \text{ is finite.}$$

A finiteness conjecture in higher dimension

Conjecture (Zilber)

Let S be semi-abelian and X an irreducible closed subvariety of S both over \mathbb{C} . There exists a **finite** set \mathcal{H} of proper algebraic subgroups of S such that

$$X \cap S^{[1+\dim X]} \subset \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} X \cap H.$$

Remark

If $X \not\subset$ proper algebraic subgroup of S then Zilber would imply

$$X \cap S^{[1+\dim X]} \text{ is not Zariski dense in } X.$$

Similar conjectures were stated by Pink and by Bombieri, Masser, Zannier for $S = \mathbb{G}_m^n$.

A finiteness conjecture in higher dimension

Conjecture (Zilber)

Let S be semi-abelian and X an irreducible closed subvariety of S both over \mathbb{C} . There exists a **finite** set \mathcal{H} of proper algebraic subgroups of S such that

$$X \cap S^{[1+\dim X]} \subset \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} X \cap H.$$

Remark

If $X \not\subset$ proper algebraic subgroup of S then Zilber would imply

$$X \cap S^{[1+\dim X]} \text{ is not Zariski dense in } X.$$

Similar conjectures were stated by Pink and by Bombieri, Masser, Zannier for $S = \mathbb{G}_m^n$.

A height bound conjecture in higher dimension

Conjecture

Let A be an abelian variety and $X \subset A$ an irreducible closed subvariety both over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Any Néron-Tate height is bounded on

$$X^{\text{oa}} \cap A^{[\dim X]}.$$

Remark

X^{oa} is a natural subset of X which tries to eliminate trivial counterexamples.

Remark

This conjecture can be generalized to semi-abelian varieties.

A height bound conjecture in higher dimension

Conjecture

Let A be an abelian variety and $X \subset A$ an irreducible closed subvariety both over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Any Néron-Tate height is bounded on

$$X^{\text{oa}} \cap A^{[\dim X]}.$$

Remark

X^{oa} is a natural subset of X which tries to eliminate trivial counterexamples.

Remark

This conjecture can be generalized to semi-abelian varieties.

A height bound conjecture in higher dimension

Conjecture

Let A be an abelian variety and $X \subset A$ an irreducible closed subvariety both over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Any Néron-Tate height is bounded on

$$X^{\text{oa}} \cap A^{[\dim X]}.$$

Remark

X^{oa} is a natural subset of X which tries to eliminate trivial counterexamples.

Remark

This conjecture can be generalized to semi-abelian varieties.

Anomalous subvarieties I

Remark

Let X, H be subvarieties of A (or \mathbb{G}_m^n or even S). Let

$Y \subset X \cap H$ be an irreducible component.

If X and H are in general position one expects

$$\dim Y = \max\{0, \dim X + \dim H - \dim A\}.$$

Definition (Anomalous subvarieties)

An irreducible closed subvariety $Y \subset X$ is called anomalous if there exists a coset H such that $Y \subset H$ and

$$\dim Y > \max\{0, \dim X + \dim H - \dim A\}.$$

Anomalous subvarieties I

Remark

Let X, H be subvarieties of A (or \mathbb{G}_m^n or even S). Let

$Y \subset X \cap H$ be an irreducible component.

If X and H are in general position one expects

$$\dim Y = \max\{0, \dim X + \dim H - \dim A\}.$$

Definition (Anomalous subvarieties)

An irreducible closed subvariety $Y \subset X$ is called anomalous if there exists a **coset** H such that $Y \subset H$ and

$$\dim Y > \max\{0, \dim X + \dim H - \dim A\}.$$

Anomalous subvarieties II

Definition (Anomalous subvarieties (repeat))

An irreducible closed subvariety $Y \subset X$ is called anomalous if there exists a **coset** H such that $Y \subset H$ and

$$\dim Y > \max\{0, \dim X + \dim H - \dim A\}.$$

Example (Curve case)

Any anomalous subvariety of an irreducible curve $C \subset A$ must equal C . The coset H must satisfy $\dim H < \dim A$. Hence,

Y anomalous subvariety of $C \Leftrightarrow Y = C \subset \text{proper coset}.$

Anomalous subvarieties II

Definition (Anomalous subvarieties (repeat))

An irreducible closed subvariety $Y \subset X$ is called anomalous if there exists a **coset** H such that $Y \subset H$ and

$$\dim Y > \max\{0, \dim X + \dim H - \dim A\}.$$

Example (Curve case)

Any anomalous subvariety of an irreducible curve $C \subset A$ must equal C . The coset H must satisfy $\dim H < \dim A$. Hence,

$$Y \text{ anomalous subvariety of } C \Leftrightarrow Y = C \subset \text{proper coset.}$$

Anomalous subvarieties III

Definition

We define

$$X^{\text{oa}} = X \setminus \bigcup_{\substack{Y \subset X \\ \text{anomalous}}} Y.$$

Example (Curve case)

Let $C \subset A$ be an irreducible curve, then

$$C^{\text{oa}} = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } C \text{ is contained in a proper coset,} \\ C & \text{else wise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, C^{oa} is Zariski open in C .

