Scenario generation

W. Römisch

Humboldt-University Berlin Department of Mathematics

www.math.hu-berlin.de/~romisch

Tutorial, ICSP12, Halifax, August 14, 2010

Home Page Contents Page 1 of 39 Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

Contents

- (1) Aproximation issues
- (2) Generation of a number of scenarios
- (2a) Monte Carlo sampling methods
- (2b) Optimal quantization of probability distributions
- (2c) Quasi-Monte Carlo methods
- (2d) Quadrature rules based on sparse grids
 - (3) Scenario reduction
 - (4) Generation of scenario trees

Home Page
Title Page
Contents
•• >>
Page 2 of 39
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Approximation issues

We consider a stochastic program of the form

$$\min\left\{\int_{\Xi}\Phi(\xi,x)P(d\xi):x\in X\right\},\,$$

where $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is a constraint set, P a probability distribution on $\Xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, and $f = \Phi(\cdot, x)$ is a decision-dependent integrand.

Any approach to solving such models computationally requires to replace the integral by a quadrature rule

$$Q_{n,d}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i f(\xi^i),$$

with weights $w_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and scenarios $\xi^i \in \Xi$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

If the natural condition $w_i \ge 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$ is satisfied, $Q_{n,d}(f)$ allows the interpretation as integral with respect to the discrete probability measure Q_n having scenarios ξ^i with probabilities w_i , i = 1, ..., n.

Home Page
Title Page
Contents
•• ••
Page 3 of 39
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Assumption: P has a density ρ w.r.t. λ^d .

Now, we set $\mathcal{F} = \{\Phi(\cdot, x)\rho(\cdot) : x \in X\}$ and assume that the set \mathcal{F} is a bounded subset of some linear normed space F_d with norm $\|\cdot\|_d$ and unit ball $\mathbb{B}_d = \{f \in F_d : \|f\|_d \leq 1\}.$

The absolute error of the quadrature rule $Q_{n,d}$ is

$$e(Q_{n,d}) = \sup_{f \in \mathbb{B}_d} \left| \int_{\Xi} f(\xi) d\xi - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(\xi^i) \right|$$

and the approximation criterion is based on the relative error and a given tolerance $\varepsilon > 0$, namely, it consists in finding the smallest number $n_{\min}(\varepsilon, Q_{n,d}) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$e(Q_{n,d}) \le \varepsilon e(Q_{0,d}),$$

holds, where $Q_{0,d}(f)=0$ and, hence, $e(Q_{0,d})=\|I_d\|$ with

$$I_d(f) = \int_{\Xi} f(\xi) d\xi$$

Home Page
Title Page
Contents
44 >>
•
Page 4 of 39
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Alternatively, we look for a suitable set \mathcal{F} of functions such that $\{C\Phi(\cdot, x) : x \in X\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ for some constant C > 0 and, hence,

$$e(Q_{n,d}) \le \frac{1}{C} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \int_{\Xi} f(\xi) P(d\xi) - \int_{\Xi} f(\xi) Q_n(d\xi) \right| = D(P,Q_n),$$

and that D is a metric distance between probability distributions.

Example: Fortet-Mourier metric (of order $r \ge 1$)

$$\zeta_r(P,Q) := \sup \left| \int_{\Xi} f(\xi)(P-Q)(d\xi) : f \in \mathcal{F}_r(\Xi) \right|,$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{r}(\Xi) := \{ f : \Xi \mapsto \mathbb{R} : f(\xi) - f(\tilde{\xi}) \le c_{r}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}), \, \forall \xi, \tilde{\xi} \in \Xi \}, \\ c_{r}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) := \max\{1, \|\xi\|^{r-1}, \|\tilde{\xi}\|^{r-1}\} \|\xi - \tilde{\xi}\| \quad (\xi, \tilde{\xi} \in \Xi).$$

Home Page
Title Page
Contents
•• ••
Page 5 of 39
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

The behavior of $e(Q_{n,d})$ with respect to $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and of $n_{\min}(\varepsilon, Q_{n,d})$ with respect to ε is of considerable interest. In both cases the dependence on the dimension d of P is often crucial, too.

