



## Problem Set 9

To be discussed: Thursday, 18.12.2025

Problems marked with (\*) should be considered essential, but it is highly recommended that you think through *all* of the problems before the next Thursday lecture.

### Problem 1

The linear inhomogeneous Cauchy-Riemann equation for functions  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of a complex variable  $z = x + iy$  is a first-order PDE taking the form

$$\bar{\partial}f := \partial_x f + i\partial_y f = g.$$

Use the coordinates  $(x, y)$  to identify  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and consider functions that are fully periodic on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ; these are equivalent to complex-valued functions on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . Prove:

- (a) (\*) If  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$  and  $g = \bar{\partial}f \in H^m(\mathbb{T}^2)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $f \in H^{m+1}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ .
- (b) If  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$  and  $g = \bar{\partial}f$  is smooth, then  $f$  is smooth.

### Problem 2 (\*)

Consider the locally integrable real-valued function  $f(x) := |x|$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (a) Prove that  $f$  has weak<sup>1</sup> derivative  $f'(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$ .
- (b) Prove that  $f'$  is not weakly differentiable, but its derivative in the sense of distributions is  $2\delta \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ .

### Problem 3 (\*)

Consider the real-valued function  $f(x) := \ln|x|$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The classical derivative of  $f$  away from the point  $x = 0$  is the function  $1/x$ , which unfortunately is not integrable on domains containing the origin. Show however that  $f$  is in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$  and its distributional derivative  $\Lambda'_f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  is<sup>2</sup>

$$\Lambda'_f(\varphi) = \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} dx := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{|x| \geq \epsilon} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} dx \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}).$$

*Comment: This is the closest one can get to saying that the weak derivative of  $\ln|x|$  is  $1/x$ , despite the latter not being in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ .*

### Problem 4

For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and an open domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , the Sobolev space  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  is defined as the space of equivalence classes (defined almost everywhere) of functions  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  having weak derivatives  $\partial^\alpha f$  that are also in  $L^p(\Omega)$  for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  of order  $|\alpha| \leq m$ . The norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{m,p}} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^p}$$

<sup>1</sup>Note that there is no need to define  $f'(0)$  in Problem 1(a) since  $\{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a set of measure zero.

<sup>2</sup>The notation p. v. in Problem 2 stands for “Cauchy principal value” and is defined as the limit on the right hand side. The limit is necessary since  $1/x$  is not a locally integrable function and thus  $x \mapsto \varphi(x)/x$  is not always in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ .

makes  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  into a Banach space, and we denote by  $W_{\text{loc}}^{m,p}(\Omega)$  the space of functions (defined almost everywhere) on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  whose restrictions to every open subset  $\mathcal{U} \subset \Omega$  with compact closure are in  $W^{m,p}(\mathcal{U})$ . Prove:

- (a) (\*) If  $f$  is an absolutely continuous function on an interval  $[a, b]$ , then its classical derivative  $f'$  (defined almost everywhere) is also its weak derivative on the domain  $(a, b)$ , hence  $f \in W^{1,1}((a, b))$ .  
*Hint: For any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}((a, b))$ ,  $\varphi f$  defines an absolutely continuous function on  $[a, b]$  that vanishes at the end points.*
- (b) If  $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega)$  for an open subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ , then on every compact subinterval  $[a, b] \subset \Omega$ ,  $f$  is equal almost everywhere to an absolutely continuous function.  
*Hint: Compare the weak derivatives of  $f$  and the function  $g(x) := \int_a^x f'(t) dt$  on  $[a, b]$ .*
- (c) (\*) Part (b) implies that every  $f \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  on an open interval  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$  can be assumed continuous after changing its values on a set of measure zero. Assuming this modification has been made, prove that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $f$  such that

$$\|f\|_{C^0} \leq c \|f\|_{W^{1,1}} \quad \text{for all } f \in W^{1,1}(\Omega).$$

In other words, there is a continuous inclusion  $W^{1,1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C_b^0(\Omega)$ .

*Hint: Prove that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \|f'\|_{L^1}$  for all  $x, y \in \Omega$ , and deduce from this that  $|f(x)| \geq \|f\|_{C^0} - \|f'\|_{L^1}$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ .*

- (d) Show that for  $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ , the continuous inclusion  $W^{1,1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^0(\Omega)$  in part (c) is not compact.  
*Hint: Describe (by drawing a picture) an  $L^1$ -convergent sequence of smooth functions  $f_j : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|f_j'\|_{L^1}$  is bounded but the  $L^1$ -limit is discontinuous.*

*Comment: The Sobolev embedding theorem gives continuous inclusions  $W^{k,p} \hookrightarrow C^0$  when  $kp > n$  with domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , but no such inclusion exists in general for the so-called “Sobolev borderline cases” where  $kp = n$ , of which  $W^{1,1}$  on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$  is an example. For this reason, the result of part (c) is slightly surprising, though part (d) implies that there is no improved inclusion  $W^{1,1} \hookrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha > 0$ . If there were, then  $W^{1,1} \hookrightarrow C^0$  would be compact on bounded intervals  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$  due to the compactness of  $C^{0,\alpha} \hookrightarrow C^0$ , which follows from Arzelà-Ascoli.*

### Problem 5

When  $\Omega$  is a nonempty bounded interval  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , the Sobolev embedding theorem gives continuous inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} W^{1,p}(\Omega) &\hookrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1, 1 < p \leq \infty \text{ and } \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{p} \\ W^{2,1}(\Omega) &\hookrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Without citing the theorem, prove this as follows:

- (a) Deduce the inclusions  $W^{2,1} \hookrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  from a continuous inclusion  $W^{2,1} \hookrightarrow C^1$  using Problem 4.
- (b) Deduce the inclusion  $W^{1,p} \hookrightarrow C^0$  for every  $p \geq 1$  from Problem 4.
- (c) (\*) For  $a \leq x < y \leq b$ , the fundamental theorem of calculus implies  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \|f'\|_{L^1([x,y])}$  for  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  since (by Problem 4)  $f$  can be assumed absolutely continuous. Use Hölder’s inequality to deduce a Hölder-type estimate  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq c \|f'\|_{L^p} \cdot |x - y|^\alpha$  for  $0 < \alpha \leq 1 - 1/p$  whenever  $p > 1$ .