Anomalous subvarieties III

Definition

We define

$$X^{\text{oa}} = X \setminus \bigcup_{\substack{Y \subset X \\ \text{anomalous}}} Y.$$

Example (Curve case)

Let $C \subset A$ be an irreducible curve, then

$$C^{\text{oa}} = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } C \text{ is contained in a proper coset,} \\ C & \text{else wise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, C^{oa} is Zariski open in C .

Anomalous subvarieties IV

Theorem (Bombieri, Masser, and Zannier 2006)

Let $X \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n$ be an irreducible closed subvariety over \mathbb{C} , then

X^{oa} is Zariski open in X .

Theorem (Rémond, H. indep.)

The same holds for \mathbb{G}_m^n replaced by an abelian variety.

Remark

Moreover, if E is an elliptic curve and $X \subset E^g$ then

$X^{\text{oa}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if

$\varphi : E^g \rightarrow E^{\dim X}$ surjective $\Rightarrow \dim \varphi(X) = \dim X$.

Anomalous subvarieties IV

Theorem (Bombieri, Masser, and Zannier 2006)

Let $X \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n$ be an irreducible closed subvariety over \mathbb{C} , then

X^{oa} is Zariski open in X .

Theorem (Rémond, H. indep.)

The same holds for \mathbb{G}_m^n replaced by an abelian variety.

Remark

Moreover, if E is an elliptic curve and $X \subset E^g$ then

$X^{\text{oa}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if

$\varphi : E^g \rightarrow E^{\dim X}$ surjective $\Rightarrow \dim \varphi(X) = \dim X$.

Anomalous subvarieties IV

Theorem (Bombieri, Masser, and Zannier 2006)

Let $X \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n$ be an irreducible closed subvariety over \mathbb{C} , then

X^{oa} is Zariski open in X .

Theorem (Rémond, H. indep.)

The same holds for \mathbb{G}_m^n replaced by an abelian variety.

Remark

Moreover, if E is an elliptic curve and $X \subset E^g$ then

$X^{\text{oa}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if

$\varphi : E^g \rightarrow E^{\dim X}$ surjective $\Rightarrow \dim \varphi(X) = \dim X$.

Some results for abelian varieties I

Height bound and finiteness results are currently more available in the abelian case as opposed to the multiplicative case:

Theorem (Rémond 2007)

Let A be an abelian variety and $X \subset A$ an irreducible closed subvariety both over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. If $\Gamma \subset A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is a subgroup of finite rank then any Néron-Tate height is bounded on

$$X^{\text{oa}} \cap (\Gamma + A^{[1+\dim X]}). \quad (1)$$

If $A = E^g$ with E a CM elliptic curve then (1) is finite.

Some results for abelian varieties I

Height bound and finiteness results are currently more available in the abelian case as opposed to the multiplicative case:

Theorem (Rémond 2007)

Let A be an abelian variety and $X \subset A$ an irreducible closed subvariety both over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. If $\Gamma \subset A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is a subgroup of finite rank then any Néron-Tate height is bounded on

$$X^{\text{oa}} \cap (\Gamma + A^{[1+\dim X]}). \quad (1)$$

If $A = E^g$ with E a CM elliptic curve then (1) is finite.

Some results for abelian varieties II

By assuming $\Gamma = 0$ we can increase the subgroup size and still get a height bound.

Theorem (H. 2007)

If $X \subset E^g$ with E an elliptic curve, then any Néron-Tate height is bounded on

$$X^{\text{oa}} \cap (E^g)^{[\dim X]}.$$

Remark

We will sketch a proof of this theorem.

Some results for abelian varieties II

By assuming $\Gamma = 0$ we can increase the subgroup size and still get a height bound.

Theorem (H. 2007)

If $X \subset E^g$ with E an elliptic curve, then any Néron-Tate height is bounded on

$$X^{\text{oa}} \cap (E^g)^{[\dim X]}.$$

Remark

We will sketch a proof of this theorem.

Some results in \mathbb{G}_m^n

Remark

Height bound or finiteness results for subvarieties of \mathbb{G}_m^n other than curves and hypersurfaces with optimal subgroup codim. are rather sparse.

Theorem (H. 2007)

Let $X \subset \mathbb{G}_m^5$ be an irreducible algebraic surface over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then

$$X^{\text{oa}} \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^5)^{[1+\dim X]} = X^{\text{oa}} \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^5)^{[3]}$$

is finite.

Some results in \mathbb{G}_m^n

Remark

Height bound or finiteness results for subvarieties of \mathbb{G}_m^n other than curves and hypersurfaces with optimal subgroup codim. are rather sparse.

Theorem (H. 2007)

Let $X \subset \mathbb{G}_m^5$ be an irreducible algebraic surface over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then

$$X^{\text{oa}} \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^5)^{[1+\dim X]} = X^{\text{oa}} \cap (\mathbb{G}_m^5)^{[3]}$$

is finite.