The behavior of both quantities depends heavily on the normed space F_d and the set \mathcal{F} , respectively. It is desirable that an estimate of the form

 $n_{\min}(\varepsilon, Q_{n,d}) \le C d^q \varepsilon^{-p}$

is valid for some nonnegative constants C, q, p>0 and for every $\varepsilon\in(0,1).$ Of course, q=0 is highly desirable for high-dimensional problems.

Proposition: (Stability)

Let the set X be compact. Then there exists L > 0 such that

$$\left|\inf_{x\in X} \int_{\Xi} \Phi(\xi, x) \rho(\xi) d\xi - \inf_{x\in X} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \Phi(\xi^i, x) \rho(\xi^i) \right| \le L e(Q_{n,d}).$$

The solution set mapping is upper semicontinuous at P.

Home Page
Title Page
Contents
•• ••
Page 6 of 39
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Examples of normed spaces F_d relevant in SP:

(a) The Banach space $F_d = \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of Lipschitz continuous functions equipped with the norm

$$||f||_{d} = |f(0)| + \sup_{\xi \neq \tilde{\xi}} \frac{|f(\xi) - f(\xi)|}{||\xi - \tilde{\xi}||}.$$

The best possible convergence rate is $e(Q_{n,d}) = O(n^{-\frac{1}{d}})$. It is attained for $w_i = \frac{1}{n}$ and certain ξ^i , i = 1, ..., n, if P has finite moments of order $1 + \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$. (Graf-Luschgy 00)

(b) Assumption: $\Xi = [0, 1]^d$ (attainable by suitable transformations). We consider the Banach space $F_d = BV_{HK}([0, 1]^d)$ of functions having bounded variation in the sense of Hardy and Krause equipped with the norm $||f||_d = |f(0)| + V_{HK}(f)$. Then for $w_i = \frac{1}{n}$, i = 1, ..., n, there exist $\xi^n \in [0, 1]^d$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the convergence rate is

$$e(Q_{n,d}) = O\left(\frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{n}\right).$$

Home Page
Title Page
Contents
••
Page 7 of 39
Go Back
Full Screen
Close

(c) The tensor product Sobolev space

$$F_{d,\gamma} = \mathcal{W}_{2,\text{mix}}^{(1,\dots,1)}([0,1]^d) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d W_2^1([0,1])$$

of real functions on $[0, 1]^d$ having first order mixed weak derivatives with the (weighted) norm

$$\|f\|_{d,\gamma} = \left(\sum_{u \in D} \gamma_u^{-1} \int_{[0,1]^{|u|}} \left| \frac{\partial^{|u|}}{\partial \xi^u} f(\xi^u, 1^{-u}) \right|^2 d\xi^u \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $D = \{1, \dots, d\}, \ \gamma_1 \ge \gamma_2 \ge \dots \ge \gamma_d > 0, \ \gamma_{\emptyset} = 1$ and $\gamma_u = \prod_{j \in u} \gamma_j \quad (u \subseteq D).$

Note that any $f \in \mathcal{W}_{2,\min}^{(1,\dots,1)}([0,1]^d)$ is of bounded variation in the sense of Hardy and Krause.

For n prime, $w_i = \frac{1}{n}$, there exist $\xi^i \in [0, 1]^d$, i = 1, ..., n such that

$$e(Q_{n,d}) \le C_d(\delta) n^{-1+\delta} \|I_d\|$$

for all $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Home Page
Title Page
Contents
••
Page 8 of 39
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Generation of a number of scenarios

We will discuss the following four scenario generation methods for stochastic programs *without nonanticipativity constraints*:

- (a) Monte Carlo sampling from the underlying probability distribution P on \mathbb{R}^d (Shapiro 03).
- (b) Optimal quantization of probability distributions (Pflug-Pichler 10).
- (c) Quasi-Monte Carlo methods (Koivu-Pennanen 05).
- (d) Quadrature rules based on sparse grids (Chen-Mehrotra 08).



Monte Carlo sampling methods

Monte Carlo methods are based on drawing independent identically distributed (iid) Ξ -valued random samples $\xi^1(\cdot), \ldots, \xi^n(\cdot), \ldots$ (defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$) from an underlying probability distribution P (on Ξ) such that

$$Q_{n,d}(\omega)(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\xi^{i}(\omega)),$$

i.e., $Q_{n,d}(\cdot)$ is a random functional, and it holds

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} Q_{n,d}(\omega)(f) = \int_{\Xi} f(\xi) P(d\xi) = \mathbb{E}(f) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-almost surely}$

for every real continuous and bounded function f on Ξ . If P has finite second order moments, the error estimate

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f(\xi^{i}(\omega))-\mathbb{E}(f)\right|^{2}\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left((f-\mathbb{E}(f))^{2}\right)}{n}$$

Home Page
Title Page
Contents
••
Page 10 of 39
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

is valid. Hence, the mean square convergence rate is

$$\|Q_{n,d}(\omega)(f) - \mathbb{E}(f)\|_{L_2} = \sigma(f)n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $\sigma^2(f) = \mathbb{E}\left((f - \mathbb{E}(f))^2\right)$.

The latter holds without any assumption on f except $\sigma(f) < \infty$.

Remarkable property: The rate does not depend on d.

Deficiencies: (Niederreiter 92)

(i) There exist only *probabilistic error bounds*.

(ii) Possible regularity of the integrand *does not improve* the rate.

(iii) Generating (independent) random samples is *difficult*.

Practically, iid samples are approximately obtained by pseudo random number generators as uniform samples in $[0, 1]^d$ and later transformed to more general sets Ξ and distributions P.

	Home Page
	Title Page
	Contents
]	•• >>
]	•
	Page 11 of 39
	Go Back
	Full Screen
	Close
	Quit

Classical generators for pseudo random numbers are based on linear congruential methods. As the parameters of this method, we choose a large $M \in \mathbb{N}$ (modulus), a multiplier $a \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq a < M$ and gcd(a, M) = 1, and $c \in Z_M = \{0, 1, \dots, M - 1\}$. Starting with $y_0 \in Z_M$ a sequence is generated by

 $y_n \equiv ay_{n-1} + c \mod M \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N})$

and the linear congruential pseudo random numbers are

$$\xi^n = \frac{y_n}{M} \in [0,1).$$

Example: $M = 2^{32}$, $a \equiv 5 \mod 8$, and $c \mod (\text{period } M)$.

Use only pseudo random number generators having passed a series of statistical tests, e.g., uniformity test, serial correlation test, serial test, coarse lattice structure test etc.

Home Page Title Page Contents Page 12 of 39 Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

Optimal quantization of probability measures

Let D be a metric distance of probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d , e.g., the Fortet-Mourier metric ζ_r of order r, or some other metric such that the underlying stochastic program behaves stable with respect to D.

Let P be a given probability distribution on \mathbb{R}^d . We are looking for a discrete probability measure Q_n with support $\operatorname{supp}(Q_n) = \{\xi^1, \ldots, \xi^n\}$ and $Q_n(\{\xi^i\}) = \frac{1}{n}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, such that it is the best approximation to P with respect to D, i.e.,

 $D(P,Q_n) = \min\{D(P,Q) : |\operatorname{supp}(Q)| = n, Q \text{ is uniform}\}.$

Existence of best approximations and their convergence rates are well known for Wasserstein metrics (Graf-Luschgy 00). Best approximations for standard normal distributions are known for d = 1 and d = 2.



In general, however, the function

$$\Psi(\xi^1,\ldots,\xi^n) := D\left(P,\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\xi^i}\right)$$

is nonconvex and nondifferentiable on \mathbb{R}^{dn} . Hence, the global minimization of Ψ is not an easy task.

Algorithmic procedures for minimizing Ψ globally may be based on stochastic gradient algorithms, stochastic approximation methods and stochastic branch-and-bound techniques (e.g. Hochreiter-Pflug 07, Pflug-Pichler 10, Pagés et al 04)



Quasi-Monte Carlo methods

The basic idea of Quasi-Monte Carlo (QMC) methods is to replace random samples in Monte Carlo methods by deterministic points that are uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]^d$. The latter property may be defined in terms of the so-called star-discrepancy of ξ^1, \ldots, ξ^n

$$D_n^*(\xi^1,\ldots,\xi^n)) := \sup_{\xi \in [0,1]^d} \left| \lambda^d([0,\xi)) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{[0,\xi)}(\xi^i) \right|,$$

namely, by calling a sequence $(\xi^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ uniformly distributed in $[0,1]^d$ if for $n\to\infty$

$$D_n^*(\xi^1,\ldots,\xi^n)\to 0$$
.

A classical result due to Roth 54 states

$$D_n^*(\xi^1,\ldots,\xi^n) \ge B_d \frac{(\log n)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{n}$$

for some constant B_d and all sequences (ξ^i) in $[0,1]^d$.

Home Page
Title Page
Contents
••
•
Page 15 of 39
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

There are two classical convergence results for QMC methods.

Theorem: (Proinov 88) If the real function f is continuous on $[0,1]^d$, then there exists C > 0 such that

$$|Q_{n,d}(f) - I_d(f)| \le C\omega_f \Big(D_n^*(\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n)^{\frac{1}{d}} \Big),$$

where $\omega_f(\delta) = \sup\{|f(\xi) - f(\tilde{\xi})| : \|\xi - \tilde{\xi})\| \le \delta, \ \xi, \ \tilde{\xi} \in [0, 1]^d\}$ is the modulus of continuity of f.

Theorem: (Koksma-Hlawka 61) If f is of bounded variation in the sense of Hardy and Krause, it holds

 $|I_d(f) - Q_{n,d}(f)| \le V_{\mathrm{HK}}(f) D_n^*(\xi_1, \dots, \xi^n) \,.$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n \in [0, 1]^d$.

There exist sequences (ξ^i) in $[0,1]^d$ such that

 $D_n^*(\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n) = O(n^{-1}(\log n)^{d-1}).$

Home Page
Title Page
Contents
•• ••
Page 16 of 39
Fage 10 01 39
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

First general construction: (Sobol 69, Niederreiter 87) Elementary subintervals E in base b:

$$E = \prod_{j=1}^d \left[\frac{a_j}{b^{d_j}}, \frac{a_j + 1}{b^{d_j}} \right),$$

with $a_i, d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \le a_i < d_i, i = 1, ..., d$.

Let $m, t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, m > t. A set of b^m points in $[0, 1]^d$ is a (t, m, d)-net in base b if every elementary subinterval E in base b with $\lambda^d(E) = b^{t-m}$ contains b^t points.

A sequence (ξ^i) in $[0, 1]^d$ is a (t, d)-sequence in base b if, for all integers $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and m > t, the set

$$\{\xi^i : kb^m \le i < (k+1)b^m\}$$

is a (t, m, d)-net in base b.

Proposition: (0, d)-sequences exist if $d \le b$.



Theorem:

The star-discrepancy of a (0, m, d)-net $\{\xi_i\}$ in base b satisfies

$$D_n^*(\xi_i) \le A_d(b) \frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{n} + O\left(\frac{(\log n)^{d-2}}{n}\right)$$

Special cases: Sobol, Faure and Niederreiter sequences.

Second general construction: (Korobov 59, Sloan-Joe 94)

Let $g \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and consider the lattice points

$$\left\{\xi^i = \left\{\frac{i}{n}g\right\} : i = 1, \dots, n\right\},\$$

where $\{z\}$ is defined componentwise and for $z \in \mathbb{R}_+$ it is the *frac*tional part of z, i.e., $\{z\} = z - \lfloor z \rfloor \in [0, 1)$. Similar convergence results may be obtained for the star-discrepancy.











. . .

.

• 5

• 4 • 3

۰2

. 1 .6 ...

.

٠:



Fig. 5.3 Four different point sets with n = 64: random (top left), rectangular grid (top right), Korobov lattice (bottom left), and Sobol' (bottom right).

Quadrature rules with sparse grids

Again we consider the unit cube $[0,1]^d$ in \mathbb{R}^d . Let nested sets of grids in [0,1] be given, i.e.,

$$\Xi^i = \{\xi_1^i, \dots, \xi_{m_i}^i\} \subset \Xi^{i+1} \subset [0, 1] \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}),$$

for example, the dyadic grid

$$\Xi^{i} = \left\{ \frac{j}{2^{i}} : j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{i} \right\}.$$

Then the point set suggested by Smolyak

$$H(q,d) := \bigcup_{\sum_{j=1}^{d} i_j = q} \Xi^{i_1} \times \dots \times \Xi^{i_d} \qquad (q \in \mathbb{N})$$

is called a sparse grid in $[0, 1]^d$. In case of dyadic grids in [0, 1] the set H(q, d) consists of all d-dimensional dyadic grids with product of mesh size given by $\frac{1}{2^q}$.

Home Page Title Page Contents Page 20 of 39 Go Back Full Screen

Close

The corresponding tensor product quadrature rule for $q \ge d$ on $[0,1]^d$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure λ^d is of the form

$$Q_{q,d}(f) = \sum_{q-d+1 \le |\mathbf{i}| \le q} (-1)^{q-|\mathbf{i}|} \binom{d-1}{q-|\mathbf{i}|} \sum_{j_1=1}^{m_{i_1}} \cdots \sum_{j_d=1}^{m_{i_d}} f(\xi_{j_1}^{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{j_d}^{i_d}) \prod_{l=1}^d a_{j_l}^{i_l}$$

where $|\mathbf{i}| = \sum_{j=1}^{d} i_j$ and the coefficients a_j^i $(j = 1, ..., m_i, i = 1, ..., d)$ are weights of one-dimensional quadrature rules.

Even if the one-dimensional weights are positive, some of these weights may become negative. Hence, an interpretation as discrete probability measure is no longer possible.

Convergence rates are very similar to those of QMC methods if the integrand f belongs to a tensor product Sobolev space.

Home Page
Title Page
Contents
Contents
44 >>
Page 21 of 39
Go Back
5 4 6
Full Screen
Close
Quit
quit



Scenario reduction

We assume that the stochastic program behaves stable with respect to the Fortet-Mourier metric ζ_r .

Contents **Proposition:** (Rachev-Rüschendorf 98) If Ξ is bounded, ζ_r may be reformulated as transportation problem $\zeta_r(P,Q) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Xi \subseteq \Xi} \hat{c}_r(\xi,\tilde{\xi})\eta(d\xi,d\tilde{\xi}) : \pi_1\eta = P, \pi_2\eta = Q \right\},$ where \hat{c}_r is a metric (reduced cost) with $\hat{c}_r \leq c_r$ and given by Page 23 of 39 $\hat{c}_r(\xi,\tilde{\xi}) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_r(\xi_{l_i},\xi_{l_{i+1}}) : n \in \mathbb{N}, \xi_{l_i} \in \Xi, \xi_{l_1} = \xi, \xi_{l_n} = \tilde{\xi} \right\}.$ Go Back Full Screen

We consider discrete distributions P with scenarios ξ_i and probabilities p_i , $i = 1, \ldots, N$, and Q being supported by a given subset of scenarios ξ_i , $j \notin J \subset \{1, \ldots, N\}$, of P.

Title Page

Home Page

Close

Best approximation given a scenario set J:

The best approximation of P with respect to ζ_r by such a distribution Q exists and is denoted by Q^* . It has the distance

$$D_J := \zeta_r(P, Q^*) = \min_Q \zeta_r(P, Q) = \sum_{i \in J} p_i \min_{j \notin J} \hat{c}_r(\xi_i, \xi_j)$$

and the probabilities $q_j^* = p_j + \sum_{i \in J_j} p_i, \forall j \notin J$, where $J_j := \{i \in J : j = j(i)\}$ and $j(i) \in \arg\min_{j \notin J} \hat{c}_r(\xi_i, \xi_j), \forall i \in J$ (optimal redistribution).

Determining the optimal index set J with prescribed cardinality N-n is, however, a combinatorial optimization problem:

 $\min \{D_J : J \subset \{1, ..., N\}, |J| = N - n\}$

Hence, the problem of finding the optimal set J for deleting scenarios is \mathcal{NP} -hard and polynomial time algorithms are not available.

 \longrightarrow Search for fast heuristics starting from n = 1 or n = N - 1.



Fast reduction heuristics

Starting point (
$$n=N-1$$
): $\min_{l\in\{1,...,N\}}p_l\min_{j
eq l}\hat{c}_r(\xi_l,\xi_j)$

Algorithm 1: (Backward reduction)

Step [0]:
$$J^{[0]} := \emptyset$$
.
Step [i]: $l_i \in \arg\min_{l \notin J^{[i-1]}} \sum_{k \in J^{[i-1]} \cup \{l\}} p_k \min_{j \notin J^{[i-1]} \cup \{l\}} \hat{c}_r(\xi_k, \xi_j).$
 $J^{[i]} := J^{[i-1]} \cup \{l_i\}.$

Step [N-n+1]: Optimal redistribution.



Home Page
Title Page
Contents
41 >>
•
Page 25 of 39
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Starting point (n = 1): $\min_{u \in \{1,...,N\}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k \hat{c}_r(\xi_k, \xi_u)$

Algorithm 2: (Forward selection)

Step [0]:
$$J^{[0]} := \{1, ..., N\}.$$

Step [i]: $u_i \in \arg \min_{u \in J^{[i-1]}} \sum_{k \in J^{[i-1]} \setminus \{u\}} p_k \min_{j \notin J^{[i-1]} \setminus \{u\}} \hat{c}_r(\xi_k, \xi_j)$
 $J^{[i]} := J^{[i-1]} \setminus \{u_i\}.$

Step [n+1]: Optimal redistribution.



Home Page Title Page Contents Page 26 of 39 Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

,

Example: (Electrical load scenario tree)





Generation of scenario trees

Some recent approaches:

- (1) Bound-based approximation methods: Kuhn 05, Casey-Sen 05.
- (2) Monte Carlo-based schemes: Shapiro 03, 06.
- (3) Quasi-Monte Carlo methods: Pennanen 06, 09 .
- (4) Moment-matching principle: Høyland-Kaut-Wallace 03.
- (5) Stability-based approximations: Hochreiter-Pflug 07, Mirkov-Pflug 07,

Pflug-Pichler 10, Heitsch-Rö 05, 09.

Survey: Dupačová-Consigli-Wallace 00

Home Page
Title Page
Contents
••
•
Page 29 of 39
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Theoretical basis of (5): Stability results for multi-stage stochastic programs.

Scenario tree generation:

- (i) Development of a stochastic model for the data process ξ (parametric [e.g. time series model], nonparametric [e.g. resampling from statistical data]) and generation of simulation scenarios;
- (ii) Construction of a scenario tree out of the simulation scenarios by recursive scenario reduction and bundling over time such that the optimal expected revenue stays within a prescribed tolerance.

Implementation: GAMS-SCENRED 2.0 (by H. Heitsch)

Title Page Contents Page 30 of 39 Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

Home Page



<Start Animation>

Appendix: Functions of bounded variation

Let $D = \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and we consider subsets u of D with cardinality |u|. By -u we mean $-u = D \setminus u$. The expression ξ^u denotes the |u|-tuple of the components ξ_j , $j \in u$, of $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For example, we write

$$f(\xi) = f(\xi^u, \xi^{-u}).$$

We set the $d\mbox{-fold}$ alternating sum of f over the $d\mbox{-dimensional}$ interval [a,b] as

$$\triangle(f;a,b) = \sum_{u \subseteq D} (-1)^{|u|} f(a^u, b^{-u}).$$

Furthermore, we set for any $v \subseteq u$

$$\Delta_u(f;a,b) = \sum_{v \subseteq u} (-1)^{|v|} f(a^v, b^{-v})$$

Home Page
Title Page
Contents
•• ••
•
Page 32 of 39
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
0.1

Let G_j denote finite grids in $[a_j, b_j)$, $a_j < b_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, d$, and $G = \times_{i=1}^d G_j$ a grid in $[a, b) = \times_{i=1}^d [a_j, b_j)$. For $g \in G$ let $g^+ = (g_1^+, \ldots, g_d^+)$, where g_j^+ is the successor of g_j in $G_j \cup \{b_j\}$. Then the variation of f over G is

$$V_G(f) = \sum_{g \in G} \left| \triangle(f; g, g^+) \right|.$$

If \mathcal{G} denotes the set of all finite grids in [a, b), the variation of f on [a, b] in the sense of Vitali is

$$V_{[a,b]}(f) = \sup_{G \in \mathcal{G}} V_G(f)$$

The variation of f on [a, b] in the sense of Hardy and Krause is

$$V_{\rm HK}(f; a, b) = \sum_{u \in D} V_{[a^{-u}, b^{-u}]}(f(\xi^{-u}, b^u)) \,.$$

Bounded variation on [a, b] in the sense of Hardy and Krause then means $V_{\rm HK}(f; a, b) < \infty$.

Home Page Title Page Contents Page 33 of 39 Go Back Full Screen Close

References

Monographs:

H. Niederreiter: *Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods*, SIAM, Philadel-phia, 1992.

C. Lemieux: Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Sampling, Springer, 2009.

J. Dick and F. Pillichshammer: *Digital Nets and Sequences: Discrepancy Theory and Quasi-Monte Carlo Integration*, Cambridge University Press, 2010 (to appear).

I. H. Sloan and S. Joe: *Lattice Methods for Multiple Integration*, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1994.

S. Graf and H. Luschgy: *Foundations of Quantization for Probability Distributions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1730, Springer, Berlin, 2000.

H.-J. Bungartz and M. Griebel: Sparse Grids, Acta Numerica (2004), 1-123.

Monte Carlo:

A. Genz: Numerical computation of multivariate normal probabilities, J. Comp. Graph. Stat. 1 (1992), 141–149.

A. Shapiro: Monte Carlo sampling methods, in: *Stochastic Programming* (A. Ruszczyński, A. Shapiro eds.), Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Science, Volume 10, Elsevier, Amsterdam 2003, 353–425.

Home Page
Title Page
Contents
••
Page 34 of 39
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

G. Ch. Pflug: Stochastic optimization and statistical inference, in: *Stochastic Programming* (A. Ruszczyński, A. Shapiro eds.), Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Science, Volume 10, Elsevier, Amsterdam 2003, 427–482.

A. Shapiro: Inference of statistical bounds for multistage stochastic programming problems, *Mathematical Methods of Operations Research* 58 (2003), 57–68.

Quantization:

G. Pagès, H. Pham and J. Printems: Optimal quantization methods and applications to numerical problems in finance, in *Handbook of Computational and Numerical Methods in Finance* (S.T. Rachev Ed.), Birkhäuser, Boston 2004, 253–297.

G. Ch. Pflug and A. Pichler: Scenario generation for stochastic optimization problems, in: Stochastic Optimization Methods in Finance and Energy (M.I. Bertocchi, G. Consigli, M.A.H. Dempster eds.) (to appear).

G. Ch. Pflug: Scenario tree generation for multiperiod financial optimization by optimal discretization, *Mathematical Programming* 89 (2001), 251–271.

Quasi-Monte Carlo:

T. Pennanen, M. Koivu: Epi-convergent discretizations of stochastic programs via integration quadratures, *Numerische Mathematik* 100 (2005), 141–163.

T. Homem-de-Mello: On rates of convergence for stochastic optimization problems under non-i.i.d. sampling, *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 19 (2008), 524-551.



I. M. Sobol': *Multidimensional Quadrature Formulas and Haar Functions*, Nauka, Moscow, 1969 (in Russian).

M. Götz: Discrepancy and the error in integration, *Monatshefte für Mathematik* 136 (2002), 99-121.

I. H. Sloan and H. Woźniakowski: When are Quasi Monte Carlo algorithms efficient for highdimensional integration, *Journal of Complexity* 14 (1998), 1–33.

F. Y. Kuo and I. H. Sloan: Lifting the curse of dimensionality, *Notices of the AMS* 52 (2005), 1320–1328.

A. B. Owen: Multidimensional variation for Quasi-Monte Carlo, in J. Fan, G. Li (Eds.), International Conference on Statistics, World Scientific Publ., 2005, 49–74.

X. Wang and K.-T. Fang: The effective dimension and Quasi-Monte Carlo integration, *Journal of Complexity* 19 (2003), 101–124.

F. Y. Kuo, I. H. Sloan, G. W. Wasilkowski, H. Woźniakowski: On decomposition of multi-variate functions, *Mathematics of Computation* 79 (2010), 953–966.

M. Griebel, F. Y. Kuo, I. H. Sloan: The smoothing effect of the ANOVA decomposition, *Journal of Complexity* 2010 (in press).

I. H. Sloan, F. Y. Kuo and S. Joe: On the step-by-step construction of Quasi-Monte Carlo integration rules that achieve strong tractability error bounds in weighted Sobolev spaces, *Mathematics of Computation* 71 (2002), 1609-1640.

Home Page Title Page Contents ◀◀ Page 36 of 39 Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

F. Y. Kuo: Component-by-component constructions achieve the optimal rate of convergence in weighted Korobov and Sobolev spaces, *Journal of Complexity* 19 (2003), 301-320.

Sparse Grids:

S. A. Smolyak: Quadrature and interpolation formulas for tensor products of certain classes of functions, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 4 (1963), 240–243.

E. Novak and K. Ritter: High dimensional integration of smooth functions over cubes, *Numerische Mathematik* 75 (1996), 79–97.

T. Gerstner and M. Griebel: Numerical integration using sparse grids, *Numerical Algorithms* 18 (1998), 209–232.

M. Chen and S. Mehrotra: Epi-convergent scenario generation method for stochastic problems via sparse grid, *SPEPS* 7-2008.

Scenario reduction:

J. Dupačová, N. Gröwe-Kuska and W. Römisch: Scenario reduction in stochastic programming: An approach using probability metrics, *Mathematical Programming* 95 (2003), 493– 511.

H. Heitsch and W. Römisch: A note on scenario reduction for two-stage stochastic programs, *Operations Research Letters* 35 (2007), 731–738.

R. Henrion, C. Küchler, W. Römisch: Discrepancy distances and scenario reduction in two-

Home Page Title Page Contents 44 Page 37 of 39 Go Back Full Screen Close

stage stochastic mixed-integer programming, *Journal of Industrial and Management Optimization* 4 (2008), 363–384.

R. Henrion, C. Küchler and W. Römisch: Scenario reduction in stochastic programming with respect to discrepancy distances, *Computational Optimization and Applications* 43 (2009), 67–93.

S, T. Rachev and L. Rüschendorf: *Mass Transportation Problems*, Vols. I and II, Springer, Berlin, 1998.

Scenario trees:

J. Dupačová, G. Consigli and S. W. Wallace: Scenarios for multistage stochastic programs, *Annals of Operations Research* 100 (2000), 25–53.

M. Casey and S. Sen: The scenario generation algorithm for multistage stochastic linear programming, *Mathematics of Operations Research* 30 (2005), 615–631.

K. Frauendorfer: Barycentric scenario trees in convex multistage stochastic programming, *Mathematical Programming* Ser. B, 75 (1996), 277–293.

H. Heitsch and W. Römisch: Scenario tree modeling for multistage stochastic programs, *Mathematical Programming* 118 (2009), 371–406.

H. Heitsch and Römisch: Scenario tree reduction for multistage stochastic programs, *Computational Management Science* 6 (2009), 117–133.

R. Hochreiter and G. Ch. Pflug: Financial scenario generation for stochastic multi-stage

 Page 38 of 39

 Go Back

Home Page

Title Page

Contents

◀◀

Full Screen

Close

decision processes as facility location problem, Annals of Operations Research 152 (2007), 257–272.

K. Høyland, M. Kaut and S. W. Wallace: A heuristic for moment-matching scenario generation, *Computational Optimization and Applications* 24 (2003), 169–185.

D. Kuhn: *Generalized bounds for convex multistage stochastic programs*, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems, Vol. 548, Springer, Berlin, 2005.

T. Pennanen: Epi-convergent discretizations of multistage stochastic programs via integration quadratures, *Mathematical Programming* 116 (2009), 461–479.

G. Ch. Pflug and R. Mirkov: Tree approximations of dynamic stochastic programs, *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 18 (2007), 1082–1105.

Home Page
Title Page
Contents
•
•
Page 39 of 39
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit